# Study of the jet multiplicity of a new heavy diphoton resonance in the ATLAS experiment

### Stage overview (LPNHE Biennale) - 04/10/2016

### Ahmed Tarek Supervised by Sandrine Laplace





- Search for high mass resonances decaying to two photons is motivated by several extensions to the standard model (SM)
- Diphoton searches benefit from a very clean experimental signature
  - Two high p<sub>T</sub> photon candidates reconstructed from energy deposit in the calorimeter
  - Resonance appears in the invariant mass spectrum as a local excess of events over well-know background of SM direct photon pair production
- Using 2015 run-2 data at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV, both **ATLAS** and **CMS** reported a moderate excess of events around mass of ~ 750 GeV

2500



- Search for high mass resonances decaying to two photons is motivated by several extensions to the standard model (SM)
- Diphoton searches benefit from a very clean experimental signature
  - $\bullet$  Two high  $p_T$  photon candidates reconstructed from energy deposit in the calorimeter
  - Resonance appears in the invariant mass spectrum as a **local excess of** events over well-know background of SM direct photon pair production
- Using 2015 run-2 data at  $\sqrt{s} = 13$  TeV, both **ATLAS** and **CMS** reported a moderate excess of events around mass of ~ 750 GeV





3

#### Categorization Overview

**Categorization** is the study of data subsamples with specific characteristics and analyzing them independently

- Enhance the significance
- Measure properties of the process

Model independent Based on detector characteristic e.g. η , photon conversion ..etc

In this case, signal fractions per category is determined a-priori

**Model dependent** Based on resonance dynamics e.g. N<sub>jets</sub>, Missing transverse energy ..etc

Here, signal fractions per category is determined from data

Data is divided into 4 categories chosen from [0-1-2-  $\geq$ 3] jets •  $p_T > 25$  GeV,  $|\eta| < 4.4$ , JVT cuts

#### Study of Jet Multiplicity Production Mechanism



### **Analysis Overview**

- The number of signal and background events are extracted from **Likelihood fit** on the  $m_{yy}$  distribution
  - Using models of the **signal** and SM **background**



• The Likelihood function per event is defined as follows

 $\mathcal{L}(m_{\gamma\gamma}; \sigma_{fid}, m_X, \alpha_X, N_{bkg}, \boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = N_X(\sigma_{fid}, m_X, \boldsymbol{\theta}_{N_X}, \boldsymbol{\theta}_{SS}) f_X(m_{\gamma\gamma}, \boldsymbol{x}_X(m_X, \alpha_X), \boldsymbol{\theta}_{\sigma})$ +  $N_{bkg} f_{bkg}(m_{\gamma\gamma}, \boldsymbol{a})$ 

• An integer index is added to the likelihood to discriminate the different categories  $\Rightarrow$  multiplying the number of fit parameters

### Impact of jet multiplicities on significance

- Compute the expected significance from an injected signal (2.2 fb)
- The expected significance is computed twice
  - $\bullet$  inclusive analysis as done in ATLAS so far and the expected significance for the injected cross -section is 2.7  $\sigma$
  - Adding **jet multiplicity** information (i.e. perform 4 fits instead of 1, for  $N_{jet}=0,1,2,\geq 3$ ) the expected significance is

	ggH	qq	EFT	VBF	Ϋ́УF
combined	2.8 <b>σ</b>	2.8 <b>σ</b>	2.7 <b>σ</b>	3.0 σ	2.9 σ

• No major change in significance is observed

### **Jet Systematics**

- Jets are difficult to calibrate and Jet Calibration systematics can affect jet  $p_T$
- Jets with  $p_T$  around the threshold will be affected by systematic variations causing **bin migration**



- Including the jet systematics to the fit requires reduction to the full set of jet systematic uncertainties (up to 17 parameter) without loosing correlations
- Biased fit is performed using fixed fractions to distinguish different models



Jet multiplicities can be used to understand the production mechanism of the resonance

- N<sub>jet</sub> categories dont increase the discovery significance significantly, but allow to distinguish between different production modes
- Still true even with JES uncertainties.

