

Heat kernel measures on random metrics

Steve Zelditch

Joint work with Semyone Klevtsov

Cargese

Sept 2016

Random surfaces

This talk is about ‘random metrics’ on a surface M . In principle we would like to define probability measures on the infinite dimensional space \mathcal{K}_ω of metrics of fixed area 2π in a fixed conformal class $[\omega_0]$ on a Riemann surface M as limits

$$\int_{\mathcal{K}_\omega} F(g) e^{-S(g)} \mathcal{D}g := \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathcal{B}_k} F_k(g) e^{-S_k(g)} \mathcal{D}_k g \quad (1)$$

of integrals over finite dimensional spaces \mathcal{B}_k of *Bergman metrics*. In practice we study the asymptotics of the finite dimensional integrals.

In this talk, $e^{-S_k(g)} \mathcal{D}_k g$ will be a “heat kernel measure”.

Kähler manifold

For simplicity we set $M = \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 = S^2$. We fix a Kähler form on M , i.e. an area form $\omega_0 = dA = \sqrt{g}dx$. The natural choice is the round area form.

The infinite dimensional space of Kähler metrics in the class of ω_0 is

$$\mathcal{K}_\omega = \{\omega_\varphi = \omega_0 + i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi > 0, \varphi \in C^\infty(M)\}.$$

It is the same as the set $Conf(g_0, A)$ of metrics of fixed area $A = \int_M \omega_0$ which are conformal to $g_0(X, Y) = \omega_0(JX, Y)$, where J is the complex structure. Fix $A = 2\pi$.

One way to parametrize metrics in $Conf(g_0, A)$ is the Liouville form $e^\sigma g_0$. The way we choose is by Kähler potentials φ . That is, we write

$$\omega_\varphi := \omega_0 + i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi = e^u dA$$

or

$$(1 - \Delta_0\varphi) = e^u.$$

Space \mathcal{B}_k of Bergman metrics of degree k

It is difficult to define and study probability measures on an infinite dimensional space \mathcal{K}_ω . Our approach is to approximate \mathcal{K}_ω by finite dimensional subspaces

$$\mathcal{B}_k \subset \mathcal{K}_\omega$$

of metrics called Bergman metrics. As k varies they become dense in \mathcal{K}_ω in a strong sense. It is analogous to approximating any smooth or continuous function by polynomials of degree k .

Space \mathcal{B}_k of Bergman metrics of degree k

Bergman metrics are defined by holomorphic embeddings

$$\iota_S : M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^{N_k}$$

of M into a high dimensional projective space. The metric induced on $\iota_S(M)$ is the Bergman metric.

Holomorphic embeddings are constructed using holomorphic sections $s \in H^0(M, L^k)$ of powers $L^k \rightarrow M$ of an ample holomorphic line bundle over M . Here $c_1(L) = \mathcal{K}_\omega$

Metric-matrix correspondence

We will see that every Bergman metric corresponds to a positive Hermitian matrix and vice-versa. A positive Hermitian matrix corresponds to an inner product on $H^0(M, L^k)$ (once one basis is chosen). The space of inner products is denoted by \mathcal{I}_k . Thus,

$$\mathcal{B}_k \simeq \mathcal{I}_k \simeq GL(N_k, \mathbb{C})/U(N_k) = \mathcal{P}_{N_k}.$$

Heat kernel measures

The heat kernel measures are defined by

$$d\mu_k^t(P) := p_k(t, I, P)dV(P), \quad (2)$$

where $dV(P)$ is Haar measure, $p_k(t, P_1, P_2)$ is the heat kernel of the symmetric space \mathcal{P}_{N_k} and I is the identity matrix. Under the matrix-metric identification $\mathcal{B}_k \simeq \mathcal{P}_{N_k}$ the identity matrix corresponds to the background metric ω_{φ_I} and the heat kernel measure is transported to \mathcal{B}_k , with the identity matrix identified with the background metric. The measure is invariant under the action of the unitary group $U(N_k)$, hence of the choice of the basis of sections used to identify metrics and matrices.

Brownian motion on positive Hermitian matrices

The heat kernel measure $\mu_{k,t}$ is the probability measure on \mathcal{B}_k induced by Brownian motion on \mathcal{P}_{N_k} starting at the identity I up to time t . The heat kernel measure is almost canonical, the only choices being the time t and the background metric ω_{φ_I} used to make the identification and to start the Brownian motion.

