





Activités au LAPTh G. Bélanger



LAPTH Equipes / Research - Teams



Fields, Strings and Symmetries (Math-Phys)

L. Frappat, L .Gallot. E. Ragoucy, F. Thuillier, E. Sokatchev, P. Sorba

Particle Physics

P.Aurenche, G.Belanger, F.Boudjema, D. Guadagnoli, JP Guillet, B. Herrmann, E. Pilon, E. Re

Astroparticles - P. Chardonnet, Cosmology R. Taillet



Particle Physics



• Main activity centered on the LHC and on dark matter

LHC



Higgs discovery in Run 1 Numerous searches for new particles

| Indusive Searche | 88.4 | $\begin{array}{c} 2r,\mu(\mathrm{M}2)\\ 0\\ 0&1r,\mu\\ 2r,\mu\\ 1&2r,\mu\\ 1&2r\\ 2\gamma\\ 7\\ 2r,\mu(2)\\ 0\\ \end{array}$ | 2 pm 26 pm 26 pm 26 pm 03 pm 02 pm | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 203 203 203 203 203 203 203 203 203 203 | 1 198 GeV mil/d CoV 2 1.33 SVI mil/d CoV 3 1.33 SVI mil/d CoV 4 1.33 SVI mil/d CoV 5 1.33 SVI mil/d CoV 6 1.33 SVI mil/d CoV 7 1.35 SVI mil/d CoV 8 1.35 SVI mil/d CoV 1 1.35 SVI mil/d CoV 8 1.35 SVI mil/d CoV 9 1.35 SVI mil/d CoV 1 1.35 SVI mil/d CoV 8 1.35 SVI mil/d CoV 9 1.35 SVI mil/d CoV | 1585.50290 1485.7675 1581.50355 1481.50355 1487.55485 1587.55485 1587.55485 1587.55485 1587.55485 1587.55485 1587.55485 1582.21518 |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|---|---|
| Property in | 22, 2-004 23, 2-00 24, 2-00 24, 2-00 24, 2-00 | 0 0-1 r.p 0-1 r.p | 3+ 2-10 jets 3+ 3+ | Yas Yas Yas Yas | 20.1 20.3 20.1 20.1 | 1 125 NV m/2,-occev 1 1. 11 N m/2, occev 2 1. 131 NV m/2, occev 2 1. 131 NV m/2, occev 3 1. 131 NV m/2, occev | 1487,5600 1308,5841 1487,5600 1487,5600 |
| 31 ⁴ gen, aquaria direct production | $\begin{array}{l} b_{1}b_{2}, \ b_{3} = -bA_{1}^{0} \\ b_{3}b_{3}, \ b_{3} = -dA_{1}^{0} \\ b_{3}b_{3}, \ b_{3} = -dA_{1}^{0} \\ b_{3}b_{3}, \ b_{3} = -dA_{2}^{0} \\ b_{3}b_{3}, \ b_{3} = -dA_{2}^{0} \\ b_{3}b_{3} = -dA_{3}^{0} \\ b_{3}b_{3} = -dA_{3}^{0$ | 0 2 n,p (99) 1 2 n,p (9 0 2 n,p (0 2 n,p (2) 2 n,p (2) | 2.6 6.3.6 1.2.6 1.2.6 1.2.6 1.2.6 1.2.6 1.2.6 1.6 1.6 | Yos Yos 4 Yos 4 P Yos Yes Yes | 20.1 20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3 | | 1004,2501 1004,2500 1206,2402,1407,0560 1506,26016 1407,2508 1400,5007 1400,5007 |