#### Outlook

- Started qualification task : E/Gamma Calibration : The presampler scale
  - Check the stability of the presampler energy scale as function of presampler HV changes
  - Determine the presampler scale for 2015-2016 data
- Analysis : measurement of cross-sections in H(125)-> YY for constraints on EFTs

### Thank you for your attention



#### **Categorization** Other jet variables





#### **Analysis Overview** Signal Parametrization (SP) - 2jet cat.



### **Analysis Overview**

Signal Parametrization (SP) - VBF





The data in the high-mass region are described using a per-event likelihood expressed as • The Likelihood function per event is defined as follows  $\mathcal{L}(m_{\gamma\gamma}^{\ell}, m_{\gamma'}, m_{\chi}, w_{\chi}, w_{\chi}, w_{\chi}, \theta)^{a}, \theta) = \mathcal{N}_{X}(\partial_{\gamma'}(\mathcal{N}_{\chi}, \theta_{\chi}, \theta_{\chi$ 

- N<sub>X</sub> and N<sub>bkg</sub> are the signal and background number of events, 
$$f_X$$
 and  $f_{bkg}$  are the signal where  $\sigma_{fid}$  is again the fiducial production cross-section of the new resonance of mass  $m_X$ ; the and background Probability density functions.  
background shape parameters; the  $\theta_{N_X}$  collectively designates the nuisance parameters used to  $\theta_{is}$  nuisance parameters to describe systematic uncertainties the systematic uncertainties.

- An integer index is added to the likelihood function of the discriminate the different categories hence multiplying the number of fit parameters  $\theta_{eff,X}, \theta_{isol,X}$ : systematic uncertainties on photon ID and isolation efficiencies for resonance;
  - Agg: enurious signal systematic:

#### Analysis Overview Statistical modeling

• The full likelihood is shown below  $\mathcal{L}(\sigma_{fid}, m_X, \alpha_X, N_{bkg}, \boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = e^{-(N_X + N_{bkg})} \left[ \prod_{i=1}^n \mathcal{L}(m_{\gamma\gamma_i}; \sigma_{fid}, m_X, \alpha_X, N_{bkg}, \boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{\theta}) \right] \left[ \prod_{k=1}^{\dim \boldsymbol{\theta}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \left(\theta_i - \theta_i^{aux}\right)^2\right) \right]$ 

 $\theta^{aux}$  are set of auxiliary measurement to constrain systematic uncertainties

- $\bullet$  The local significance  $Z^{\text{local}}$  is computed from the asymptotic approximation as  $Z{=}\sqrt{q_0}$
- Expected significance is computed from Asimov dataset which is a generated single dataset corresponding to the exact model PDF
- The analysis was done using HFitter + RooFit packages assuming NW and fixed nuisance parameters

#### Analysis Overview S+B fit on data





≥3-jet



#### Analysis Overview Background - Data/MC comparison

 right Comparison between fit on data (without signal region) and MC (Sherpa yy) normalized to nBackground from fit

 down BG Fit on data (without signal region) using full range and to 800 GeV





## Analysis Overview Selection effect on N<sub>jet</sub> cat.



- Heavy Higgs-like signal : Theoretical line shape (Narrow width of 4 MeV) dominated by detector response
  - Modeled for each category with double sided crystal ball function (Gaussian core extended by power law tail)

# No observed change in SP from the inclusive case (expected)

• Signal fraction per category is extracted





- $\bullet$  The continuous BG can be modeled from MC  $\gamma\gamma$  or using data-driven method ranging from [200-2000] GeV
- The BG function is modeled with  $x^{-p_2}(1-x^{1/3})^{p_1}$ ;  $x = m_{\gamma\gamma}/13000$  with all parameters free.
- A dedicated fit for each category is done
- Bias from choice of function estimated from **spurious signal (SS)** test
  - S+B fit on background-only for various m<sub>X</sub> signal hypothesis



#### Analysis Overview Different JVT cuts



### Results

Covariance (2 NPs)



Correlation (2 NPs)



Covariance (4 NPs)