Haar measure on the symmetric space of positive Hermitian matrices

We refer to the matrix decomposition $P = U^\dagger e^\Lambda U$ for $\Lambda = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N)$, and $U \in U(N)$ as 'polar coordinates' on \mathcal{P}_N .

The CK (Cartan-Killing) metric is given by

$$ds^2 = \text{Tr}(P^{-1}dP)^2 \quad (3)$$

for $P \in SL(N, \mathbb{C})/SU(N)$. This metric is bi-invariant under the action of $SL(N, \mathbb{C})$.

The associated volume form dV on the symmetric space $SL(N, \mathbb{C})/SU(N)$ of positive Hermitian matrices with $\det P = 1$ is the bi-invariant Haar measure,

$$dV = \delta \left(\sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j \right) \Delta^2(e^\lambda) \prod_{j=1}^N d\lambda_j \cdot \frac{[dU]}{[dU_{U(1)^N}]}, \quad (4)$$

where $[dU]$ is the standard Haar measure on unitary group.

Heat kernel measure on $SL(N, \mathbb{C})/SU(N)$

The heat kernel on $SL(N, \mathbb{C})/SU(N)$ with respect to the standard CK (Cartan-Killing) metric is given in 'polar coordinates' (λ, U) on \mathcal{P}_N by

$$d\mu_t = g_t(\lambda)dV = C(t, N) \frac{\Delta(\lambda)}{\Delta(e^\lambda)} e^{-\frac{1}{4t} \sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j^2} dV. \quad (5)$$

Here, $\Delta(\lambda) = \prod_{i < j} (\lambda_j - \lambda_i)$ is the standard Vandermonde determinant. Recall

$$dV = \delta \left(\sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j \right) \Delta^2(e^\lambda) \prod_{j=1}^N d\lambda_j \cdot \frac{[dU]}{[dU_{U(1)^N}]}, \quad (6)$$

Note that one factor of $\Delta(e^\lambda)$ cancels.

Normalization constant

The normalization constant $C(t, N)$ is given by

$$C(t, N) = \frac{\sqrt{N}}{2\pi(\sqrt{4\pi t})^{N^2-1}} e^{-\frac{t}{12}N(N^2-1)}. \quad (7)$$

The factor $e^{-\frac{t}{12}N(N^2-1)}$ is $e^{-t\|\delta_N\|^2}$ and arises because $\|\delta_N\|^2$ is the bottom of the spectrum of the Laplacian. Thus,

$$d\mu_t = \frac{\sqrt{N}e^{-\frac{t}{12}N(N^2-1)}}{2\pi(\sqrt{4\pi t})^{N^2-1}} \delta\left(\sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j\right) \Delta(\lambda)\Delta(e^\lambda) e^{-\frac{1}{4t}\sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j^2} \prod_{j=1}^N d\lambda_j dU \quad (8)$$

for the heat kernel measure on $SL(N, \mathbb{C})/SU(N)$ with respect to the CK metric.

Observables

The main 'observables', i.e. geometric quantities, are the *area statistics*

$$X_U(\omega) = \int_U \omega \quad (9)$$

measuring the area of an open set $U \subset M$ with respect to the random area form $\omega_\varphi \in \mathcal{B}_k$.

The mean is determined by the 1-point function

$$K_{1,k}(t, z) = \int_{\mathcal{B}_k} \varphi_P(z) d\mu_{t,k}(P)$$

and the variance is determined by the two-point function

$$K_{2,k}(z_1, z_2) := \mathbf{E}_k \varphi_P(z_1) \varphi_P(z_2). \quad (10)$$

Calculation of the two point function

As mentioned in the introduction, the one and two-point functions are the data required to study the mean and variance of the area random variables X_U . Evidently,

$$\mathbf{E}_k X_U = \int_U \mathbf{E}_k \omega,$$

$$\mathbf{Var}(X_U) = \iint_U \mathbf{E}_k [\omega(z_1)\omega(z_2)] - \iint_U \mathbf{E}_k [\omega(z_1)]\mathbf{E}_k [\omega(z_2)].$$

The integrands are the one- and two-point correlation functions.

