# Highlights and prospectives in neutrino physics

**Alberto Remoto** 

[remoto@in2p3.fr](mailto:remoto@in2p3.fr)

Laboratoire d'Annecy-le-vieux de Physique des Particules



## What do we know so far?



# Oscillation: a comprehensive summary



FIG. 3: As in Fig. 2, but adding SK at most adding SK at  $\mu$  and  $\mu$  and  $\mu$  and  $\mu$ 



- $\Delta m^2$ <sub>13,</sub>  $\Delta m^2$ <sub>23</sub>
- Sign of Δm<sup>2</sup>23
- $\Box$   $\Theta_{23}$  octant

 $\blacksquare$  $\Box$   $\Diamond$  CP.  $\Box$   $\Diamond$  is defined herein of the results. We remind that  $\Box$  is defined herein as  $\Box$ <sup>3</sup> − (m<sup>2</sup>  $-$ <sup>2</sup>)/2, with +∆m<sup>2</sup> for NH and −∆m<sup>2</sup> for IH. The CP violating phase is taken in the (cyclic) interval δ/π ∈ [0, 2].  $\Box$  δcp



[\[PRD 89, 093018 \(2014\)\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1312.2878)



# Mass hierarchy?

Mass scale?

Dirac/Majorana?

CP Violation?

Sterile neutrinos?

# Mass hierarchy

- Oscillations provides the **amplitude** of the mass splittings but **not the sign**
- The sign of Δm<sup>2</sup> <sub>21</sub> has been fixed studying solar oscillation
- Two possibility for  $\Delta m^2$ 31: Normal or Inverted



for accelerator neutrino experiments with a shorter baseline such as Hyper-K [87, 88] and

#### $\mathbf{F}$  is as illustrated in Fig. 2-2  $\mathbf{F}$ It **impacts many important processes** in particle physics, astrophysics and cosmology:

- $\theta$  and  $\theta$  and  $\theta$  are  $\theta$  in the reveal when a search in the reveal  $\theta$  and  $\theta$ 1. Crucial factor to determine δ<sub>CP</sub> : degenerate solution depending from MH
- 2. Define target sensitivity for neutrino-less double beta decay
- 3. Neutrino astronomy, Cosmology
- riain of neutrino masses and flavour mixing  $t_{\text{tot}}$  reduce the significance of the CP measurement. This effect is even more input more input more input more input  $t_{\text{tot}}$ 4. Critical parameter to understand origin of neutrino masses and flavour mixing



## Mass hierarchy via matter effects — the present



## Mass hierarchy via matter effects — the future  $\frac{C}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$

DUNE: 40 kT LAr TPC @ Sanford, 1.2 MW beam from Fermilab (~1300 km) + Atm.

• 5σ MH for all values of  $\delta_{CP}$  for  $\sim$  400 kt x MW x y ( $\sim$  4.5 y v<sub>u</sub> + 4.5 y anti-v<sub>u</sub>)  $\overline{A}$   $\overline{F}$   $\overline{Y}$   $\overline{Q}$   $\overline{Q}$   $\overline{P}$   $\overline{Y}$   $\overline{Q}$ **Co** TVITTION AIN VAILLES ON OUP TO PHOUT IN A TWO

Hyper K: 1 MT W. Cherenkov @ Kamioka, Atm. + 1.66 MW beam from JPARC (~300 km)

 $3\sigma$  MH for sin<sup>2</sup> $\theta_{13}$  > 0.42 (0.43) for NH (IH) in 10 years.

PINGU, ORCA: ν—observatory in Antarctica & Mediterranean sea, high energy atm.



