



#### Constraints on new phenomena via Higgs boson couplings and the search for invisible decays with the ATLAS detector

David Delgove (LAL-Orsay)

D. Delgove (Laboratoire de l'accélérateur linéaire) GDR terascale

24/11/2015 - Page 1

- In 2012, the Higgs boson was discovered
- The properties of the Higgs boson were measured :
  - $\rightarrow$  Mass: 125.36 ± 0.37 (stat.) ± 0.18 (syst.) GeV (ATLAS only)

 $\rightarrow$  Spin/CP: compatible with 0<sup>+</sup> while the others values are excluded : 0<sup>-</sup>, 1<sup>±</sup>, 2<sup>±</sup>

 $\rightarrow$  Couplings

 These measurements are compatible with Standard model (SM) expectations but there is however still room for new physics : hierarchy problem, dark matter, new interactions or particles

# **Coupling modifiers**

- The coupling modifiers κ are defined as the ratio of the Higgs
   boson coupling over the SM value : κ = 1 means SM Higgs boson
- Expressions of the measured production cross sections and partial width of the Higgs boson :

$$\sigma(i \to h) = \kappa_i^2 \sigma_{SM}(i \to h) \qquad \Gamma(h \to f) = \kappa_f^2 \Gamma_{SM}(h \to f)$$

• The narrow width approximation allows decoupling of production and decay. For  $qq \rightarrow Zh \rightarrow bb$ , the number of signal events s is :

$$s = \kappa_Z^2 \frac{\kappa_b^2}{\kappa_h^2} \sigma_{SM}(qq \to Zh) BR_{SM}(h \to bb) \mathcal{LA}\epsilon \text{ with } \kappa_h^2 = \frac{\Gamma}{\Gamma_{SM}}$$

- The determination of the κ is based on the maximization of a likelihood function
- All the presented models are a parametrization of the coupling modifiers

#### **Comments**

 An assumption is required to have an absolute determination of the couplings, if only Standard Model Higgs decays are considered :

$$\kappa_h^2 = \sum_i \kappa_i^2 B R_{i,SM}$$

 Photons and gluons interact with the Higgs boson via a loop, two treatments are possible :

 $\rightarrow$  **Resolved couplings : assume no NP in the loops,** only the potentially modified couplings to SM particles.



 $\rightarrow$  Effective couplings to photons and gluons (no assumptions on NP in the loops)

#### Inputs to the combination

The following channels are used :

- $h \rightarrow ZZ, h \rightarrow WW, h \rightarrow \gamma\gamma, h \rightarrow Z\gamma$
- $h \rightarrow \tau \tau$ ,  $h \rightarrow bb$ ,  $h \rightarrow \mu \mu$
- Main production modes considered :



 The full run 1 dataset is used which represents up to 4.7 fb<sup>-1</sup> at 7 TeV and up to 20.3 fb<sup>-1</sup> at 8 TeV

#### Higgs compositeness

- A composite Higgs boson could solve the hierarchy problem :
  - Introduction of a compositeness scale : f
- In these models, the Higgs boson couplings to V (= W/Z) bosons ( $\kappa_v$ ) and to fermions ( $\kappa_r$ ) are modified as follows :

→ MCHM 4 : 
$$\kappa = \kappa_V = \kappa_F = \sqrt{1 - \xi}$$
 (1)  
→ MCHM 5 :  $\kappa_V = \sqrt{1 - \xi}$  and  $\kappa_F = \frac{1 - 2\xi}{\sqrt{1 - \xi}}$  (2)  
where  $\xi = v^2/f^2$  and  $\xi \to 0$  (f  $\to \infty$ ) recovers the Standard Model

- The couplings to photons and gluons are resolved
- Only the standard model decays are considered

case

### **Probed regions in (** $\kappa_{V}$ , $\kappa_{F}$ **) plane**

• The equations (1) and (2) on the previous slide define a parametric equation in the plane ( $\kappa_v$ ,  $\kappa_F$ )

Visualization of the probed region :

 $\rightarrow$  Composite models are disfavoured



• Limits on f at 95% CL :

| Model | Lower limit on $f$ |         |  |
|-------|--------------------|---------|--|
|       | Obs.               | Exp.    |  |
| MCHM4 | 710 GeV            | 510 GeV |  |
| MCHM5 | 780 GeV            | 600 GeV |  |