Variance of the area

Thus, $I_{2,k}(z_1, z_2)$ is the bi-potential of the variance of the area forms (or Kähler metrics in higher dimensions) relative to the exterior tensor product $\omega_0 \boxtimes \omega_0$,

$$\mathbf{Var}(\omega_\varphi) = \mathbf{E}(\omega_\varphi \boxtimes \omega_\varphi) - \mathbf{E}(\omega_\varphi) \boxtimes \mathbf{E}(\omega_\varphi) = \mathbf{E}(\omega_\varphi \boxtimes \omega_\varphi) - \omega_0 \boxtimes \omega_0, \quad (11)$$

in the sense that

$$\mathbf{Var}(\omega_\varphi) = \frac{1}{k^2} (i\partial\bar{\partial})_z (i\partial\bar{\partial})_w I_{2,k}(z, w), \quad (12)$$

From eigenvalues to the Berezin kernel

It is easy to see that $\int_{\mathcal{B}_k} \varphi_P(z) d\mu_{k,t}(P) = \varphi_0(z)$, the background potential. The two-point function is much harder.

The eigenvalue density $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{B}_k}(e^\lambda)$ induces a function $\mathcal{F}_{k,2}(\nu_1, \nu_2)$ on \mathbb{R}_+^2 , so that the 2-point correlation function has the form,

$$K_{2,k}(z_1, z_2) := \mathbf{E}_k \varphi_P(z_1) \varphi_P(z_2) = \varphi_0(z_1) \varphi_0(z_2) + \frac{1}{k^2} I_{2,k}(\rho), \quad (13)$$

where

$$\rho(z_1, z_2) = \frac{|B_k(z_1, z_2)|^2}{B_k(z_1, z_1) B_k(z_2, z_2)} \quad (14)$$

is an important invariant of the Bergman kernel $B_k(z_1, z_2)$ of the background metric, known as the Berezin kernel.

Calculation of the two point function

THEOREM

The variance term of the two-point (or pair) correlation function on general projective Kähler manifolds is given by

$$\partial_{\rho} I_{2,k}(t, \rho) = \frac{2t}{\rho}$$

$$-\frac{e^{-t/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} \frac{\sqrt{1-\rho}}{\rho} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\lambda \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2t}\lambda^2} \cosh \lambda}{\sqrt{\coth^2 \lambda - \rho}} \log \frac{\sqrt{\coth^2 \lambda - \rho} + \sqrt{1-\rho}}{\sqrt{\coth^2 \lambda - \rho} - \sqrt{1-\rho}}.$$

We do not integrate the result because the metric is obtained by differentiating it. The RHS has no k -dependence, except for the variable ρ .

Brownian motion intuition

Heat kernel random metrics are the metrics obtained by starting at the background metric ω_{φ_I} and following a Brownian motion on \mathcal{P}_{N_k} for time t .

The formula for the two-point function reflects the geometry of Brownian motion of the non-positively curved symmetric space \mathcal{P}_{N_k} , which is very different from that of Euclidean space. First, due to the non-isotropic nature of Haar measure, the heat measure is concentrated along the $SU(N_k)$ -orbit of a distinguished element δ_{N_k} , the half-sum of the positive roots. Second, in the radial direction the heat kernel measure concentrates in a kind of annulus of radius t around the $SU(N_k)$ -orbit of δ_{N_k} .

As $t \rightarrow \infty$, the heat kernel measure becomes supported on the ideal boundary $\partial_\infty \mathcal{P}_{N_k}$.

Geometry of the heat kernel and Brownian motion

In work of Anker-Setti, it is proved that the mass of the heat kernel concentrates along the exponential image of the $U(N)$ -orbit of the δ_N -axis in a small annulus centered at $2|\delta_N|t$.

If we write $H = \text{diag}(\lambda)$, then the Gaussian factor

$t^{-(N^2-1)/2} e^{-\frac{\|H\|^2}{4t}}$ is similar to the heat kernel of Euclidean space.

But this Gaussian factor must compete with the exponential volume growth factor $\Delta(e^\lambda)$ and the factor $e^{-\frac{t}{12}N(N^2-1)}$ due to the existence of a spectral gap for Δ . The well-known factor $\Delta(\lambda)$ pushes the eigenvalues of $\log P$ apart. The factor $J(H)$ is bounded by $e^{2\langle \delta_N, \vec{\lambda} \rangle}$ and a simplified expression for the heat kernel is

$e^{-t|\delta_N|^2 + \langle \lambda, \rho_N \rangle - \frac{|\lambda|^2}{2t}}$. The maximum of the exponent occurs when $\vec{\lambda} = 2t\delta_N$.

