

# Future constraints on inflation (a CMB experimental view)



E.S. Battistelli Sapienza, University of Rome







## WMAP

## PLANCK (2x finer; 7x deeper)

## Ground based (13x finer; 50x deeper)

## Ground based (13x finer; 50x deeper)

#### **Galaxy Clusters**





#### CMB anisotropies





#### CMB polarization: E-modes

- The same density perturbations producing the temperature anisotropies also produce polarization
- Polarization is spatially correlated with temperature anisotropies The polarization pattern is curl-free and characterized by an  $\bullet$
- ٠ characterized by an even parity: E-modes







•

#### CMB polarization: E-modes and B-modes

- E-modes can be converted into a curl component whose pattern is characterized by an odd parity: B-modes
  - This is due to large structures at small angular scales through gravitational lensing







•

#### CMB polarization: E-modes and B-modes

- E-modes can be converted into a curl component whose pattern is characterized by an odd parity: B-modes
  - This is due to large structures at small angular scales through gravitational lensing







#### CMB polarization: E-modes and B-modes

- E-modes can be converted into a curl component whose pattern is characterized by an odd parity: B-modes
  - B-modes can uniquely be produced by gravitational waves







#### CMB polarization: E-modes and B-modes

- E-modes can be converted into a curl component whose pattern is characterized by an odd parity: B-modes
  - B-modes can uniquely be produced by gravitational waves at
    - Medium scales







#### CMB polarization: E-modes and B-modes

- E-modes can be converted into a curl component whose pattern is characterized by an odd parity: B-modes
  - B-modes can uniquely be produced by gravitational waves at
    - Medium scales
    - Large scales









- Inflation is a period of exponential acceleration of the Universe at the very beginning of it (~10<sup>-36</sup>s)
  It provides a theory related to the quantum gravity energy at E>10<sup>14</sup>GeV
- It was invoked to explain flatness problem, super-horizon isotropy, absence of magnetic monopoles



• INFLATION check list:





- INFLATION check list:
  - Flat Geometry





- INFLATION check list:
  - Flat Geometry
  - Super-horizon features





- INFLATION check list:
  - Flat Geometry
    - Super-horizon features
- Absence of magnetic monopoles





- INFLATION check list:
  - Flat Geometry
  - Super-horizon features
  - Absence of magnetic monopoles





- INFLATION check list:
  - Flat Geometry
  - Super-horizon features
  - Absence of magnetic monopoles
- Density perturbations generated by quantum fluctuations in the spacetime metric





- INFLATION check list:
  - Flat Geometry
  - Super-horizon features
  - Absence of magnetic monopoles
  - Density perturbations generated by quantum fluctuations in the spacetime metric
  - Near scale invariance of primordial perturbations





- INFLATION check list:
  - Flat Geometry
  - Super-horizon features
  - Absence of magnetic monopoles
  - Density perturbations generated by quantum fluctuations in the spacetime metric
  - Near scale invariance of primordial perturbations
  - Adiabaticity and gaussianity of the perturbations





- INFLATION check list:
  - Flat Geometry
  - Super-horizon features
  - Absence of magnetic monopoles
  - Density perturbations generated by quantum fluctuations in the spacetime metric
  - Near scale invariance of primordial perturbations
  - Adiabaticity and gaussianity of the perturbations
  - Stochastic background of Gravitational Waves





- INFLATION check list:
  - Flat Geometry
  - Super-horizon features
  - Absence of magnetic monopoles
  - Density perturbations generated by quantum fluctuations in the spacetime metric
  - Near scale invariance of primordial perturbations
  - Adiabaticity and gaussianity of the perturbations
  - Stochastic background of Gravitational Waves



 $r = \frac{Tensor(gravitational)pert.ampl.}{Scalar(density)pert.ampl.};$ 



- INFLATION check list:
  - Flat Geometry
  - Super-horizon features
  - Absence of magnetic monopoles
- Density perturbations generated by quantum fluctuations in the spacetime metric
  - Near scale invariance of primordial perturbations
  - Adiabaticity and gaussianity of the perturbations
- Stochastic background of Gravitational Waves



$$r = \frac{Tensor(gravitational)pert.ampl.}{Scalar(density)pert.ampl.};$$

$$energy = 10^{16} \left(\frac{r}{0.01}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} GeV; \quad time = 10^{-36} \left(\frac{r}{0.01}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} s;$$



## PLANCK+ACT+SPT

- @ I<1500 we are cosmic variance limited: Planck set the ultimate limit
- @ I>1500 things can be improved
- @ I > 2500 only 10% of the sky has been measured

