

Low Energy Supergravity Revisited

Damien TANT¹

In collaboration with:

Gilbert MOULTAKA²

&

Michel RAUSCH DE TRAUBENBERG¹

¹ Institut Pluridisciplinaire Hubert Curien (IPHC) - UMR 7178 CNRS-Univ. Strasbourg
Département Recherches Subatomiques - Groupe Théorie

² Laboratoire Charles Coulomb (L2C) - UMR 5221 CNRS-Univ. Montpellier 2

GDR Terascale Grenoble
November 24, 2015

- 1 Beyond the Standard Model
- 2 New solution in Supersymmetry breaking
- 3 Phenomenological consequences
- 4 Conclusion and perspectives

Global Supersymmetry: Natural extension of the Standard Model

- Each SM state has a superpartner and the Higgs \rightarrow 2 Higgses
- Supersymmetry breaking
 - (i) Spontaneously \rightarrow phenomenologically **problematic**
 - (ii) Explicitly \rightarrow phenomenologically **acceptable**

Soft-terms

Girardello and Grisaru - Nucl.Phys.B149 (1982) 65

- Renormalisability \rightarrow soft divergences
- Used to phenomenological studies on Supersymmetry
 - \hookrightarrow Linear, bilinear (masses) and trilinear terms
- Two approaches
 - (i) Bottom-up
 - \hookrightarrow put by hand
 - \hookrightarrow **weakly** constrained phenomenology
 - (ii) **Top-down**
 - \hookrightarrow generated **dynamically**
 - \hookrightarrow **strongly** constrained phenomenology

Local Supersymmetry: Supergravity

- Gravity is naturally embedded
- Three fundamental functions
 - (i) **Kähler potential K** → characterises the kinetic terms of the scalar fields (among other things)
 - (ii) **Superpotential W** → characterises Yukawa-interactions between particles (among other things)
 - (iii) **Kinetic gauge function f** → characterises the kinetic terms for the gauge fields
- New sector added Z (hidden)
 - neutral under SM gauge group
 - used to break the supersymmetry

Three usual mechanisms in Supergravity breaking

Supersymmetry breaking (spontaneously) occurs in the hidden sector
and
communicated to the observable sector via soft-terms

- Gauge mediated
- Anomaly mediated
- **Gravity mediated**

Gravity mediation scenario

- (i) Both sectors are **coupled** via **Kähler Potential** at Planck scale (m_p)
- (ii) Supergravity is spontaneously broken in the hidden sector
 - ↪ Gravitino becomes massive: $m_{3/2}$ (Super-Higgs mechanism)
 - ↪ Generate soft terms for the observable sector
- (iii) **Low energy model** by taking the limit $m_p \rightarrow \infty$
 - ↪ Interactions between hidden and observable sector are **Planck suppressed**
 - ↪ Gravitino mass becomes finite
 - ↪ Soft terms are scaled by gravitino mass

Soni & Weldon's approach

Phys.Lett. B126 (1983) 215

- Scalar Potential (F-terms)

$$V(Z, \Phi) = \exp\left(K/m_p^2\right) \left(\mathcal{D}_I W (K^{-1})^I_{J^*} \mathcal{D}^{J^*} \bar{W} - 3 \left| \frac{W}{m_p} \right|^2 \right) \quad \text{with } \mathcal{D}_I W = \partial_I W + \frac{1}{m_p^2} W \partial_I K$$

- Constraint

- obtain a **low energy model** in the limit $m_p \rightarrow \infty$
- the observable sector Φ can **never** be multiplied by positive powers of m_p

- Assumptions ($Z = m_p z \rightarrow z$ is dimensionless)

$$K(z, z^\dagger, \Phi, \Phi^\dagger) = \sum_{n=0}^N m_p^n k_n(z, z^\dagger, \Phi, \Phi^\dagger) \quad W(z, \Phi) = \sum_{n=0}^M m_p^n W_n(z, \Phi)$$