Bergman metrics

We choose a basis of sections $\{s_i(z)\} = \{s_1(z), \dots, s_{N_k}(z)\}$ of $H^0(M, L^k)$ which is orthonormal with respect to the reference (background) metric h_0^k on L^k and the corresponding Kähler metric $\omega_0 = -\frac{1}{k}i\partial\bar{\partial} \log h_0^k$ on M

$$\frac{1}{V} \int_M \bar{s}_i(z) s_j(z) h_0^k \frac{\omega_0^n}{n!} = \delta_{ij}, \quad (15)$$

where $n = \dim M$. The Bergman kernel of the background metric is the kernel of the orthogonal projection onto $H^0(M, L^k)$ with respect to the inner product above, and is given by

$$B_k(z_1, z_2) = \sum_{j=1}^{N_k} s_j(z_1) \overline{s_j(z_2)} \quad (16)$$

Given a positive Hermitian matrix $P = P_{ij}$ the associated Bergman metric is,

$$\omega_a(z) = \frac{1}{k} \partial\bar{\partial} \log \bar{s}_i(z) P_{ij} s_j(z). \quad (17)$$

In terms of $A \in GL(N_k, \mathbb{C})$ above, $P = A^\dagger A$.

Berezin kernel

We introduce the Bergman potential as follows

$$\varphi_P = \frac{1}{k} \log \bar{s}_i(z) P_{ij} s_j(z) = \frac{1}{k} \log |\langle e^\Lambda U s(z), U s(z) \rangle|^2. \quad (18)$$

The key invariant is the Berezin kernel (14), given in the above notation by

$$\rho = \frac{|\langle s(z_1), s(z_2) \rangle|^2}{|s(z_1)|^2 |s(z_2)|^2}, \quad (19)$$

or in terms of the Bergman kernel

$$\rho = P_k^2(z_1, z_2) := \frac{|B_k(z_1, z_2)|^2}{B_k(z_1, z_1) B_k(z_2, z_2)}. \quad (20)$$

Matrix-metric correspondence

The matrix-metric correspondence Eq. (17) uses a choice of basis $\{s_j\}$ of $H^0(M, L^k)$. Any natural measure on \mathcal{B}_k must be independent of the choice of this basis. We pause to describe such natural measures.

Any Kähler metric $\omega = \omega_0 + i\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi$ in \mathcal{K}_ω induces an inner product $\text{Hilb}_k(\varphi)$ on $H^0(M, L^k)$ by the rule

$$\langle s_1, s_2 \rangle_{\text{Hilb}_k(\varphi)} = \int_M \bar{s}_1(\bar{z}) s_2(z) h^k \frac{\omega^n}{n!}.$$

Given a background inner product $G_0 = \text{Hilb}_k(\varphi_0)$, any other inner product has the form $\langle s_1, s_2 \rangle_G = \langle P_G s_1, s_2 \rangle_{G_0}$ where P_G is a positive Hermitian operator on $H^0(M, L^k)$ with respect to G_0 . It has a well-defined polar decomposition $e^\Lambda U$ where $U \in U(G_0)$ is unitary with respect to G_0 . Its eigenvalues are encoded by the diagonal matrix Λ_G and its eigenvectors are encoded by U .

Bases of $H^0(M, L^k)$ and holomorphic embeddings

We let $\mathcal{B}H^0(M, L^k)$ denote the manifold of all bases $\underline{s} = \{s_0, \dots, s_{d_k}\}$ of $H^0(M, L^k)$. Given a basis, we define the Kodaira embedding

$$\iota_{\underline{s}} : M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{d_k}, \quad z \rightarrow [s_0(z), \dots, s_{d_k}(z)]. \quad (21)$$

A Bergman metric of height k is

$$h_{\underline{s}} := (\iota_{\underline{s}}^* h_{FS})^{1/k} = \frac{h_0}{\left(\sum_{j=0}^{d_k} |s_j(z)|_{h_0}^2\right)^{1/k}}, \quad (22)$$

where h_{FS} is the Fubini-Study Hermitian metric on $\mathcal{O}(1) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^{d_k}$.