Alberto Remoto

# Mass hierarchy via oscillation interference

$$
P(\bar{\nu}_e \to \bar{\nu}_e) = 1 - \cos^4 \theta_{13} \sin^2 2\theta_{12} \sin^2 \Delta_{21}
$$
  
- 
$$
- \sin^2 2\theta_{13} (\cos^2 \theta_{12} \sin^2 \Delta_{31} + \sin^2 \theta_{12} \sin^2 \Delta_{32})
$$



$$
\Delta_{ij} = \Delta m_{ij}^2 \frac{L}{4E}
$$
 There is a 3% difference in  $\Delta m_{31}^2$  depending from the MH  

$$
\Delta_{m_{31}^2 (lH) \neq \Delta m_{31}^2 (NH)}
$$
  
**Spectral distortion** on medium (~50 km) baseline reactor neutrino exp~~exp~~ing the. 8m<sup>2</sup>/ $\Delta m^2$ )

contain information on the MH: distinctive features in the frequency  $(\Delta m^2)$  domain

JUNO — 20 kt Liquid Scintillator with 50 km baseline, require 3% energy resolution  $3\%$ 



# Dirac vs Majorana

Mass limits inferred by direct measurement  $({}^{3}H$   $\beta$ -decay  $-$  m<sub>v</sub>  $\leq$  1 eV) and Indirect observation (Plank 2015 — **Σ**m ≤ 0.2 eV)

- The Higgs coupling is **unnatural**
- **See-saw** as possible explanation but **requires** Majorana neutrinos



- 2νββ decay:  $(A, Z) \to (A, Z + 2) + 2e^- + 2\bar{\nu}_e$
- $OVBB$  decay:  $(A, Z) \to (A, Z + 2) + 2e^-$

$$
(T_{1/2}^{0\nu})^{-1} = G_{0\nu}(Q_{\beta\beta}, Z)|M_{0\nu}|^2\eta^2
$$

$$
m_D = \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} Y_{\nu} \leftarrow Y_{\nu} \approx 10^{-12}
$$
  
( $Y_e \sim 0.3 \times 10^{-5}$ )





$$
\langle m_{\beta\beta}\rangle = \left|\sum_i U_{ei}^2 m_i\right|
$$

Related to oscillation parameters and **mass hierarchy** 

## 5 years time scale:

- $\bullet$  M  $\sim$  10 50 kg of  $\beta\beta$  isotope
- Background level 10<sup>-3</sup> cts. /(keV kg y)
- Explore quasi-degenerate region

## 10 years time scale:

- $\bullet$  M  $\sim$  100 kg 1t of  $\beta\beta$  isotope
- Background level 10<sup>-4</sup> cts. /(keV kg y)
- Approach Inverse Hierarchy region

CUORE, Gerda, Majorana, Lucifer, AMORE, NEXT, COBRA, EXO, SNO+, KamLAND-Zen, CANDLES, SuperNEMO, ...



Alberto Remoto

#### Alberto Remoto

#### CP violation in the lepton sector  $\begin{array}{ccc} \hline \end{array}$ Chapter 3: Long-Baseline Neutrino Oscillation Physics 3–29

- Big-bang: symmetry between matter and antimatter
- Matter is dominant in the universe right  $now \rightarrow$  asymmetry
- **CP violation in baryon sector**<sub>2</sub> is not enough **12 DUNE CPV Sensitivity**
- **1 CP violation in the lepton sector**  ${}_{3}^{Normal\,Hierarchy}$ <br> **10.085 10.085 10.085** *leptogenesis* **→ might explain <sup>strie</sup>rretht** asymmetry **8 Normal Hierarchy**
- DUNE/HyperK experiments aim to measure  $\delta$ <sub>CP</sub> with long baseline  $\sim$  **= 6**
	- Cover  $> 50\%$  δ<sub>CP</sub> values @ 5σ in ~10 y **4**
	- Cover > 75% δ<sub>CP</sub> values @ 3σ in ~10 y

**Exposure (kt-MW-years) 0 200 400 600 800 1000 1200 1400 0**

![](_page_11_Figure_10.jpeg)

# Sterile neutrino

- Reactor anti-ve disappearance at very-short baseline
- LSND & MiniBooNE: ν<sub>e</sub> appearance at high Δm<sup>2</sup> (not covered in this talk) — SBN program @ FNAL
- **Additional neutrinos** may explain the anomalies
- LEP data constrain number of active neutrino: the additional neutrinos must be sterile

![](_page_12_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Figure_6.jpeg)

## Sterile neutrino — what's going on? (ve disappearance) source can pair  $\alpha$  being used to confirm the energy scale calibration performance calibration performed with the energy scale calibration performed with the energy scale calibration performed with the energy scale calib

Search for  $v_e$  disappearance at veryshort baseline with ND280 @ T2K

Analysis still statistical dominated.