Gravity mediation scenario

- (i) Both sectors are **coupled** via **Kähler Potential** at Planck scale (m_p)
- (ii) Supergravity is spontaneously broken in the hidden sector
 - ↪ Gravitino becomes massive: $m_{3/2}$ (Super-Higgs mechanism)
 - ↪ Generate soft terms for the observable sector
- (iii) **Low energy model** by taking the limit $m_p \rightarrow \infty$
 - ↪ Interactions between hidden and observable sector are **Planck suppressed**
 - ↪ Gravitino mass becomes finite
 - ↪ Soft terms are scaled by gravitino mass

Soni & Weldon's approach

Phys.Lett. B126 (1983) 215

■ Scalar Potential (F-terms)

$$V(Z, \Phi) = \exp\left(K/m_p^2\right) \left(\mathcal{D}_I W (K^{-1})^I_{J^*} \mathcal{D}^{J^*} \bar{W} - 3 \left| \frac{W}{m_p} \right|^2 \right) \quad \text{with } \mathcal{D}_I W = \partial_I W + \frac{1}{m_p^2} W \partial_I K$$

■ Constraint

- obtain a **low energy model** in the limit $m_p \rightarrow \infty$
- the observable sector Φ can **never** be multiplied by positive powers of m_p

■ Solution

$$K(z, z^\dagger, \Phi, \Phi^\dagger) = m_p^2 k_2(z, z^\dagger) + m_p k_1(z, z^\dagger) + k_0(z, z^\dagger, \Phi, \Phi^\dagger)$$

$$W(z, \Phi) = m_p^2 W_2(z) + m_p W_1(z) + W_0(z, \Phi)$$

- Canonical Kähler potential

$$\begin{aligned}
 K(z, z^\dagger, \Phi, \Phi^\dagger) &= m_p^2 z^i z_i^\dagger + \Phi^a \Phi_a^\dagger \\
 W(z, \Phi) &= m_p^2 W_2(z) + m_p W_1(z) + W_0(z, \Phi)
 \end{aligned}$$

- Non-canonical Kähler potential

$$\begin{aligned}
 K(z, z^\dagger, \Phi, \Phi^\dagger) &= m_p^2 k_2(z, z^\dagger) + m_p k_1(z, z^\dagger) + k_0(z, z^\dagger, \Phi, \Phi^\dagger) \\
 W(z, \Phi) &= m_p^2 W_2(z) + m_p W_1(z) + W_0(z, \Phi)
 \end{aligned}$$

Since 1983

Soni & Weldon's solution



Analytic expression of soft-terms



Phenomenological studies at low energy

Scalar Potential - Canonical Kähler Potential

$$V(Z, \Phi) = \exp\left(K/m_p^2\right) \left(\mathcal{D}_I W (K^{-1})^I{}_{J^*} \mathcal{D}^{J^*} \bar{W} - 3 \left| \frac{W}{m_p} \right|^2 \right) \quad \text{with } \mathcal{D}_I W = \partial_I W + \frac{1}{m_p^2} W \partial_I K$$

→ **tower of differential equations** where all positive powers of m_p should be Φ, Φ^\dagger independent.

$$\begin{aligned} V = & \exp\left(K/m_p^2\right) \sum_{c \geq 0} m_p^c \left\{ \sum_{0 \leq m \leq c} \frac{\partial W_m}{\partial \Phi^a} \frac{\partial \bar{W}_{c-m}}{\partial \Phi_a^\dagger} + \sum_{0 \leq m \leq c+4} W_m \bar{W}_{c-m+4} \Phi_a^\dagger \Phi^a \right. \\ & + \sum_{0 \leq m \leq c+2} \left(\left(\frac{\partial W_m}{\partial z^i} + z_i^\dagger W_m \right) \left(\frac{\partial \bar{W}_{c-m+2}}{\partial z_i^\dagger} + z^i \bar{W}_{c-m+2} \right) \right. \\ & \left. \left. + \Phi^a \frac{\partial W_m}{\partial \Phi^a} \bar{W}_{c-m+2} + \Phi_a^\dagger \frac{\partial \bar{W}_m}{\partial \Phi_a^\dagger} W_{c-m+2} - 3 W_m \bar{W}_{c-m+2} \right) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Scalar Potential - Canonical Kähler Potential