Space of Bergman metrics

We then define

$$\mathcal{B}_k = \{h_{\underline{s}}, \underline{s} \in \mathcal{B}H^0(M, L^k)\}. \quad (23)$$

We use the same notation for the associated space of potentials φ such that $h_{\underline{s}} = e^{-\varphi} h_0$ and for the associated Kähler metrics ω_φ . Given one embedding $\iota_{\underline{s}}$, corresponding to one choice of basis of $H^0(M, L^k)$,

$$\mathcal{B}_k = \left\{ \frac{i}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} \log |A \iota_{\underline{s}}(z)|, A \in GL(d_k + 1) \right\}.$$

$\mathcal{I}_k =$ symmetric space of inner products on $H^0(M, L^k)$

Since $U(d_k + 1)$ is the isometry group of ω_{FS} ,
 $\mathcal{B}_k \simeq GL(d_k + 1)/U(d_k + 1), = \mathcal{P}_{N_k}$, the space of positive
Hermitian matrices.

\mathcal{B}_k may be identified with the space \mathcal{I}_k of Hermitian inner products
on $H^0(M, L^k)$, the correspondence being that a basis is identified
with an inner product for which the basis is Hermitian orthonormal.

$$\text{Hilb}_k : \mathcal{K}_\omega \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_k$$

Not only is $\mathcal{B}_k \subset \mathcal{K}_\omega$ but also there exist natural maps

$$\text{Hilb}_k : \mathcal{K}_\omega \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_k,$$

by the rule that a Hermitian metric $h \in \mathcal{K}_\omega$ induces the inner products on $H^0(M, L^k)$,

$$\|s\|_{\text{Hilb}_k(h)}^2 = R \int_M |s(z)|_{h^k}^2 dV_h, \quad (24)$$

where $dV_h = \frac{\omega_h^m}{m!}$, and where $R = \frac{d_k+1}{\text{Vol}(M, dV_h)}$. Also, h^k denotes the induced metric on L^k .

$$FS_k : \mathcal{I}_k \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_k$$

Further, we define

$$FS_k : \mathcal{I}_k \simeq \mathcal{B}_k$$

as follows: an inner product $G = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $H^0(M, L^k)$ determines a G -orthonormal basis $\underline{s} = \underline{s}_G$ of $H^0(M, L^k)$ and an associated Kodaira embedding (21) and Bergman metric (26). Thus,

$$FS_k(G) = h_{\underline{s}_G}. \quad (25)$$

The right side is independent of the choice of h_0 and the choice of orthonormal basis.

$$h_{\underline{s}} := (\iota_{\underline{s}}^* h_{FS})^{1/k} = \frac{h_0}{\left(\sum_{j=0}^{d_k} |s_j(z)|_{h_0^k}^2 \right)^{1/k}}, \quad (26)$$

where h_{FS} is the Fubini-Study Hermitian metric on $\mathcal{O}(1) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^{d_k}$.

Density of Bergman metrics (Tian-Yau-Z-(Catlin) expansion...)

A basic fact is that the union

$$\mathcal{B} = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}_k$$

of Bergman metrics is dense in the C^∞ -topology in the space \mathcal{H} .
Indeed,

$$\frac{FS_k \circ \text{Hilb}_k(h)}{h} = 1 + O(k^2), \quad (27)$$

where the remainder is estimated in $C^r(M)$ for any $r > 0$; left side moreover has a complete asymptotic expansion .

Bergman geodesics

A geodesic in the space of Bergman metrics is defined by moving an embedding $\iota_S(M)$ by a 1 PS e^{tA} of $SL(d_k + 1, \mathbb{C})$. The Bergman metric at time t is the intrinsic metric on M induced by $e^{tA}\mathcal{I}_S(M)$. Its potential has the form

$$\varphi_t(z) = \frac{1}{k} \log |e^{tA}\iota_S(z)|^2.$$

Concentration of mass of the heat kernel

Let $\gamma(t)$ be a positive function with $t^{\frac{1}{2}}\gamma(t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, and let $R(t)$ be a positive function such that $t^{-\frac{1}{2}}R(t) \rightarrow \infty$. Consider the annulus

$$A(2|\delta_N|t - R(t), 2|\delta_N|t + R(t)) := \{H : 2|\delta_N|t - R(t) \leq |H| \leq 2|\delta_N|t + R(t)\}$$

and consider the solid cone

$$\Gamma(t) = \text{solid cone around the } \delta_N \text{ axis of angle } \gamma(t),$$

and let

$$\Omega(t) = A(2|\delta_N|t - R(t), 2|\delta_N|t + R(t)) \cap \Gamma(t).$$

Then, according to Anker-Setti,

$$\int_{U(N)_{\exp \Omega(t)} U(N)} d\mu_t \rightarrow 1, \quad t \rightarrow \infty. \quad (28)$$