D. Delgove (Laboratoire de l'accélérateur linéaire)

GDR terascale

### Two Higgs doublets models (2HDM)

Other extension of the Standard Model Higgs Sector :

 $\rightarrow$  Additional Higgs doublet such as in the MSSM ( 5 Higgs Bosons : 2 CP-even, 1 CP-odd, 2 charged Higgs boson)

 $\rightarrow$  MSSM is motivated by hierarchy problem and dark matter

- The discovered Higgs boson is assumed to be the lightest CP-even state
- The couplings are described by two parameters :
  - $\rightarrow$  tan  $\beta$  : ratio of the vev's of the two Higgs doublets
  - $\rightarrow \alpha$  : mixing angle between the two CP-even Higgs states
- The couplings of the Higgs to photons and gluons are resolved
- Only the standard model decays are considered

# Type 1 and Type 2

#### • Results for type 1 and type 2 in the plane (cos $\beta$ - $\alpha$ , tan $\beta$ ) :

|        | K <sub>V</sub> | K <sub>u</sub>       | K <sub>d</sub>               | К <sub>I</sub>               |
|--------|----------------|----------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Туре 1 | sin(β-α)       | $aaa \alpha / aia 0$ | $\cos \alpha / \sin \beta$   | $\cos \alpha / \sin \beta$   |
| Туре 2 |                |                      | - sin $\alpha$ / cos $\beta$ | - sin $\alpha$ / cos $\beta$ |



Compatible with SM alignment limit : type 3 and 4 are in backup

Simplification of the MSSM, a 2HDM of type 2 :

 $\rightarrow$  The radiative corrections (  $\Delta M_{_{22}}$  ) involving the top quark and stops are fixed by the mass of the standard model Higgs boson :

$$\Delta \mathcal{M}_{22}^2 = \frac{M_h^2 (M_A^2 + M_Z^2 - M_h^2) - M_A^2 M_Z^2 c_{2\beta}^2}{M_Z^2 c_\beta^2 + M_A^2 s_\beta^2 - M_h^2}$$

 $\rightarrow$  The loop corrections from stops in gluon fusion production and diphoton decays are neglected (expected less than 5%)

 $\rightarrow$  The corrections which break universality of down type fermions  $(\kappa_{h} \neq \kappa_{r})$  are neglected

The coupling modifiers for bosons ( $\kappa_v$ ), up type fermions ( $\kappa_u$ ), down type fermions ( $\kappa_d$ ) depend only on tan  $\beta$  and mA the mass of the pseudo-scalar Higgs boson

# Limits in the ( $m_{A}$ , tan $\beta$ ) plane

- The couplings to photons and gluons are resolved
- Only the standard model decays are considered
- Excluded regions by direct and indirect searches :

→ Complementarity and redundancy of the searches

For tan β > 2, at 95% CL :
 mA > 370 GeV (obs.)
 mA > 310 GeV (exp.)



### Higgs invisible branching ratio

- The Higgs boson could decay into Wimps, if the wimps are not too heavy
- The searches with visible decays products can probe such models.
  - $\rightarrow$  Effective couplings for photons and gluons

$$\rightarrow$$
 Modified expression for  $\kappa_H^2 = \frac{\sum_i \kappa_i^2 B R_{i,SM}}{1 - B R_{inv}}$ 

• But assumptions on the couplings are required to make the fit converging, for example :  $\kappa_v < 1$  and BR<sub>und</sub> = 0.

 $\rightarrow$  The (expected) limit on BR<sub>inv</sub> is 0.49 (0.48) at 95 % CL

### Searches of invisible Higgs decay

 The final states with high missing transverse energy and with leptons or jets give the possibility to search for directly invisible decays of the Higgs boson



The number of signal event is parametrized as follows :

$$s = BR_{inv} \sigma_{SM} \mathcal{LA}\epsilon$$

 Assuming the SM rates for the production modes, the combined (expected) limit on the invisible branching ratio of the Higgs boson is 0.25 (0.27) at 95% CL

#### **Combination : direct + indirect searches**

The indirect+direct constraints can be combined which removes :

 $\rightarrow$  Assumption on the rates of production modes

 $\rightarrow$  Assumption on the couplings (  $\kappa_{_{\rm V}}$  < 1 )