$$V(Z, \Phi) = \exp\left(\kappa/m_p^2\right) \left(\mathcal{D}_I W (\kappa^{-1})^I{}_{J^*} \mathcal{D}^{J^*} \bar{W} - 3 \left| \frac{W}{m_p} \right|^2 \right) \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{D}_I W = \partial_I W + \frac{1}{m_p^2} W \partial_I \kappa$$

→ **tower of differential equations** where all positive powers of m_p should be Φ, Φ^\dagger independent.

Proposition

Let $P(z)$, $Q(z)$ and $R(z)$ be three holomorphic functions such that $P \neq 0$, then if we have the following identity

$$\left(\frac{dQ}{dz^i} + z_i^\dagger Q \right) \left(\frac{d\bar{P}}{dz_i^\dagger} + z^i \bar{P} \right) - 2Q\bar{P} + R\bar{Q} = 0$$

then

$$Q = 0$$

Resolution of the tower of differential equations

Two solutions possible:

- (i) Soni & Weldon's solution
- (ii) A new solution

Soni & Weldon's solution

- Kähler potential

$$K(z, z^\dagger, \Phi, \Phi^\dagger) = m_p^2 z^i z_i^\dagger + \Phi^a \Phi_a^\dagger$$

$$W(z, \Phi) = m_p^2 W_2(z) + m_p W_1(z) + W_0(z, \Phi)$$

- Non-canonical Kähler potential → Superpotential unchanged

New solution

- Canonical Kähler potential (S-fields are supposed to be observable)

$$K(z, z^\dagger, S, S^\dagger, \Phi, \Phi^\dagger) = m_p^2 z^i z_i^\dagger + S^p S_p^\dagger + \Phi^a \Phi_a^\dagger$$

$$W(z, \Phi) = m_p [W_1(z) + S^p W_{1,p}(z)] + S^p W_{0,p}(z) + W_0(z, \Phi)$$

- Non-canonical Kähler potential → 4 new solutions listed

(i) Inspired by No-Scale model

$$K(z, z^\dagger, \Phi, \Phi^\dagger) = m_p^2 k_2(z, z^\dagger, \Phi, \Phi^\dagger) + k_0(z, z^\dagger, \Phi, \Phi^\dagger)$$

$$W(z, \Phi) = m_p^2 W_2(z, \Phi) + W_0(z, \Phi)$$



$$G_2 = k_2 + \ln \left| \frac{W_2}{m_p} \right|^2 \rightarrow \partial_I G_2 (G_2^{-1})^I_{J^*} \partial^{J^*} G_2 = 3$$

New solution

$$\begin{aligned}
 K(z, z^\dagger, S, S^\dagger, \Phi, \Phi^\dagger) &= m_p^2 z^i z_i^\dagger + S^P S_P^\dagger + \Phi^a \Phi_a^\dagger \\
 W(z, \Phi) &= m_p [W_1(z) + S^P W_{1,P}(z)] + S^P W_{0,P}(z) + W_0(z, \Phi)
 \end{aligned}$$

Main features

- Introduction of a new field \rightarrow S -fields (can take a vev)
- S -fields share properties of two other sectors
 - (i) Observable
 - \rightarrow Planck suppressed when $m_p \rightarrow \infty$
 - (ii) Hidden
 - \rightarrow neutral under SM gauge group
 - \rightarrow directly coupled with m_p (in W definition)

SW solution

New solution

$$m_{3/2} = \frac{1}{m_p^2} \langle W \exp(K/2m_p^2) \rangle$$

New solution

$$\begin{aligned}
 K(z, z^\dagger, S, S^\dagger, \Phi, \Phi^\dagger) &= m_p^2 z^i z_i^\dagger + S^P S_p^\dagger + \Phi^a \Phi_a^\dagger \\
 W(z, \Phi) &= m_p [W_1(z) + S^P W_{1,p}(z)] + S^P W_{0,p}(z) + W_0(z, \Phi)
 \end{aligned}$$