| EW dreet | $\begin{array}{l} h_{\pm}h_{\pm}h_{\pm}, \ h \to \Omega_{\pm}^{2} \\ f_{\pm}(f_{\pm}, f_{\pm}) = h_{\pm}(g_{\pm}) \\ f_{\pm}(f_{\pm}, f_{\pm}) = h_{\pm}(g_{\pm}) \\ f_{\pm}(f_{\pm}) = h_{\pm}(g_{\pm}) \\ f_{\pm}(f_{\pm}) = h_{\pm}(g_{\pm}) \\ f_{\pm}(f_{\pm}) = h_{\pm}(f_{\pm}) \\ f_{\pm}(f_{\pm}) = h_{\pm}(f_{\pm}) \\ f_{\pm}(f_{\pm}) = h_{\pm}(f_{\pm}) \\ f_{\pm}(f_{\pm}) = h_{\pm}(f_{\pm}) \\ h_{\pm}(f_{\pm}) \\ h_{\pm}(f_{\pm}) = h_{\pm}(f_{\pm}) \\ h_{\pm}(f_{\pm}) \\ h_{\pm}(f_{\pm}) = h_{\pm}(f_{\pm}) \\ h_{\pm}(f_{\pm$ | 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 20.0 | 0 0 02jm 02b 0 | 988 988 988 988 988 988 988 988 988 988 | 20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3 | | 1403.5294 1403.5294 1407.5295 1402.52979 1402.52979 1507.52979 1507.52979 1507.52979 1507.52979 1507.52979 |
| Long lived particles | Direct i [1] gives, tong-loved i ? Direct i [1] gives, tong-loved i ? Taxins, suppose j if hadrons Gates j if hadrons Gates j , if hadrons Gates j , if hadrons Gates j , i , i , j , i | Disapp. tri- dErde tri- 0 1% p) 1-2 p 2 y dtspl. m/spl.ps dtspl. vts + jet | 1 jet 14 jets | Yes Yes Yes Yes | 20.3 10.4 27.9 19.1 19.1 19.1 20.3 20.3 20.3 | 21 270 GeV mt11mt211-st21ex 2 482 GeV mt11mt211-st22ex 3 522 GeV mt11mt211-st22ex 4 522 GeV mt11mt211-st22ex 5 522 GeV st21 GeV 6 522 GeV St21 GeV 6 523 GeV St21 GeV 7 523 GeV St21 GeV 8 523 GeV St21 GeV 9 533 GeV St21 GeV 9 543 GeV St21 GeV 9 543 GeV St21 GeV 9 543 GeV St21 GeV | 1010.0075 1010.0084 1010.0084 1011.0096 10411.0096 1040.0042 1044.00162 |
| N | $\begin{array}{l} UV_{ij}p \rightarrow t_i = X_i t_i \rightarrow a_{ij} (v_i) p_i \\ Binnar BPV CMSSM \\ F_i F_{ij}, F_i = WF_i F_{ij}^2 \rightarrow a_{ij} p_i p_i \\ F_i F_{ij}, F_i = WF_i F_{ij}^2 \rightarrow a_{ij} p_i p_i \\ F_i F_{ij}, F_i = WF_i F_{ij}^2 \rightarrow a_{ij} p_i \\ B \in B \rightarrow a_{ij} F_i, F_i^2 \rightarrow a_{ij} p_i \end{array}$ | ір.етрт 2 к.р. (95) 4 к.р 9 к.р + г 0 0 | 0.3 h 6 7 jets 6 7 jets | Yos Yos Yos | 20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3 20.3 | | 1585,54430 1404,2500 1405,5085 1405,5085 1562,55886 1562,55886 1562,55886 |