- The V(jj)h channel is not included in the combination due to overlap of the event selection with Vh(bb)
- The (expected) observed limit is BR<sub>inv</sub> < 0.23 (0.24) at 95% CL</li>
- The limit is dominated by direct searches



### Higgs portal to dark matter

- The Higgs portal to dark matter model assumes that only the Higgs boson couples to dark matter particles :
  - Possible to convert the invisible branching ratio into a scattering cross section of dark matter on a nuclei
- The conversion depends on the nature of dark matter ( scalar, fermionic, bosonic )
- The limits at low mass from ATLAS in the Higgs Portal model are significantly better than those from direct detection searches



#### **Summary**

- All the results are compatible with the Standard Model
- Higgs compositeness :

 $\rightarrow$  MCHM 4 : f > 710 GeV (exp. : f > 510 GeV)

 $\rightarrow$  MCHM 5 : f > 780 GeV (exp. : f > 600 GeV)

 $\rightarrow$  Disfavored by current measurements

- 2HDM : consistent with SM alignment limit
- Simplified MSSM (hMSSM) :

→ For tan  $\beta$  > 2, mA > 370 (310) GeV obs. (exp.) @ 95% CL

 $\rightarrow$  A pseudo scalar Higgs below 1 TeV is still possible

The (expected) observed limit on invisible branching ratio :

 $\rightarrow$  BR<sub>inv</sub> < 0.23 (0.24) at 95% CL

# Thanks

D. Delgove (Laboratoire de l'accélérateur linéaire)

GDR terascale

24/11/2015 - Page 17

# Backup

#### Alternative procedure : Test Statistic

Determination of the confidence interval uses the profiled likelihood ratio :

$$\Lambda(\alpha) = \frac{\mathcal{L}(\alpha, \hat{\hat{\theta}}(\alpha))}{\mathcal{L}(\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\theta})}$$

- $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$  : parameters of interest
- $\theta$ : nuisance parameters

**If the studied parameter has a physical boundary** (for instance BR<sub>inv</sub>>0), an **alternative test statistic is defined** (similar to the Feldmans and Cousins procedure)

Alternative test statistic for a boundary at zero:

$$\tilde{t_{\mu}} = \begin{cases} \frac{\mathcal{L}(\alpha, \hat{\hat{\theta}}(\alpha))}{\mathcal{L}(0, \hat{\hat{\theta}}(0))} & \hat{\mu} < 0\\ \frac{\mathcal{L}(\alpha, \hat{\hat{\theta}}(\alpha))}{\mathcal{L}(\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\theta})} & \hat{\mu} > 0 \end{cases}$$

#### **Probing the mass dependence : model**

This model checks the mass dependency of the Higgs boson couplings with the following parametrization :

$$\rightarrow$$
 For the couplings :  $g_f = \sqrt{2} \frac{m_f^{1+\epsilon}}{M^{1+\epsilon}}$  and  $g_V = 2 \frac{m_V^{2(1+\epsilon)}}{M^{1+2\epsilon}}$ 

$$\rightarrow$$
 For the modifiers :  $\kappa_f = v \frac{m_f^{\epsilon}}{M^{1+\epsilon}}$  and  $\kappa_V = v \frac{m_V^{2\epsilon}}{M^{1+2\epsilon}}$ 

ε is a mass scaling factor, M the vaccum expectation value :

 $\rightarrow$  Standard Model : (  $\epsilon$ , M )  $\rightarrow$  ( 0, v = 246 GeV)

The couplings to photons and gluons are resolved

#### **Probing the mass dependence : result**

• Two dimensional confidence region in the plane ( $\epsilon$ , M) :



Best fit values :

| Parameter  | Obs.                          | Exp.                          |
|------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| $\epsilon$ | $0.018 \pm 0.039$             | $0.000 \pm 0.042$             |
| М          | $224^{+14}_{-12} \text{ GeV}$ | $246^{+19}_{-16} \text{ GeV}$ |

Standard Model compatible within 1.5 standard deviations

## **2HDM : bbh production**

- Four types of 2HDM can be defined with different expressions for the coupling modifiers
- In 2HDM, the couplings to b-quarks can become large, thus the bbh production is not negligible



The coupling modifiers of the gluon fusion is adjusted to take in account this contribution :

$$1.06\kappa_t^2 - 0.07\kappa_b\kappa_t + 0.01\kappa_b^2 + 0.011\kappa_b^2$$
 Contribution of bbh