Main features

- Introduction of a new field \rightarrow S -fields (can take a vev)
- S -fields share properties of two other sectors
 - (i) Observable
 - \rightarrow Planck suppressed when $m_p \rightarrow \infty$
 - (ii) Hidden
 - \rightarrow neutral under SM gauge group
 - \rightarrow directly coupled with m_p (in W definition)

SW solution

$$\begin{aligned}
 m_{3/2}^{SW} &\sim \langle W_2 \exp(z^i z_i^\dagger / 2) \rangle \\
 &\sim M
 \end{aligned}$$

New solution

$$\begin{aligned}
 m_{3/2}^{MRT} &\sim \frac{1}{m_p} \langle (W_1 + S^P W_{1,p}) \exp(z^i z_i^\dagger / 2) \rangle \\
 &\sim M^2 / m_p
 \end{aligned}$$

New solution

$$\begin{aligned}
 K(z, z^\dagger, S, S^\dagger, \Phi, \Phi^\dagger) &= m_p^2 z^i z_i^\dagger + S^p S_p^\dagger + \Phi^a \Phi_a^\dagger \\
 W(z, \Phi) &= m_p [W_1(z) + S^p W_{1,p}(z)] + S^p W_{0,p}(z) + W_0(z, \Phi)
 \end{aligned}$$

Main features

- Introduction of a new field \rightarrow S-fields (can take a vev)
- S-fields share properties of two other sectors
 - (i) Observable
 - \rightarrow Planck suppressed when $m_p \rightarrow \infty$
 - (ii) Hidden
 - \rightarrow neutral under SM gauge group
 - \rightarrow directly coupled with m_p (in W definition)

SW solution

- $m_{3/2}^{SW} \sim M$
- soft-terms \rightarrow scaled by $m_{3/2}$

New solution

- $m_{3/2}^{MRT} \sim M^2/m_p$
- soft-terms \rightarrow scaled by $m_{3/2}$

Work in progress - Model-building

$$W(z, \Phi) = m_p [W_1(z) + S^p W_{1,p}(z)] + S^p W_{0,p}(z) + W_0(z, \Phi)$$

- Mass scale: $[W] = M^3$
 - $[W_1] = M_1^2$, $[W_{1,p}] = M_2$, $[W_{0,p}] = M_3^2$ and $[W_0] = M_4^3$
 - ↔ Some fundamental scales: M_{SUSY} , M_{GUT} ?
- Vacuum energy constraint
 - Cosmological constant value: $V|_{z^i=\langle z^i \rangle, S^p=\langle S^p \rangle} = 0$
 - Minimisation: $\frac{\partial V}{\partial S^p}|_{z^i=\langle z^i \rangle, S^p=\langle S^p \rangle} = 0$ and $\frac{\partial V}{\partial z^i}|_{z^i=\langle z^i \rangle, S^p=\langle S^p \rangle} = 0$
- First approximation: $M_1 = M_2 = M_3 = M_4 = M$
 - **Consistency** of the $\langle S \rangle$ -scale (by assumptions, suppressed by Planck scale m_p)
 - ↔ $W_{1,p}(\langle z^i \rangle) = (\partial W_{1,p} / \partial z^i)|_{z^i=\langle z^i \rangle} = 0$
- $\langle S \rangle \sim M$
 - $m_S = 0$ at leading order in powers of M/m_p and $m_S \neq 0$ at NLO
 - **Planck suppressed** coupling with the observable sector Φ

Conclusion - Gravity-mediated supersymmetry breaking

- Canonical Kähler potential K
 - 1 new solution
 - Some phenomenological consequences (gravitino mass, S -fields, etc)
 - Model-building based on the new S -fields
- Non-canonical Kähler potential K
 - 4 new solutions with one inspired by No-scale model
 - μ -problem: the solution à la Giudice-Masiero remains valid (among other things)