LHC



Higgs discovery in Run 1 Numerous searches for new particles

Hint of new particle in 2-photon channel in Run2?

| 2 700 OeV | million and a second se | 1585.23290 |
|---|--|----------------------|
| 1.39 TeV | million for V | 1485.7975 |
| 1 24 TeV | milliol00 date milliod Similio emitto | 1567-55125 |
| 1.32 TeV | mi2-104V | 1501.00205 |
| 1.6 | Terry 1 20 | 1487,3688 |
| 1.39 TeV | 19NL9P1-0.1mm | 1507.05480 |
| 4 1.3 TeV | enformation NAP-11 en aut | 1507.35180 |
| 1.25 TeV | milliondex on shotten and | 1507.05185 |
| 8 850 GeV | m/M.SP1-400 DeV | 1505.30290 |
| And | m(2)=1.8 × 10 ⁻¹ 4% m(2)=m(2)=1.8 W | 1502,21515 |
| 2 1.25 TeV | esi's an ter | 1407,0800 |
| a Li TeV. | mil)-0500#Y | 1008.1811 |
| 2 1.51 TeV | millionodew | 1407,0600 |
| 2 1.3 TeV | ecit)-cooper | 1407,0600 |
| A, 106-409 GrV | mill-rocket | 1006,2521 |
| A, 275-440 GeV | m(1)-2 m(0) | 1404,2500 |
| 7, 110-167 GeV 230-660 GeV | m(1) = 2m(2), m(2)=55 GeV | 1205.2102.1407.0985 |
| 7, 90-191 GeV 210-700 GeV | million CeV | 1506.00016 |
| F, 90-240 GeW | mil.5-mil.5-1550eW | 1407,0608 |
| 7, 150-500 GeV | mail()=100 GeV | 1403.5032 |
| 7, 290-600 GeV | mil)-2000vW | 5400.5022 |
| 7 90-325 GeV | mil)-00eV | 1403.5294 |
| 140-463 GeV | milled Get m/Litel Schillermille | 1403.5294 |
| F 100-330 GeV | milliol@wit.mit.io.d.3(ndli).mlf10 | 14022090 |
| 1,1, 700 GeV | $m(U_1) = m(V_1), m(V_1) = 0, m(V_1) = 0.2(m(V_1)), m(V_1))$ | 1482,7929 |
| 17.17 420 GeV | milli-milli, milli-it, aleptora decempled | 1411-5254, 1402-5029 |
| 1,1, 210 GeV | million(E), milliol, sleptors decegled | 1501.07110 |
| 5 ₂₅ 620 OHF | while while while while a product of the second | 1485.5089 |
| * 126-361 GeV | admin | 1987.30499 |
| £ 270 GeV | mill)-mill)-160964Cmill)-62m | 1010.0675 |
| 482 GeV | #60(1) #0(0) - 160 MAY (20(1) - 18 H | 1506.05332 |
| 2 #02 GeV | m(i7)+100-6eK 10,4+14()+1088-6 | 1010.5584 |
| 4 L37 TeV | | 1411.8295 |
| 507 GeV | 10-mg/-50 | 1411.8795 |
| 438.644 | 2-(1)(C)-3 to 5P58 model | 1499.5542 |
| 1.0 TeV | 7 -cmiC) < 740 mm, m(c) -1.0 TeV | 1504.05162 |
| r; 1.0 fvv | 6 cm/C) c REI HM, M(S-L) TeV | 1504.00162 |
| R. 1. | For Association Association | 1565.54430 |
| 6 R 1.36 TeV | maginetic), crace-time | 1404,2500 |
| 1 750 GeV | m(r_2)=0.21m(r_1), A(y=0) | 1405.5084 |
| 1; 450 GeV | m(r)=0.2+m(r), A_1=10 | 1405.5086 |
| 4 917 GeV | mail-mail/1-mail/1-mail | 1992,20086 |
| 875. Pull | Mill 1 4000 Gally | 1 802 5568 |

m_x [GeV]

Our expertise and interests

- Making precise predictions for observables within the SM in relation with collider physics, interpretation of data
 - QCD, Higgs, EW, Flavour
- Searching for signs of new physics
- Development of public tools (computer codes), for example
 - Diphox+Jetphox : used by LHC Collaborations for background searches in 2-photon channel (J Guillet, E Pilon)
 - PowHEG-BOX : incorporating NLO QCD corrections in event generators – used by LHC collaborations (Re)
 - GOLEM : General one-loop evaluator of transition matrix elements library to compute form factors up to six external legs

Precision calculations in SM

- First examples of matching NNLO with parton shower (Re)
 - Simulation of Higgs boson production and Drell-Yan processes
- Flavour physics (D. Guadagnoli)

Reappraisal of various systematic effects in the evaluation of the BR for the very rare decay $B_s \rightarrow \mu^+ \mu^-$, one of the milestones of the LHC flavor-physics program. Non-negligible impact

Precision calculations in SM

- Importance of QCD and EW correction + new techniques for scattering amplitudes (E. Sokatchev)
- SloopS : automatic tool for EW/SUSY correction in SM, MSSM and NMSSM (F. Boudjema)

Features

- handles large numbers of diagrams both for tree-level and loop level
- able to compute loop diagrams at v = 0: dark matter, LSP move at galactic velocities $v = 10^{-3}$
- ability to check results : UV and IR finiteness but also gauge parameter independence for example
- ability to include different models easily and switch between different renormalisation schemes



Strategy: Exploiting and interfacing modules from different codes



definition of renorm. const. in the classes model
Non-Linear gauge-fixing constraints, gauge parameter dependence checks

