

CIIIS) UP

Matthieu Roman, Marc Betoule, Delphine Hardin

The discovery of the accelerated expansion



The best standard candle available

- Basic hypothesis:
 - supernovæ of same light-curve and lying in the same galactical environment have in average the same luminosity at all redshifts

 $egin{array}{rcl} m & = & \mathcal{M} & + & \mu & + & \delta \mathcal{M} \ -2.5 \log_{10} f & -2.5 \log_{10}(\mathcal{L}) & 5 \log_{10}(d_L/10 \mathrm{pc}) \ & & \sigma(\delta \mathcal{M}) \sim 0.14 &
ightarrow & \sigma(d_L) \sim 0.07 \end{array}$

- Constrain luminosity distance ratios
 - determination of H₀ and reduced densities decouple
 - see Riess et al. (2011)

$$d_{L}(z) = (1+z)\frac{c}{H_{0}}\int dz \left(\Omega_{m}(1+z)^{3} + \Omega_{x} \exp\left(\int_{0}^{z} dz' 3\frac{1+w(z')}{1+z'}\right)\right)^{-1/2}$$

From the discovery of the accelerated expansion to the characterization of dark energy



- Current constraints on *w* around 6%
- Indistinguishable from a cosmological constant
- Need to measure luminosity distance with a precision of <3% at z=1



A difficult probe

- Difficult to gather sufficient statistics
 - rare events (1 per galaxy per century)
 - short-lived (few months)
 - random
 - but fortunately quite luminous
- Difficult to do the metrology:
 - precise flux measurement
 - modeling of a largely unknown phenomenon
- The effort is shared between:
 - improving statistics
 - improving the measurement

The Canada-France-Hawaï Telescope (CFHT) instrument



The Canada-France-Hawaï Telescope (CFHT) instrument



Matthieu Roman - CPPM - 07/03/16

The SDSS instrument



Matthieu Roman - CPPM - 07/03/16

The SDSS instrument



Matthieu Roman - CPPM - 07/03/16

Comparison of filters transmission



Light-Curves and Spectra

- Observables:
 - possibly redshift (spectrometry)
 - apparent flux (photometry)
- Identification





Standardization of the distance modulus



Joint Light-Curve Analysis (JLA)

- Collaboration btw SNLS, SDSS and low-redshift surveys
 - improved
 calibration
 accuracy
 - statistic-limited
 Hubble diagram



Joint Light-Curve Analysis (JLA)

Planck+WP+BAO+II A

 $w = -1.027 \pm 0.055$

 $\Omega_{\rm m}$ =0.303±0.012

- Collaboration
 btw SNLS, SDSS
 and low-redshift
 surveys
 - improved
 calibration
 accuracy
 - statistic-limited
 Hubble diagram





-0

-0.6

-0.8

-1

≥ -1.2 -1.4 Betoule et al. (2014)

Matthieu Roman - CPPM - 07/03/16

We know that:



 ✓ Standardization of supernovæ is wellmotivated but not perfect
 ✓ The missing information is most probably connected to host galaxy properties

✓ Explosion mechanism is poorly known
 ✓ It does not evolve with redshift (in principle), but the initial conditions do
 ✓ Age of progenitors can be involved



We know that:



 ✓ Standardization of supernovæ is wellmotivated but not perfect
 ✓ The missing information is most probably connected to host galaxy
 properties

✓ Explosion mechanism is poorly known
 ✓ It does not evolve with redshift (in principle), but the initial conditions do
 ✓ Age of progenitors can be involved



With the increase of survey statistics, we need to find new techniques to take those effects into account

Increasing the precision of standardization: looking for global or local properties

- Host stellar mass/star formation rate correlates to absolute magnitude/stretch factor
 (Neill et al. 2009, Hamuy et al. 2010, Sullivan et al. 2011, Lampeitl et al. 2010, Johansson et al. 2013)
- Hubble diagram residuals correlate to global or local host properties

(Kelly et al. 2010, Sullivan et al. 2011, Gupta et al. 2011, Childress et al. 2013, Rigault et al. 2013 and 2015)

Joint Light-Curve Analysis (JLA)

- There is ≈0.14 magnitude intrinsic luminosity variation after corrections are applied
- The stellar mass strongly correlates to HR residuals
- Mass-step for absolute magnitude



$$M_B = \begin{cases} M_B^1 & \text{if } M_{\text{stellar}} < 10^{10} M_{\odot}, \\ M_B^1 + \Delta_M & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Matthieu Roman - CPPM - 07/03/16

Betoule et al. (2014)