Perspectives

- Finish the Model-building based on the S -fields
- Phenomenological study of the new solution inspired by No-scale model

$$V(z, \Phi) = \exp(K/m_p^2) \left(\mathcal{D}_I W (K^{-1})^I_{J^*} \mathcal{D}^{J^*} \bar{W} - 3 \left| \frac{W}{m_p} \right|^2 \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} V = & \exp(K/m_p^2) \sum_{c \geq 0} m_p^c \left\{ \sum_{0 \leq m \leq c} \frac{\partial W_m}{\partial \Phi^a} \frac{\partial \bar{W}_{c-m}}{\partial \Phi_a^\dagger} + \sum_{0 \leq m \leq c+4} W_m \bar{W}_{c-m+4} \Phi_a^\dagger \Phi^a \right. \\ & + \sum_{0 \leq m \leq c+2} \left(\left(\frac{\partial W_m}{\partial z^i} + z_i^\dagger W_m \right) \left(\frac{\partial \bar{W}_{c-m+2}}{\partial z_i^\dagger} + z^i \bar{W}_{c-m+2} \right) \right. \\ & \left. \left. + \Phi^a \frac{\partial W_m}{\partial \Phi^a} \bar{W}_{c-m+2} + \Phi_a^\dagger \frac{\partial \bar{W}_m}{\partial \Phi_a^\dagger} W_{c-m+2} - 3 W_m \bar{W}_{c-m+2} \right) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

For $M > 0$:

$$\rightarrow c = 2M$$

$$\frac{\partial W_M}{\partial \Phi^a} \frac{\partial \bar{W}_M}{\partial \Phi_a^\dagger} \sim_\Phi 0$$

$$\hookrightarrow W_M(z, \Phi) = W_{M,0}(z) + \Phi^a W_{M,a}(z)$$

$$\rightarrow c = 2M - 1$$

$$\frac{\partial W_M}{\partial \Phi^a} \frac{\partial \bar{W}_{M-1}}{\partial \Phi_a^\dagger} + \frac{\partial W_{M-1}}{\partial \Phi^a} \frac{\partial \bar{W}_M}{\partial \Phi_a^\dagger} \sim_\Phi 0$$

$$\hookrightarrow W_{M-1}(z, \Phi) = W_{M-1,0}(z) + \Phi^a W_{M-1,a}(z)$$

$$V(z, \Phi) = \exp(K/m_p^2) \left(\mathcal{D}_I W (K^{-1})^I{}_{J^*} \mathcal{D}^{J^*} \bar{W} - 3 \left| \frac{W}{m_p} \right|^2 \right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} V = & \exp(K/m_p^2) \sum_{c \geq 0} m_p^c \left\{ \sum_{0 \leq m \leq c} \frac{\partial W_m}{\partial \Phi^a} \frac{\partial \bar{W}_{c-m}}{\partial \Phi_a^\dagger} + \sum_{0 \leq m \leq c+4} W_m \bar{W}_{c-m+4} \Phi_a^\dagger \Phi^a \right. \\ & + \sum_{0 \leq m \leq c+2} \left(\left(\frac{\partial W_m}{\partial z^i} + z_i^\dagger W_m \right) \left(\frac{\partial \bar{W}_{c-m+2}}{\partial z_i^\dagger} + z^i \bar{W}_{c-m+2} \right) \right. \\ & \left. \left. + \Phi^a \frac{\partial W_m}{\partial \Phi^a} \bar{W}_{c-m+2} + \Phi_a^\dagger \frac{\partial \bar{W}_m}{\partial \Phi_a^\dagger} W_{c-m+2} - 3 W_m \bar{W}_{c-m+2} \right) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Case: $M = 1$

We obtain the new solution: $W(z, \Phi) = m_p [W_1(z) + \Phi^a W_{1a}(z)] + W_0(z) + \Phi^a W_{0a}(z)$