Beyond the standard model



• Motivated by Higgs, dark matter and flavour

Higgs

- Is the Higgs boson discovered at LHC really a SM Higgs?
 - Quantify the size of non standard contributions using effective Lagrangian parametrisation of the Higgs couplings Implications for models of new physics
 - Determine its properties

Specific reactions

- *pp* → *WH*, *ZH*: efficient ways to identify an anomalous contribution and to access to the CP properties of the Higgs
- $p p \rightarrow t \overline{t} H$: specific observables that are sensitive to the CP properties of the Higgs.
- $gg \rightarrow H$: a precise determination of the boosted Higgs transverse momentum shape could resolve the top loop
- Specific signatures of new physics (e.g. h-> cc) compositeness

Beyond the standard model

- Supersymmetry still one of best motivated BSM, no sign at LHC only upper limits -> much reduced parameter space but still lots room for SUSY (even below TeV scale) especially in non-minimal models
- Explore other new physics possibilities, from composite models, vectorlike quarks, extra dimensions, more Higgs and their signatures at LHC
- Coherent interpretation of all LHC limits within plethora of BSM models very difficult : development of tools for reinterpretation of LHC results based on simplified models (SmodelS) or on MadAnalysis (with LPSC)
- E.g : LHC constraints on inert doublet model



BSM -flavour

• Anomalies in B -> $K\mu\mu$ in LHCb (SM expects 1)

$$R_K \equiv \frac{\mathcal{B}(B^+ \to K^+ \mu^+ \mu^-)}{\mathcal{B}(B^+ \to K^+ e^+ e^-)} = 0.745^{+0.090}_{-0.074} \, (\mathrm{stat}) \pm 0.036 \, (\mathrm{syst}) \, .$$

- Sign of new physics?
- New non-universal interactions of leptons related to lepton flavour violation in other B-decays
 - Glashow, Guadagnoli, Lane, PRL114, 2015
- Or new Z' non-universal interactions + linked to DM
 - GB, Delaunay, Westhoff (2015)

Dark Matter

Strong evidence for dark matter at galactic/ cluster/cosmological scales Is Dark matter a new weakly interacting particle?







WEAKLY INTERACTING MASSIVE PARTICLE PARADIGM

 $X \overline{X} \longleftrightarrow \ell \overline{\ell}$

Stable, massive particles in chemical equilibrium down to T<<m (required for cold DM!), suffer exponentially suppression of their abundance

So, what is left depends on the decoupling time, or their annihilation cross section: the weaker, the more abundant...



A textbook calculation proves that $\Omega_X h^2 \simeq \frac{0.1 \,\mathrm{pb}}{\langle \sigma v \rangle}$ dimensionally, for EW scale masses & couplings, one gets the right value! $\langle \sigma v \rangle \sim \frac{\alpha^2}{m^2} \simeq 1 \,\mathrm{pb} \left(\frac{200 \,\mathrm{GeV}}{m}\right)^2$ Stability often results from a discrete "parity" symmetry: e.g. SUSY R-parity, K-parity in ED, T-parity in Little Higgs. New particles only appearing in pairs! \Rightarrow lightest BSM particle stable, other benefits (e.g. respect proton stability bounds...). Matches (old?) theoretical prior for BSM at EW scale (of type not spoiling precision observables)



Dark matter at colliders

- Direct production of pairs of DM + radiation : high ETmiss + single jet/photon/boson
 - Constrain DM interactions (model independent or specific models)
 - Importance of QCD corrections (Re et al)

 Production of new particles, DM in decay chain – missing E_T signature





Tools for DM

Belanger, Boudjema, Herrmann, Salati Bizouard, Chalons (LPSC), Hao, Pukhov, Semenov







micrOMEGAs

Motivation : precise prediction of relic density and other DM observables

micrOMEGAs : a tool to compute dark matter properties in BSM



Fig. 1. The micrOMEGAs flow chart.



Numerical code to compute the neutralino (co)annihilation cross-section at one-loop in α_s Interface to micrOMEGAs \rightarrow relic density including one-loop corrections

Recent work: Corrections to $\tilde{\chi}_1^0 \tilde{q}_i \to q' H$ (important due to mh~125 GeV) and $\tilde{\chi}_1^0 \tilde{q}_i \to q' Z/W/\gamma$



Outlook: Implement dipole subtraction method for all processes, interface to DarkSUSY 6, make the code available to the community...