E-modes





#### CMB polarization: E-modes and B-modes

- Current status for CMB polarization measurements: AMAZING!
- B+K/Planck: r<0.07
- Still a long way to go
- For r~0.01 de-lensing is important



- Some models predict r≥0.002 (Starobinsky)
- If so ~10<sup>16</sup>GeV (GUT)



Fig. by NASA-LAMBDA



## **B-modes experiments**



• Complementarity:

foreground vs sky coverage vs angular resolution





















#### Large aperture experiments: ground



- L. Page (Princeton) et al.
- Wide, deep and multifrequency survey down to r<0.01
- Feedhorn coupled, pol. Sensitive, multichroic, TDM'ed TES
- AdV-ACT: 30-230 GHz multichroic TES



#### Large aperture experiments: ground



- L. Page (Princeton) et al.
- Wide, deep and multifrequency survey down to r<0.01
- Feedhorn coupled, pol. Sensitive, multichroic, TDM'ed TES
- AdV-ACT: 30-230 GHz multichroic TES •



- J. Carlstrom (Chicago) et al.
- Deep, high (best!) angular res survey!
- Trial-band multichroic FDM'ed TES (90/150/220 GHz) with Sinuous Focal Plane
- SPT-3G : 16260 pixels → r<0.01



#### Large aperture experiments: ground



- L. Page (Princeton) et al.
- Wide, deep and multifrequency survey down to r<0.01
- Feedhorn coupled, pol. Sensitive, multichroic, TDM'ed TES
- AdV-ACT: 30-230 GHz multichroic TES •



- J. Carlstrom (Chicago) et al.
- Deep, high (best!) angular res survey!
- Trial-band multichroic FDM'ed TES (90/150/220 GHz) with Sinuous Focal Plane
- SPT-3G : 16260 pixels → r<0.01



- A. Lee (Berkeley) et al.
- Deep/high angular resolution survey
- 7588 TES on POLARBEAR2
- 22768 TES on Simons Array (PB2X3)
- Dual-band multichroic pixels (90/150 and 150/220 GHz)


### How to measure B-modes





### Medium aperture experiments: ground



- Kovach (Harvard) et al.; Kuo (Stanford) et al.
- Bock (Caltech) et al.; Pryke (Minnesota) at al.
- Super deep survey: so far the most sensitive
- Primordial B-modes optimized
- Simple optics; great detectors: slot antennas, microstrip filters, load dissipation, TES, TDM



### Medium aperture experiments: ground



- Sky ~40 cm alf-wave plate ~4 Polarizing Grid ~4k Primary horns ~4k < IK Switches ~4k Secondary horns ~4 Dichroic < IK bolomete array (992 TES 220 GHz ~IK Cryostat 320 mK er array ( 992 TES 150 GHz
- J-C Hamilton (APC) et al. (mainly French-Italian)
- The only European ground based effort (from 2018)
- Primordial (recombination bump) B-modes: r<0.01</li>
- Bolometric Interferometry: the systematic control of interferometers the sensitivity of bolos (2000 TES TDM)
- Next 6 module at 90-220GHz possibly from Antarctica

- Kovach (Harvard) et al.; Kuo (Stanford) et al.
- Bock (Caltech) et al.; Pryke (Minnesota) at al.
- Super deep survey: so far the most sensitive
- Primordial B-modes optimized
- Simple optics; great detectors: slot antennas, microstrip filters, load dissipation, TES, TDM



### Medium aperture experiments: balloon



- Aknowledgment W. Jones
- W. Jones (Princeton) et al.
- Balloon borne LDB: sky fraction = 10% (10<I<300)</li>
- First flight in 2014:17 days @ 36km. The instrument worked nominally, including the (data-)recovery (and even the gopro). Great instantaneous sensitivity!
- Next flight in 2017 to go down to r<0.03</li>



### Medium aperture experiments: balloon



- Aknowledgment W. Jones
- W. Jones (Princeton) et al.
- Balloon borne LDB: sky fraction = 10% (10<I<300)
- First flight in 2014:17 days @ 36km. The instrument worked nominally, including the (data-)recovery (and even the gopro). Great instantaneous sensitivity!
- Next flight in 2017 to go down to r<0.03



- A. Kogut (GSFC-NASA) et al.
- 8 x single day flights (not LDB)! •
- High f\_sky at 200, 270, 350, and 600 GHz •
- 32x40 TDM'ed 100mK TES filled arrays (5120 total) •
- Innovative polarization modulators •
- Flight planned for spring 2017