→ $c = 2M - 2$, we split the differential equation using holomorphic properties

$$\left(\frac{\partial W_{M,a}}{\partial z^i} + z_i^\dagger W_{M,a} \right) \left(\frac{\partial \bar{W}_{M,a}}{\partial z_i^\dagger} + z^i \bar{W}_{M,a} \right) = W_{M,a} \bar{W}_{M,a}$$

$$\hookrightarrow W_{M,a}(z) = 0 \Rightarrow W_M(z, \Phi) = W_{M,0}(z)$$

→ $c = 2M - 3$

$$W_{M-2,ab} \bar{W}_{M-1}^b + \left(\frac{\partial W_{M-1,a}}{\partial z^i} + z_i^\dagger W_{M-1,a} \right) \left(\frac{\partial \bar{W}_{M,0}}{\partial z_i^\dagger} + z^i \bar{W}_{M,0} \right) - 2W_{M-1,a} \bar{W}_{M,0} = 0$$

$$\hookrightarrow \text{as } W_{M,0} \neq 0 \Rightarrow W_{M-1,a}(z) = 0 \Rightarrow W_{M-1}(z, \Phi) = W_{M-1,0}(z)$$

For $M = 2$:

$$\rightarrow c = 4 : W_M(z, \Phi) = W_{M,0}(z) + \Phi^a W_{M,a}(z)$$

$$\rightarrow c = 3 : W_{M-1}(z, \Phi) = W_{M-1,0}(z) + \Phi^a W_{M-1,a}(z)$$

$$\rightarrow c = 2 : W_{M,a}(z) = 0 \Rightarrow W_M(z, \Phi) = W_{M,0}(z)$$

$$\rightarrow c = 1 : W_{M,0} \neq 0 \Rightarrow W_{M-1,a}(z) = 0 \Rightarrow W_{M-1}(z, \Phi) = W_{M-1,0}(z)$$

Case: $M = 2$

We obtain the solution found by Soni & Weldon: $W(z, \Phi) = m_p^2 W_2(z) + m_p W_1(z) + W_0(z, \Phi)$

For $M > 2$:

$$\rightarrow c = 2M : W_M(z, \Phi) = W_{M,0}(z) + \Phi^a W_{M,a}(z)$$

$$\rightarrow c = 2M - 1 : W_{M-1}(z, \Phi) = W_{M-1,0}(z) + \Phi^a W_{M-1,a}(z)$$

$$\rightarrow c = 2M - 2 : W_{M,a}(z) = 0 \Rightarrow W_M(z, \Phi) = W_{M,0}(z)$$

$$\rightarrow c = 2M - 3 : W_{M,0} \neq 0 \Rightarrow W_{M-1,a}(z) = 0 \Rightarrow W_{M-1}(z, \Phi) = W_{M-1,0}(z)$$

$$\rightarrow c = 2M - 4 :$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial W_{M-2}}{\partial \Phi^a} \frac{\partial \bar{W}_{M-2}}{\partial \Phi_a^\dagger} + \left(\frac{\partial W_{M,0}}{\partial z^i} + z_i^\dagger W_{M,0} \right) \left(\frac{\partial \bar{W}_{M-2}}{\partial z_i^\dagger} + z^i \bar{W}_{M-2} \right) - 3W_{M,0} \bar{W}_{M-2} \\ & + \left(\frac{\partial W_{M-1,0}}{\partial z^i} + z_i^\dagger W_{M-1,0} \right) \left(\frac{\partial \bar{W}_{M-1,0}}{\partial z_i^\dagger} + z^i \bar{W}_{M-1,0} \right) - 3W_{M-1,0} \bar{W}_{M-1,0} \\ & + \left(\frac{\partial W_{M-2}}{\partial z^i} + z_i^\dagger W_{M-2} \right) \left(\frac{\partial \bar{W}_{M,0}}{\partial z_i^\dagger} + z^i \bar{W}_{M,0} \right) + \left(\Phi^a \frac{\partial W_{M-2}}{\partial \Phi^a} + \text{h.c.} \right) - 3W_{M-2} \bar{W}_{M,0} \\ & + \Phi^a \Phi_a^\dagger W_{M,0} \bar{W}_{M,0} \sim_{\Phi=0} 0 . \end{aligned}$$