A first look at local host properties



Matthieu Roman - CPPM - 07/03/16

A first look at local host properties



Re-determination of H₀



RECONSIDERING THE EFFECTS OF LOCAL STAR FORMATION ON TYPE IA SUPERNOVA COSMOLOGY

DAVID O. JONES¹, ADAM G. RIESS^{1,2}, DANIEL M. SCOLNIC³ Draft version September 3, 2015

> use of JLA sample, different selection criteria, GALEX images

> > Matthieu Roman - CPPM - 07/03/16

Our challenge

We want to build a new tracer of local SN properties and perform precise measurements for the whole SNLS5 sample

Metrology Matthieu Roman - CPPM - 07/03/16

SNLS5 final data sample: hosts photometry

 we have global host galaxy photometry for most of the sample (83%)

	# SN	# Host photometry F		Reference	Filters/Instrument	
SNLS	392	346	Hardin	et al. (in prep.)	ugriz/MegaCam	
SDSS	330	291	Sako) et al. 2014	$ugriz/\mathrm{SDSS}$	
low-z	137	117	5	SIMBAD	ugriz/SDSS & JHK/2MASS	
Total	859	754		-	—	
		. ↓	. ↓			
	# SN	# z match	# in SDSS	# in 2MASS	# only 2MASS	no photo
CSP	18	16	8	16	8	0
CfAIII	79	68	46	59	19	3
CfAIV	40	38	24	33	12	2
Total	137	122	78	108	39	5

SNLS5 final data sample: hosts photometry

 we have global host galaxy photometry for most of the sample (83%)



Host photometry for SNLS

- Local: circular photometry with fixed radius (2-3 pixels) at SN position (violet circle)
- Global: corresponding host ellipse (blue)



- single-epoch images (DR12)
- coadded images of Stripe 82 (before fall 2005)



- single-epoch images (DR12)
- coadded images of Stripe 82 (before fall 2005)



- single-epoch images (DR12)
- coadded images of Stripe 82 (before fall 2005)





Photometric radius

we probe regions of radius 3kpc at all redshifts ullet



Photometric radius

• we probe regions of radius **3kpc** at all redshifts



 \mathcal{Z} Matthieu Roman - CPPM - 07/03/16

Photometric radius

• we probe regions of radius **3kpc** at all redshifts



 \mathcal{Z} Matthieu Roman - CPPM - 07/03/16

SED fitting (in a nutshell)

Matthieu Roman - CPPM - 07/03/16

SED fitting

- How to compute stellar masses?
 - a spectrum is built from observed magnitudes m_f at redshift z and compared to the **PEGASE** library
 - a model spectrum *M* is found by minimizing



Matthieu Roman - CPPM - 07/03/16

SED fitting: interpolator





SNLS+SDSS+nearby: cuts

- choice of SN cuts
 - well measured stretch σ_{c}
 - not too distant

 $\sigma_{x_1} < 0.5$ z < 0.8

choice of local photo cuts

- reasonable error on local circular magnitude

 $\sigma_{\rm mag,u} < 1, \ \sigma_{\rm mag,g} < 0.5, \ \sigma_{\rm mag,r} < 0.5, \ \sigma_{\rm mag,i} < 0.5, \ \sigma_{\rm mag,z} < 0.5$

 total of 441 (225+149+67) local photo measurements out of 715 (346+291+78) possible (62%)



SNLS+SDSS+nearby: local vs global properties



Matthieu Roman - CPPM - 07/03/16

SDSS+nearby: local vs global properties



SDSS+nearby: local vs global properties



42

SNLS+SDSS+nearby: local vs global properties



Matthieu Roman - CPPM - 07/03/16

Interpolator: EXPO (with extinction) Masses: PEGASE 43

SNLS: local vs global properties

preliminary



Bimodal color distribution

- fit the sum of two gaussian distributions
- find position of the trough between the peaks
- also works with global color, not with mass distribution
- (U-V)_{local} ≈ 0.65
- $(U-V)_{global} \approx 0.67$



Correlations with residuals: stellar mass



Correlations with residuals: local color



Matthieu Roman - CPPM - 07/03/16

Correlations with residuals: global color



Interpolator: EXPO (with extinction)

Matthieu Roman - CPPM - 07/03/16

Conclusions & Perspectives

- We built a new technique to measure color in the local environment of SNLS5 supernovæ
- Local color is the most correlated variable to Hubble residuals in all samples
- Progress can be made:
 - with an increase of the local photometric sample (Pan-Starrs, how to deal with very low fluxes?)
- Next step:
 - impact on cosmology

Conclusions & Perspectives

- We built a new technique to measure color in the local environment of SNLS5 supernovæ
- Local color is the most correlated variable to Hubble residuals in all samples
- Progress can be made:
 - with an increase of the local photometric sample (Pan-Starrs, how to deal with very low fluxes?)
- Next step:
 - impact on cosmology

Thank you for your attention