Correlation (4 NPs)



ggH750

#### 

0.05



0.05

-0.15<sup>0.1</sup>

-0.15 =0:05 0.05

<sup>-0</sup>0.15

-0.15 -0.05-0.2 plation of the control in the seaspoor sain season

eartaintyrcontheoleticepretestineatreated beithe TH quantitative estivities of pure fundique beinguthen least pas ted of the state of the state of the state of the second state of nas that such and the second for the subject of the second n their incenter ten system and a ilar datasethatitagoathatilangentscare heasily haining the system with the heasily have achniquiter versonale a compared the the states and occisence verscher and the second is in the president jearmad wies to all particles produced but inconfribelies of RF separately for

estulting combine byslightly smaller ung refore used as the main

Thisnappaosinasting in precession results intercompsigned ion ofethe correlations

and than a protection at the second paramenter pre ble or alle for the resurption lancertanen and

onexidualleve E For the Manue on bai porte to

OBINE DAS TRANSFILS IN TRANSFILS HILLING approximate one. up to about 0.1% and is therefore used in the state of th the least the policelation and addit linearly to the covariance matrix of the other incertainty. Devise Figs. 3/(C) and 3/(U), while some 9 In Potence in the price of the second to be a sec Ethixs i uncersaintly appresident and many os since in the market of the property while maintaining and have a succession of the last residual succession of the last r AFTX CETABOLIE THE ODIE OF STREET AND CONTROLOGIES OF CHARGE STREET CONTROLOGIES AND CONTRO

taintionach smaller correlations. It is this to side an uncertainty sources this residual uncertainty source and berthornelational unit

### Results

Samples with dedicated SP

using inclusive SP

	0-jet	1-jet	2-jet	≥ 3 jet	combined	inclusive
<b>ggH</b> BG (MC)	0.93 <b>σ</b>	1.69 <b>σ</b>	1.55 <b>σ</b>	1.37 <b>σ</b>	$280\sigma$	$277\sigma$
	fSignal = 0.17	fSignal = 0.34	fSignal = 0.26	fSignal = 0.21	2.00 0	2.770
<b>ggH</b> BG (S+B fit on data)	0.99 <b>σ</b>	1.78 <b>σ</b>	1.52 <b>σ</b>	1.27 <b>σ</b>	2 02 œ	$222 \sigma$
	fSignal = 0.17	fSignal = 0.34	fSignal = 0.26	fSignal = 0.21	2.03 0	2.02 0
<b>ggH</b> BG (MC)	0.93 <b>σ</b>	2.67 <b>σ</b>			273 <b>σ</b>	277σ
	fSignal = 0.17	fSignal = 0.83			2.750	2.770
<b>ggH</b> BG (S+B fit on data)	1.00 <b>σ</b>	2.67 <b>σ</b>			$2.85 \sigma$	282σ
	fSignal = 0.17	fSignal = 0.83			2.03 0	2.02 0
<b>VBF</b> BG (MC)	0.31 <b>σ</b>	1.57 <b>σ</b>	2.28 <b>σ</b>	1.20 <b>σ</b>	3 03 <b>a</b>	2.77 <b>σ</b>
	fSignal = 0.05	fSignal = 0.30	fSignal = 0.45	fSignal = 0.20	5.05 0	
VBF BG (MC)	0.29 <b>σ</b>	2.92 <b>σ</b>			294 0	277 o
	fSignal = 0.05	fSignal = 0.95			2.740	2.// 0

	0-jet	1-jet	2-jet	≥ 3 jet	combined	inclusive
γγ fusion	2.31 σ	1.45 σ	0.92 σ	0.53 σ	2.92 <b>σ</b>	2.77 <b>σ</b>
	$1.22 \sigma$	1 40 <del>~</del>	$1 4 4 \sigma$	1 27 a		
qq fusion	1.22 0 fSignal = 0.23	<b>1.00 0</b> fSignal = 0.32	<b>1.44 O</b> fSignal = 0.24	1.37 0 fSignal = 0.21	2.81 <b>σ</b>	2.77 σ
EFT	<b>1.19 σ</b> fSignal = 0.24	<b>1.65 σ</b> fSignal = 0.34	<b>1.42 σ</b> fSignal = 0.24	<b>1.19 σ</b> fSignal = 0.18	2.73 <b>σ</b>	2.77 <b>σ</b>