### How to measure B-modes

reionization bump





### Small aperture experiments



Aknowledgment T.Marriage

- C. Bennet (John Hopkins) et al.
- Uses its particular position on the earth (Atacama) for a large (70%) sky coverage (2<l<150): unique!</li>
- Frequency coverage 40GHz<v<220GH
- TDM'ed TES at 150mK
- First light occurred on 2016



### Small aperture experiments





- C. Bennet (John Hopkins) et al.
- Uses its particular position on the earth (Atacama) for a large (70%) sky coverage (2<I<150): unique!</li>
- Frequency coverage 40GHz<v<220GH</li>
- TDM'ed TES at 150mK
- First light occurred on 2016



- P. de Bernardis (Rome-Sapienza) et al.
- Frequency coverage 150-250GHz → r<0.02
- Multimoded TES bolometers
- Polar night LDB flight: can spin!
- Large angular scales (>25% sky): reionization bump
- First flight planned on 2018



## Sensitivity vs foreground

- More law of sensitivity: progress on detectors sensitivity just impressing
- Stage 3:  $\sigma(r) \sim \text{few 0.01 in } \sim \text{5years}$
- Stage 4: σ(r) ~ few 0.001 in ~10years?
- Will the systematic control be able to catch up?
- We need a fiducial model: multiple components thermal dust; synchrotron; AME will have to be understood and monitored
- If there are departures from the fiducial model than (spinning-)dust will be a problem
- Will the data-analysis effort follow?





### STAGE 4

Atacama

- US Community (Universities + National labs) driven progress to pursue inflation, neutrino properties, dark energy and new discovery. Multi-agency coordination.
- Joining the effort of Atacama and of South Pole:

South Pole





- Targeting to deploy O(500.000) detectors spanning 30-300GHz
- At least ~1uK/arcmin over 70% of the sky: low I, high-I, foreground monitoring, de-lensing activity, data analysis methodology
- Aims at detecting or rule-out generic inflation (r~0.002)





## Simons Observatory: Stage 3.5?

- Large ~American collaboration already raised ~45M\$
- Using existing (and growing!) facilities in Atacama to take advantage of its latitude. Stepping stone to the future CMB-S4 in Chile
- Joining the effort of:
  - ACT: high sensitivity/high angular resolution key for de-lensing
  - POLARBEAR/SIMONS: high sensitivity medium angular resolution
  - CLASS: high sensitivity large sky coverage
- New telescopes/technology development coordinating the telescope and receiver designs to take advantage of the scale of the project: observations will start by the end of 2020.



\$40 Million Grant Establishes Simons Observatory, a New Investigation into the Formation of the Early Universe





### Satellites



- M.Hazumi(KEK/Kavli/SOKENDAI) et al
- JAXA-based to be launched 2020
- 6-band design (60-280GHz) with trichroic TES (UC Berkeley)
- Now in phase A study. Will go Orbit L2
- r < 0.001 (with fg?)
- 2<I<200 (will be synergic with S4)



### Satellites



- M.Hazumi(KEK/Kavli/SOKENDAI) et al 🔸
- JAXA-based to be launched 2020
- 6-band design (60-280GHz) with trichroic TES (UC Berkeley)
- Now in phase A study. Will go Orbit L2
- r < 0.001 (with fg?)
- 2<I<200 (will be synergic with S4)

- A.Kogut (GSFC-NASA) et al.
- Spectral distortions: |y|<2 x 10<sup>-9</sup>; |μ|<10<sup>-8</sup>
- 4 multimoded detectors
- Entire instrument at 2.725K
- FTS with absolute calibrator
- r<0.001 (with fg)



В

eo

### Satellites



- JAXA-based to be launched 2020
- 6-band design (60-280GHz) with trichroic TES (UC Berkeley)
- Now in phase A study. Will go Orbit L2
- r < 0.001 (with fg?)
- 2<l<200 (will be synergic with S4)

- Spectral distortions:  $|y| < 2 \times 10^{-9}$ ;  $|\mu| < 10^{-8}$
- 4 multimoded detectors
- Entire instrument at 2.725K •
- FTS with absolute calibrator •
- r<0.001 (with fg)

- Bernardis; J. Delabrouille
- 1.2-1.5m telescope →7'-4' @ 135-200GHz
- 2500-5000 detectors
- L2 orbit for 3 yrs •
- 15-20 frequency bands (60-600GHz) with stepping HWP
- r < 0.001 (with fg)