Some ideas of the calculation

We have,

$$\begin{aligned} & K_{2,k}(z, w) - \varphi_I(z)\varphi_I(w) \\ &= \frac{1}{k^2} \mathbf{E}_k \left[\log \frac{\bar{s}(z_1)U^\dagger e^\Lambda U s(z_1)}{|s(z_1)|^2} \log \frac{\bar{s}(z_2)U^\dagger e^\Lambda U s(z_2)}{|s(z_2)|^2} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{k^2} \lim_{\tau_1, \tau_2 \rightarrow 0} (I_{2,k}(t, \rho, \tau_1, \tau_2) + C \end{aligned}$$

Then $I_{2,k}(t, \rho, \tau_1, \tau_2) =$

$$\iint_0^\infty x_1^{\tau_1-1} x_2^{\tau_2-1} dx_1 dx_2 \int_{SL(N, \mathbb{C})/SU(N)} e^{-\text{Tr } e^\Lambda U \Phi U^\dagger} d\mu_t. \quad (29)$$

where $\Phi_{jl} = x_1 \frac{s_j(z_1)\bar{s}_l(z_1)}{|s(z_1)|^2} + x_2 \frac{s_j(z_2)\bar{s}_l(z_2)}{|s(z_2)|^2}$. It has rank 2 with two non-zero eigenvalues given by

$$\varphi_{1,2} = \frac{1}{2} \left(x_1 + x_2 \pm \sqrt{(1-\rho)(x_1-x_2)^2 + \rho(x_1+x_2)^2} \right).$$

Itzykson-Zuber integral formula

The integration over the unitary group can be carried out using the standard Itzykson-Zuber formula. Namely, for any two Hermitian matrices A and B with eigenvalues a_j and b_j

$$\int_{U(N)} \frac{[dU]}{\text{Vol } U(N)} \exp\left(\mu \text{Tr} AUBU^\dagger\right) \\ = \left(\prod_{p=1}^{N-1} p! \right) \mu^{-N(N-1)/2} \frac{\det(e^{\mu a_j b_l})_{1 \leq j, l \leq N}}{\Delta(a)\Delta(b)}.$$

We end up with an integral over λ which is only non-Gaussian in two λ_j 's. A somewhat lengthy and complicated calculation leads to the stated formula.

Itzykson-Zuber formula

Applying the HCIZ to the unitary integration we obtain

$$(-1)^{N(N-1)/2} \frac{N!(N-1)!}{(\varphi_1\varphi_2)^{N-2}(\varphi_1 - \varphi_2)} \frac{e^{-\varphi_1 e^{\lambda_1} - \varphi_2 e^{\lambda_2}}}{\prod_{j=2}^N (e^{\lambda_1} - e^{\lambda_j}) \prod_{l=3}^N (e^{\lambda_2} - e^{\lambda_l})}$$

where we used the fact that the eigenvalue measure is symmetric in λ 's. Using the explicit form of the heat kernel measures μ_t :

$$I_{2,k}(t, \rho, \tau_1, \tau_2) = (-1)^{N(N-1)/2} C(t, N) \frac{\text{Vol } U(N)}{(2\pi)^N} N!(N-1)!$$

$$\iint_0^\infty \frac{x_1^{\tau_1-1} x_2^{\tau_2-1} dx_1 dx_2}{(\varphi_1\varphi_2)^{N-2}(\varphi_1 - \varphi_2)} \int_{-\infty}^\infty dy \int \prod_{j=1}^N d\lambda_j \Delta(\lambda) \Delta_{12}(e^\lambda) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4t} \sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j^2 + iy \sum_{j=1}^N \lambda_j - \varphi_1 e^{\lambda_1} - \varphi_2 e^{\lambda_2}\right),$$

where $\Delta_{12}(e^\lambda)$ excludes e^{λ_1} and e^{λ_2} .

