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# Stabilization R\&D for future linear colliders (CLIC \& ATF2) 
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## One of the CLIC Challenges : Beam stabilization

- Final focus CLIC R\&D:

$\square$ Many controls will be performed all along the collider
- Most stringent specifications are at the final focus interaction point



## CLIC Final focus - beam stabilization strategy

- Beam trajectory control \& mechanical active control:



## Beam control at the Interaction Point - IP Feedback

- Beam trajectory control : simulation under Placet

- Bandwidth limited by the beam repetition


Luminosity vs control ON or OFF and vs model of seismic motion (deal under Placet)

- Caron B et al, 2012, "Vibration control of the beam of the future linear collider", Control Engineering Practice.
- G. Balik et al, 2012, " Integrated simulation of ground motion mitigation, techniques for the future compact linear collider (CLIC) ", Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research


## Mechanical active control

- Demonstration at a sub-nanometer scale

- Control with commercial sensors (geophones and accelerometers) : $0,6 \mathrm{~nm}$ RMS@4Hz


IapP.
$\square$ Main limitation : SENSOR (simulation and experiment).

## Transfer on a real scale

- Active control of a real size magnet


One active foot


Displacement measurement Vibrations sensor

Vibrations control Actuators
$\square$ Instrumentation have to be developed

- Mecatronics challenge
- Structure : QDO Magnet


Example of a large actuator

- Sensors
- Actuators
- Integration: control, data processing, real time, layout, interfaces...


## Simulation of the whole system

- Simulation studies of QD0 magnet :

$[M][\ddot{z}]+[K][z]=[F]$

- FEM : Modal analysis using finite elements - Determination of the most significant modes (frequency response characteristics)
- Expression in the form of a state space model and study of the control stategy
- Integration in a control loop (using Simulink for example) with the whole simulation (sensor, actuactor, ADC, DAC, Data processing.... And seismic motion model and its coherence)
- Targets : several aspects have to be defined
- Location and number of active feet
- Type of active feet
- Degrees of freedom
- Type of control (SISO, MIMO)
- To adjust the specifications of actuators and sensors

$>$ This upgrade stage is in Conditioning, real time processing...


## Vibrations sensor : R\&D

I Already the limitation for the «demonstration» active table:

> No commercial solution
> Internal development at LAPP


- Development of a vibration sensor:
- Promising results (similar to the best commercial sensors)
- French patent (FR 13 59336), PCT extension in progress
- Outreach with the SATT of Grenoble (Linksium)
- Optimised version in test (measurement in vertical or horizontal) for measurements and for active control
- Triaxial version in progress


Prototypes developed since 2011


Latest one axis version


Prototype of tri-axes versions

## Vibrations sensor : Results

- (Güralp 3-ESP)
- Comparison with industrial sensors at CERN (ISR - January 2015):

- Accelerometer
(Wilcoxon 731A) Mid-High frequencies


L_ LAViSta sensor
(x2)
Large bandwidth


- First tests in control:

$\square$ LAViSta Active Table
- LAViSta sensor


## ATF2: responsible of the final doublet relative displacement



- Relative motion between shintake monitor and final doublets of 6 nm RMS @ $0,1 \mathrm{~Hz}$ in the vertical axis (i.e. B. Bolzon results).
- Analysis of the upgrades influences and of the drift.


| 2008 by B. BOLZON | Tolerance | Measurement [SM-QD0] | Measurement [SM-QF1] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vertical | 7 nm (for QD0) <br> $20 \mathrm{~nm}($ for QF1) | 4.8 nm | 6.3 nm |
| Perpendicular to the beam | $\sim 500 \mathrm{~nm}$ | 30.7 nm | 30.6 nm |
| Parallel to the beam | $\sim 10,000 \mathrm{~nm}$ | 36.5 nm | 27.1 nm |
| 2013 by A. JEREMIIE | Tolerance | Measurement [SM-QD0] | Measurement [SM-QF1] |
| Vertical | 7 nm (for QD0) <br> $20 \mathrm{~nm}($ for QF1) <br> Parallel to the beam | $\sim 10,000 \mathrm{~nm}$ | 4.8 nm |

## ATF2 upgrades



LAPP support: feet and T-plate

|  | Spec <br> Shintake <br> Monitor | Old <br> Magnet | Initial <br> support | New LAPP <br> support <br> without <br> perturbation | New LAPP <br> support with <br> perturbation |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Horizontal Rel. <br> Displ. RMS (nm) <br> @ 1Hz | $\approx 500$ | 30 | 290 | 52 | $244-356$ |
| Vertical Rel. Displ. | $\approx 20$ | 4 | 21 | 6 | $17-21$ |

RMS (nm) @ 1Hz

Horizontal



Vertical


- Main resonance peaks pushed to higher frequencies


## ATF2: expertise all along the collider


$>$ Processing of 14 Guralp 6T sensors
$>$ Guralp 6T: $0,5 \mathrm{~Hz}-100 \mathrm{~Hz}$, two directions connected (vertical and horizontal can be placed parallel or perpendicular to beam direction); sensors similar to the ones used in 2008


## Analysis and measurements activities on different experiments



Iapp. IRSN vibrations analysis



$\square$ IPHC measurements

## Conclusions

- CLIC
- Feasibility demonstration of active control at sub-nanometer scale
- Development of an efficient vibrations sensor
- Control of the QD0 magnet in progress
- ATF2
- Optimization and analysis of the final doublet relative displacement
- Vibrations analysis of the experiment for the feedforward study