## Focal plane technological effort

- Detectors need to get to few 10<sup>-18</sup>W/sqrt(Hz)
- Focal planes are saturated so we need multiple telescopes and/or parallel processing or multimoded approach
- TES are the most mature technology:
  - In Europe developed in Paris, Cambridge, Genova...
  - MUX demonstrated at 128:1 for QUBIC
  - US experiments already flow them on balloons (SPIDER, EBEX)
  - LSPE will fly multimoded TES



Multimoded TES of LSPE (Rome+Genova)







## Focal plane technological effort

- Detectors need to get to few 10<sup>-18</sup>W/sqrt(Hz)
- Focal planes are saturated so we need multiple telescopes and/or parallel processing or multimoded approach
- TES are the most mature technology:
  - In Europe developed in Paris, Cambridge, Genova...
  - MUX demonstrated at 128:1 for QUBIC
  - US experiments already flow them on balloons (SPIDER, EBEX)
  - LSPE will fly multimoded TES
- KIDs are the most promising technique:
  - In Europe developed in Grenoble, Groningem, Cambridge, Rome,...
  - Large European array already operated at a telescope: NIKA and NIKA2
  - Promising also from the cosmic rays point of view
  - They will soon fly on balloons



Multimoded TES of LSPE (Rome+Genova)











 $\bullet$ 

- QUIJOTE: experiment from Tenerife (Rebolo et al.)
  - Wide sky (20000deg2) @ 10-40GHz...already taking data
  - Unique per se (r<0.05) and for synchrotron removal





- QUIJOTE: experiment from Tenerife (Rebolo et al.)
  - Wide sky (20000deg2) @ 10-40GHz...already taking data
  - Unique per se (r<0.05) and for synchrotron removal
- LSPE-STRIP: 44GHz recently approved for ground observations from Tenerife



 $\circ$ 



- QUIJOTE: experiment from Tenerife (Rebolo et al.)
  - Wide sky (20000deg2) @ 10-40GHz...already taking data
  - Unique per se (r<0.05) and for synchrotron removal
- LSPE-STRIP: 44GHz recently approved for ground observations from Tenerife



 LSPE-SWIPE: winter flight from Svalbard islands on 2018. It aims to measure the reionization bump.
 NB: LSPE is the only experiment planned to measure northern sky!



 $\circ$ 

 $\bullet$ 



- QUIJOTE: experiment from Tenerife (Rebolo et al.)
  - Wide sky (20000deg2) @ 10-40GHz...already taking data
  - Unique per se (r<0.05) and for synchrotron removal
- LSPE-STRIP: 44GHz recently approved for ground observations from Tenerife



 LSPE-SWIPE: winter flight from Svalbard islands on 2018. It aims to measure the reionization bump.
 NB: LSPE is the only experiment planned to measure northern sky!



QUBIC 1<sup>st</sup> module: from Argentina with a Bolometric interferometry. High systematic control/orthogonal wrt imagers

10-40GHz...already taking data

Unique per se (r<0.05) and for

LSPE-STRIP: 44GHz recently approved

for ground observations from Tenerife

**QUIJOTE:** experiment from Tenerife

Wide sky (20000deg2) @

synchrotron removal

LSPE-SWIPE: winter flight from

measure the reionization bump.

NB: LSPE is the only experiment

planned to measure northern sky!

Svalbard islands on 2018. It aims to



 $\bullet$ 

 $\bullet$ 

 $\bullet$ 

(Rebolo et al.)







QUBIC 1<sup>st</sup> module: from Argentina with a Bolometric interferometry. High systematic control/orthogonal wrt imagers



Aknowledgment L.Pagano and J.C. Hamilton





- QUBIC 6 modules:
  - will have to be quick and find the best place on earth. France and Italy have this possibility!
  - Concordia Station in Antarctica offers the best conditions on earth
  - Could it be European stage 4?









- QUBIC 6 modules:
  - will have to be quick and find the best place on earth. France and Italy have this possibility!
  - Concordia Station in Antarctica offers the best conditions on earth
  - Could it be European stage 4?
- CoRE is going to be the ultimate CMB mission:







- will have to be quick and find the best place on earth. France and Italy have this possibility!
- Concordia Station in Antarctica offers the best conditions on earth
- Could it be European stage 4?
- CoRE is going to be the ultimate CMB mission:
  - if r = 0, in order to tightly discard several inflationary models (upper limits, r<0.002, would have a strong impact on primordial inflation theories)</li>