![](_page_13_Figure_4.jpeg)

@ accelerator  $\qquad \qquad |$  @ very short baseline rector neutrino experiment

 $STEREO,$ 

 $\mathcal{L}$ Segmented Gd-doped LS

Finally search will be performanced.<br>
Sood energy resolution, low S/B ration. One year of this year. One year of this year. One year of the use of the use of the use of the use of the u ration hypothesis, computed by the null oscillation hypothesis, computed by  $\mathcal{L}$ using a profile likelihood ratio as a test statistic, is 0.085.

> The full take data from a will take data for the internet version of the impact of the internet version of the i<br>The impact of three years, providing a world-leading and version of the internet on the internet on the inter Data expected from summer 2016 sin<sup>2</sup> <sup>2</sup>θμμ in the <sup>3</sup> <sup>þ</sup> <sup>1</sup> model. For sin<sup>2</sup> <sup>2</sup>θμμ between 0 and

![](_page_13_Figure_10.jpeg)

SoLid,

amented Gd-doped LS highly segmented plastic scintillator  $f_{\text{eff}}$  +  $^{6}$ LiF:ZnS(Ag)

initial search. The additional tonne of detector mass with an improved energy resolution will be a strategy re

Deployment of a 2t detector from summer 2016

![](_page_13_Figure_15.jpeg)

 $\mathcal{F}$  is the sensitivity that the second the solid ex-**Example 12** and the energy energy dominate the 511 keV and  $\overline{a}$ tion *γ*-rays is also deposited with the cube. | Projection of sensitivity dominated by background assumption

**Alberto Remoto** FIG. 6 (color online). The T2K confidence interval in the

## Conclusions

## Mass Hierarchy is at hand:

- If we're lucky (i.e. NH and  $\delta_{CP} = \pi/2$ ) NOvA + T2K will provide an answer at 3 $\sigma$ in the next  $\sim$  5 years
- If we're unlucky we have to wait  $~10$  years for a 3-40 from JUNO, DUNE, HyperK. 15-20 year for a definitive 5σ.

## MH will help boost (or discourage) future generation 0**νββ** experiments:

- IH region in the next 10 years
- NH no sensitivity (for the moment)

### **δ<sub>CP</sub>** will follow after MH measurement:

• Long term effort with DUNE & HyperK, 20-30 year time scale

### Search for sterile neutrinos will clarify current (anti-)neutrino anomalies

Next 2-3 years with STEREO, SoLid, T2K and SBN program @ FNAL

# Backup

#### Alberto Remoto

# Solar/Atmospheric anomalies

- The Sun is a fusion reactor which emits **ν**<sup>e</sup> in great quantity
- 1968 R. Davies first detection of solar neutrinos (v<sub>e</sub> + <sup>38</sup>Cl  $\rightarrow$  <sup>37</sup>Ar + e<sup>-</sup>)
- 2/3 of expected **ν**e are missing

The ratio of muon and electron neutrino produced in atmosphere  $\sim$  2

$$
\pi^{+} \rightarrow \mu^{+} + \nu_{\mu}
$$
  

$$
\downarrow
$$
  

$$
e^{+} + \nu_{e} + \bar{\nu}_{\mu}
$$

- The ratio is observed to be  $\sim$  1
- **1/2 of expected**  $ν<sub>μ</sub>$  **are missing**

![](_page_16_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_10.jpeg)

- Cosmological observations related to mass hierarchy and the mass scale
- Future cosmological probes could unambiguously measure neutrino mass

![](_page_17_Figure_3.jpeg)