Conclusion of calculation

Except for the variables λ_1, λ_2 the integral is Gaussian (with a polynomial amplitude). A series of tricks leads to the explicit calculation. The conclusion is:

$$\partial_\rho I_{2,k}(t, \rho) = \frac{2t}{\rho} - \frac{e^{-t/2}}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} \frac{\sqrt{1-\rho}}{\rho} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\lambda \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2t}\lambda^2} \cosh \lambda}{\sqrt{\coth^2 \lambda - \rho}} \log \frac{\sqrt{\coth^2 \lambda - \rho} + \sqrt{1-\rho}}{\sqrt{\coth^2 \lambda - \rho} - \sqrt{1-\rho}}.$$

Scaling asymptotics

In all of the regimes, the key to finding the scaling asymptotics is to work out the behavior of

$$A(t, \rho) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{\coth^2 t - \rho}} \log \frac{\sqrt{\coth^2 t - \rho} + \sqrt{1 - \rho}}{\sqrt{\coth^2 t - \rho} - \sqrt{1 - \rho}} \quad (30)$$

as $k \rightarrow \infty$ where t may depend on k . As will be seen below, the factors of $(2\pi t)^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-t/2}$ in front of the integral are always cancelled, leaving the prefactor $\frac{\sqrt{1-\rho}}{\rho}$ in front of the integral and the first term $\frac{2t}{\rho}$.

Large k limit for fixed t

Note that $\rho \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ off the diagonal. Expanding at small ρ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} e^{-t/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\lambda e^{-\frac{1}{2t}\lambda^2} \frac{\cosh \lambda}{\sqrt{\coth^2 \lambda - \rho}} \log \frac{\sqrt{\coth^2 \lambda - \rho} + \sqrt{1 - \rho}}{\sqrt{\coth^2 \lambda - \rho} - \sqrt{1 - \rho}} \\ = 2t + \mathcal{O}(\rho), \end{aligned}$$

and the first term here cancels the first term. Thus in the regime when we hold (z_1, z_2) fixed then $\rho \rightarrow 0$, we get

$$I_{2,k}(t, \rho) \simeq a_0(t) + a_1(t)\rho + \dots,$$

where a_0, a_1, \dots are constants independent of ρ .

2-point function

To obtain the 2-point correlation function of the Kähler metric, we then take three more derivatives. The constant a_0 does not contribute to the answer and we see that the two point correlation function is the free background term $\omega_{\varphi_1}(z_1)\omega_{\varphi_1}(z_2)$ plus a term exponentially decaying off the diagonal like $C_2(t)e^{-kD_1(z_1,z_2)}$.

The limit as $t \rightarrow \infty$ for fixed k

Now we apply steepest descent to the second integral as $t \rightarrow \infty$, and keeping k, N_k fixed. We obtain

$$\partial_\rho l_{2,k}(\infty, \rho) := \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \partial_\rho l_{2,k}(t, \rho) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2t}{\rho} - \frac{1}{\rho} (2t + \log(1 - \rho) + \mathcal{O}(1/t))$$

Thus we have,

$$l_{2,k}(\infty, \rho) = \text{Li}_2(\rho) + \text{const.} \quad (31)$$

This is the same as the two point correlation function between zeros of Gaussian random holomorphic sections.

The metric scaling limit with $t \rightarrow t\epsilon_k^{-2}$

The goal now is to evaluate $I_{2,k}(\epsilon_k^{-2}t, \rho)$ asymptotically as $k \rightarrow \infty$. This scaling keeps the d_k -balls of uniform size as $k \rightarrow \infty$ with respect to the limit Mabuchi metric. Thus, as k changes the Brownian motion with respect to g_k probes distances of size t from the initial metric ω_0 for all k .

We get,

$$\partial_\rho I_{2,k}(\epsilon_k^{-2}t, \rho) \simeq -\frac{\log(1-\rho)}{\rho}.$$

The first term cancels the singularity and the second term has a log singularity.

Continuation of the calculation

The scaling asymptotics of this kernel near the diagonal may be described as follows. Let $z \in M$. Then

$$P_k \left(z + \frac{u}{\sqrt{k}}, z + \frac{v}{\sqrt{k}} \right) = e^{-\frac{1}{2}|u-v|^2} [1 + R_k(u, v)], \quad (32)$$

It follows that the scaling asymptotics of the variance term for random metrics is given by

$$I_{2,k}(\epsilon_k^{-2}t, P_k(z, z + u/\sqrt{k})) \simeq \log(1 - e^{-|u|^2}), \quad (33)$$

just as in the limit as $t \rightarrow \infty$ first.