- will have to be quick and find the best place on earth. France and Italy have this possibility!
- Concordia Station in Antarctica offers the best conditions on earth
- Could it be European stage 4?
- CoRE is going to be the ultimate CMB mission:
  - if r = 0, in order to tightly discard several inflationary models (upper limits, r<0.002, would have a strong impact on primordial inflation theories)</li>













- QUBIC 6 modules:
  - will have to be quick and find the best place on earth. France and Italy have this possibility!
  - Concordia Station in Antarctica offers the best conditions on earth
  - Could it be European stage 4?
- CoRE is going to be the ultimate CMB mission:
  - if r = 0, in order to tightly discard several inflationary models (upper limits, r<0.002, would have a strong impact on primordial inflation theories)</li>

 $\rightarrow$  we need CoRE

if  $r \neq 0$  and is not previously detected









- QUBIC 6 modules:
  - will have to be quick and find the best place on earth. France and Italy have this possibility!
  - Concordia Station in Antarctica offers the best conditions on earth
  - Could it be European stage 4?
- CoRE is going to be the ultimate CMB mission:
  - if r = 0, in order to tightly discard several inflationary models (upper limits, r<0.002, would have a strong impact on primordial inflation theories)</li>

 $\rightarrow$  we need CoRE

• if  $r \neq 0$  and is not previously detected









- QUBIC 6 modules:
  - will have to be quick and find the best place on earth. France and Italy have this possibility!
  - Concordia Station in Antarctica offers the best conditions on earth
  - Could it be European stage 4?
- CoRE is going to be the ultimate CMB mission:
  - if r = 0, in order to tightly discard several inflationary models (upper limits, r<0.002, would have a strong impact on primordial inflation theories)</li>

 $\rightarrow$  we need CoRE

• if  $r \neq 0$  and is not previously detected

- if  $r \neq 0$  and is previously detected than we need
  - to confirm it with higher precision
  - to rule out all possible foregrounds contamination
  - to measure tensor modes at different scales to measure  $n_{\rm T}$  to confirm its inflationary origin









- QUBIC 6 modules:
  - will have to be quick and find the best place on earth. France and Italy have this possibility!
  - Concordia Station in Antarctica offers the best conditions on earth
  - Could it be European stage 4?
- CoRE is going to be the ultimate CMB mission:
  - if r = 0, in order to tightly discard several inflationary models (upper limits, r<0.002, would have a strong impact on primordial inflation theories)</li>

 $\rightarrow$  we need CoRE

• if  $r \neq 0$  and is not previously detected

### $\rightarrow$ we need CoRE

- if  $r \neq 0$  and is previously detected than we need
  - to confirm it with higher precision
  - to rule out all possible foregrounds contamination
  - to measure tensor modes at different scales to measure  $n_{\rm T}$  to confirm its inflationary origin









- QUBIC 6 modules:
  - will have to be quick and find the best place on earth. France and Italy have this possibility!
  - Concordia Station in Antarctica offers the best conditions on earth
  - Could it be European stage 4?
- CoRE is going to be the ultimate CMB mission:
  - if r = 0, in order to tightly discard several inflationary models (upper limits, r<0.002, would have a strong impact on primordial inflation theories)</li>

 $\rightarrow$  we need CoRE

• if  $r \neq 0$  and is not previously detected

### $\rightarrow$ we need CoRE

- if  $r \neq 0$  and is previously detected than we need
  - to confirm it with higher precision
  - to rule out all possible foregrounds contamination
  - to measure tensor modes at different scales to measure  $n_{\rm T}$  to confirm its inflationary origin

### $\rightarrow$ we need CoRE

• Tomorrow: a European CMB coordination workshop will start in Florence



# Thank you!

E.S. Battistelli "Sapienza" University of Rome





# INFLATION

- So far the picture is self-consistent but we don't know what has happened at the quantum gravity energy at T>10<sup>27</sup>K or E>10<sup>14</sup>GeV
- Most models are dead: inflation (invoked to explain flatness problem, super-horizon isotropy, absence of magnetic monopoles) survives
- Inflation is a period of superluminar acceleration of the Universe at the very beginning of it (~10<sup>-36</sup>s)
- A simple model is the Single-Field Slow-Roll, SFSR
- INFLATION is associated to the displacement of a scalar field Φ from the minimum of its potential V, associated to vacuum energy
- Under certain (friction) conditions
  INFLATION takes place





### Plots from Kamionkowski et al. ARAA, 2016:1-45



### CMB polarization: E-modes and B-modes

 Current status for CMB polarization measurements







### Where to measure B-modes from





### Where to measure B-modes from





### Where to measure B-modes from
