Astroparticles -Cosmology

CURRENT MEMBERS (& TOPICS)

Post-docs

Antje Putze (CR/IDM) Kengo Shimada (Cosmo)



3 Univ. Staff (Enseignant-Chercheurs)

PhD Students (~50% of total at LAPTh) Mathieu Boudaud (IDM/CR's) Vivian Poulin (Cosmo/IDM) Yoann Génolini (CR's/IDM)

Pasquale D. Serpico (CR, IDM, Cosmo,v)

Céline Boehm (IDM, Cosmo)



since 2011 at 1+1 CNRS staff researchers **IPPP**, Durham

Indirect detection

• DM self annihilates in galaxy giving positrons, antiprotons, photons, neutrinos. Charged particles interact with medium and 'propagate' to detector. Extract DM signal from other sources in cosmic rays.



FOR CHARGED CR: DIFFUSION-LOSS EQUATION

Especially for signals in charged cosmic rays, computation is not trivial, requiring to solve:



Some input parameters to be inferred from astrophysics or extracted from CR data themselves!

IMPORTANCE OF MULTIMESSENGERS

Remember the PAMELA DM fever of few years ago? Whole classes of models excluded by:

- cross-checking fits to e^+ fraction & e^-+e^+ fluxes vs e.g. associated signals (γ , anti-p...)
- Accounting not only for prompt emission, but also secondary from e[±] E-losses (notably Inverse Compton). Astrophysical information & multimessenger crucial!



Cosmic rays

- AMS announced an excess in antiprotons, could come from DM?
- Using AMS' updated proton and helium fluxes, secondary pbar/p with uncertainties was reevaluated no significant excess from DM



SOME PERSPECTIVE: EXPLOITING CR DATA

Understand if primary sources are also needed in traditional "secondary nuclei" channels (Boron, antiprotons...): hadronic counterpart of the PAMELA/AMS positron results!

 With AMS-02, possibly new era limited mostly by theoretical accuracy, rather than experimental errors. Need to revisit "theoretical" errors (e.g. model oversimplifications) and errors from other inputs (e.g. nuclear cross sections)

Re-derive propagation parameters accounting for the above (notably B/C analysis)

Discuss implications for dark matter searches

Some PhD Theses devoted to these tasks, including the one by Y. Génolini





These fields of investigation involve notions and tools from particle physics (e.g. Pythia for computing DM annihilation spectra, EFT...), astrophysics (e.g. stellar structure simulations), nuclear physics (e.g. cross sections), plasma astrophysics, applied mathematics for "classical physics" problems (e.g. (semi)analytical solutions of diffusion-loss type of equations)

CURRENT "PARTICLE COSMOLOGY"

Explore the particle physics diagnostic potential of new cosmological windows of opportunity, such as "dark ages" (PhD thesis by <u>Vivivan Poulin</u>)

• either pre-recombination, from BBN to CMB (e.g. non-thermal BBN, CMB distortion)

• or post-recombination till the formation of the first virialized structures (e.g. reionization, intergalactic medium heating, 21 cm radiation...)

New perspectives on model building and signatures relating inflation, DM, leptogenesis, neutrino physics (Kengo Shimada postdoctoral activity)



EXAMPLE:NON-THERMAL BBN

 Injection of energetic photon/electron (e.g. via metastable particle decay) in the cosmological plasma (@ kT>> eV!) induces a process of particle multiplication and energy redistribution

In PRL 114, 9,091101 (2015)[1502.01250] and PRD 91, 10, 103007 (2015) [1503.04852] V. Poulin and P. Serpico addressed a previously unexplored/ neglected corner of the parameter space of e.m. cascades, below pair production threshold

By solving the relevant Boltzmann eqs., they showed that the resulting spectrum can be very different, notably near the endpoint

Relevant consequences e.g. for early universe, like BBN bounds on e.m. decaying particles: bounds are <u>stronger</u> & <u>non-universal</u>.

The same phenomenon may significantly ease particle physics solutions to the so-called "cosmological lithium problem" (disagreement between observed and standard BBN predicted lithium abundance)

Merci