Random zeros as the boundary of \mathcal{B}_k

The result of is essentially the same as the theory of zeros of random holomorphic sections. As $t \rightarrow \infty$, the mass of the heat kernel gets concentrated on a part of the boundary of \mathcal{P}_{N_k} corresponding to 'singular metrics' given by zero sets of holomorphic sections.

The boundary relevant to the heat kernel measures and their $t \rightarrow \infty$ limit is best stated in terms of the Bergman metrics themselves and their limits along geodesic rays of \mathcal{P}_{N_k} .

The weak* compactification of \mathcal{B}_k is $\mathcal{B}_k \cup \partial\mathcal{B}_k$ where $\partial\mathcal{B}_k$ is the set of limit points (i.e. endpoints) of the Bergman metrics along Bergman geodesic rays $\omega_k(s)$.

Bergman geodesic rays to the boundary

A geodesic ray in the space of Bergman potentials is a one-parameter family of metrics whose potentials have the form,

$$\beta_t = \frac{1}{k} \log \sum_j e^{t\lambda_j} |s_j^U(z)|^2,$$

where in $SL(N_k, \mathbb{C})/SU(N_k)$ the ray starts at the origin and has initial vector (U, Λ) .

We note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{k} \log \sum_j e^{t\lambda_j} |s_j^U(z)|^2 = \frac{t\lambda_{\max}}{k} \\ & + \frac{1}{k} \log \left(|s_{\max}^U(z)|^2 + \sum_{j \neq \max} e^{t(\lambda_j - \lambda_{\max})} |s_j^U(z)|^2 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Here, λ_{\max} is the largest of the λ_j and s_{\max}^U is the corresponding section.

Continuation of the calculation

For any Bergman geodesic ray,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{k} \log \left(|s_{\max}^U(z)|^2 + \sum_{\lambda_j \neq \max} e^{t(\lambda_j - \lambda_{\max})} |s_j^U(z)|^2 \right) - \frac{1}{k} \log |s_{\max}(z)|^2 \right\|_{L^1(M)} \rightarrow 0.$$

Continuation of the calculation

PROPOSITION

The weak limits of Bergman metrics along geodesic rays are generically given by the normalized zero distributions of holomorphic sections of L^k , i.e. as $t \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\frac{1}{k} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \sum_j e^{t\lambda_j} |s_j^U(z)|^2 \rightarrow \frac{1}{k} \partial \bar{\partial} \log |s|^2.$$

If the highest weight has multiplicity r , then the limit is $\frac{1}{k} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \sum_{j=1}^r |s_j|^2$ where $1 \leq r \leq n$ and $\{s_j\}_{j=1}^r$ is any set of sections in $H^0(M, L^k)$.

Relation to zeros of holomorphic sections

The resulting two point function is the same as for the pair correlation function of zeros of Gaussian random holomorphic sections

$$d\gamma(s) = \frac{1}{\pi^m} e^{-|c|^2} dc, \quad s = \sum_{j=1}^n c_j s_j, \quad (34)$$

on L^k , where $\{s_j\}$ is an orthonormal basis and dc is $2n$ -dimensional Lebesgue measure. This Gaussian is characterized by the property that the $2n$ real variables $\Re c_j, \Im c_j$ ($j = 1, \dots, n$) are independent Gaussian random variables with mean 0 and variance $\frac{1}{2}$; i.e.,

$$\mathbf{E}c_j = 0, \quad \mathbf{E}c_j c_k = 0, \quad \mathbf{E}c_j \bar{c}_k = \delta_{jk}.$$

The current of integration Z_s over the zeros of one section is given by the Poincaré-Lelong formula

$$Z_s = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \log |f| = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\pi} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \|s^N\|_h + \varphi_I(z), \quad (35)$$

Relation to random zeros

As mentioned above, the limit as $t \rightarrow \infty$ of random Bergman metrics along the rays above must be random singular metrics, and we claim that the limit ensemble is equivalent to the Gaussian one. Indeed, the limit measure is $U(N_k)$ -invariant and there exists just one such measure up to equivalence, namely the Gaussian measure above. This explains why the bipotential Q_k for random zeros is the same as $I_{2,k}(\rho)$.

This is precisely the formula for $I_{2,k}(\infty, \rho)$ for the limit 2 point function of the heat kernel ensemble as $t \rightarrow \infty$, corroborating that this limit ensemble is that of random zeros of sections.