$$W_{M-2}(z, \Phi) = \frac{1}{2} \Phi^a \Phi^b W_{M-2,ab}(z) + \Phi^a W_{M-2,a}(z) + W_{M-2,0}(z)$$

$$\left| W_{M,0} \right|^2 + \sum_b \left| W_{M-2,ab} \right|^2 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow W_{M,0}(z) = W_{M-2,ab}(z) = 0 \Rightarrow \text{contradiction}$$

Soni & Weldon solution

$$K(z, z^\dagger, \Phi, \Phi^\dagger) = m_p^2 K_2(z, z^\dagger) + m_p K_1(z, z^\dagger) + K_0(z, z^\dagger, \Phi, \Phi^\dagger)$$
$$W(z, \Phi) = m_p^2 W_2(z) + m_p W_1(z) + W_0(z, \Phi)$$

New solutions

- (i)
$$\begin{cases} K(z, z^\dagger, \Phi, \Phi^\dagger) = m_p^2 K_2(z, z^\dagger) + m_p K_1(z, z^\dagger) + K_0(z, z^\dagger, \Phi, \Phi^\dagger) \\ W(z, \Phi) = m_p [W_1(z) + \Phi^a W_{1a}(z)] + \Phi^a W_{0a}(z) + W_0(z) \end{cases}$$
- (ii)
$$\begin{cases} K(z, z^\dagger, \Phi, \Phi^\dagger) = m_p^2 K_2(z, z^\dagger) + m_p K_1(z, z^\dagger, \Phi, \Phi^\dagger) + K_0(z, z^\dagger, \Phi, \Phi^\dagger) \\ W(z, \Phi) = m_p [W_1(z) + \Phi^a W_{1a}(z)] + W_0(z, \Phi) \end{cases}$$
- (iii)
$$\begin{cases} K(z, z^\dagger, \Phi, \Phi^\dagger) = m_p^2 K_2(z, z^\dagger, \Phi, \Phi^\dagger) + m_p K_1(z, z^\dagger, \Phi, \Phi^\dagger) + K_0(z, z^\dagger, \Phi, \Phi^\dagger) \\ W(z, \Phi) = m_p W_1(z, \Phi) + W_0(z, \Phi) \end{cases}$$
- (iv)
$$\begin{cases} K(z, z^\dagger, \Phi, \Phi^\dagger) = m_p^2 K_2(z, z^\dagger, \Phi, \Phi^\dagger) + K_0(z, z^\dagger, \Phi, \Phi^\dagger) \\ W(z, \Phi) = m_p^2 W_2(z, \Phi) + W_0(z, \Phi) \end{cases}$$

Inspired by
No-scale model

No-Scale Model

$$V(z, \Phi) = \exp(K/m_p^2) \left(\mathcal{D}_I W (K^{-1})^I{}_{J*} \mathcal{D}^{J*} \bar{W} - 3 \left| \frac{W}{m_p} \right|^2 \right)$$

$$K(z, z^\dagger, \Phi, \Phi^\dagger) \quad \text{and} \quad W(z, \Phi)$$

$$\mathcal{G} = K + m_p^2 \ln \left| \frac{W}{m_p} \right|^2$$

$$V = m_p^2 e^{\frac{\mathcal{G}}{m_p^2}} \left(\mathcal{G}_I (\mathcal{G}^{-1})^I{}_{J*} \mathcal{G}^{J*} - 3m_p^2 \right)$$

$$\mathcal{G}_I (\mathcal{G}^{-1})^I{}_{J*} \mathcal{G}^{J*} = 3m_p^2 \Rightarrow V = 0$$

→ cosmological constant is equal to zero at tree-level of geometrical way.