 $\rightarrow$  The new expression is used only for the cross section of the gluon fusion (ggF)

 $\rightarrow$  Assume that the bbh differential distributions are the same as those in ggF process

# Type 3 and Type 4

• Results for type 3 and type 4 in the plane (cos  $\beta$  -  $\alpha$  , tan  $\beta$ ) :





Compatible with SM alignment limit arXiv:1509.00672

D. Delgove (Laboratoire de l'accélérateur linéaire)

GDR terascale

#### Simplified MSSM : Mass Matrix

Mass matrix given by :

 $\mathbf{M}_{S}^{2} = \begin{pmatrix} m_{Z}^{2} cos^{2}\beta + m_{A}^{2} sin^{2}\beta & -(m_{Z}^{2} + m_{A}^{2}) cos\beta sin\beta \\ -(m_{Z}^{2} + m_{A}^{2}) cos\beta sin\beta & m_{Z}^{2} sin^{2}\beta + m_{A}^{2} cos^{2}\beta \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \Delta M_{11}^{2} \ \Delta M_{12}^{2} \\ \Delta M_{12}^{2} \ \Delta M_{22}^{2} \end{pmatrix}$ 

It is possible to show that :  $\Delta M_{22}^2 = \frac{\delta}{\sin^2\beta} >> \Delta M_{11}^2, \Delta M_{12}^2$ 

And finally :  $M_h^2 = M_Z^2 cos^2(2\beta) + \delta$ 

The expressions of deviation coupling modifiers are :

$$\kappa_{down} = \frac{\sqrt{1 + \tan^2\beta}}{\sqrt{1 + (\frac{m_h^2 - M_Z^2 \cos^2\beta - M_A^2 \sin^2\beta}{-(M_Z^2 + M_A^2)\cos\beta\sin\beta})^2}} \quad \kappa_{up} = \frac{\frac{\sqrt{1 + \tan^2\beta}}{\tan\beta} \frac{m_h^2 - M_Z^2 \cos^2\beta - M_A^2 \sin^2\beta}{-(M_Z^2 + M_A^2)\cos\beta\sin\beta}}{\sqrt{1 + (\frac{m_h^2 - M_Z^2 \cos^2\beta - M_A^2 \sin^2\beta}{-(M_Z^2 + M_A^2)\cos\beta\sin\beta})^2}} \\ \kappa_V = \frac{\frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \tan^2\beta}}}{\sqrt{1 + (\frac{m_h^2 - M_Z^2 \cos^2\beta - M_A^2 \sin^2\beta}{-(M_Z^2 + M_A^2)\cos\beta\sin\beta})^2}} + \frac{\frac{\tan\beta}{\sqrt{1 + \tan^2\beta}} \frac{m_h^2 - M_Z^2 \cos^2\beta - M_A^2 \sin^2\beta}{-(M_Z^2 + M_A^2)\cos\beta\sin\beta}}{\sqrt{1 + (\frac{m_h^2 - M_Z^2 \cos^2\beta - M_A^2 \sin^2\beta}{-(M_Z^2 + M_A^2)\cos\beta\sin\beta})^2}}$$

#### Interpretation in Higgs Portal to DM



 $\mathcal{L}_S \supset -\frac{1}{2}m_S S^2 - \frac{1}{4}\lambda_S S^4 - \frac{1}{4}\lambda_{hSS} H^{\dagger} H S^2$ 

 $\mathcal{L} \supset -\frac{1}{2}m_f \overline{\chi} \chi - \frac{1}{4} \frac{\lambda_{hff}}{\Lambda} H^{\dagger} H \overline{\chi} \chi$ 

 $\mathcal{L} \supset \frac{1}{2}m_V^2 V_\mu V^\mu + \frac{1}{4}\lambda_V (V_\mu V^\mu)^2 + \frac{1}{4}\lambda_{hVV} H^\dagger H V_\mu V^\mu$ 

Spin Independent (SI) DM-nucleon elastic cross

$$\begin{split} \sigma_{S-N}^{SI} &= \frac{\lambda_{hSS}^{2} \frac{\text{section}}{16\pi m_{h}^{4}} \frac{m_{N}^{4} f_{N}^{2}}{(M_{S} + m_{N})^{2}} , \\ \sigma_{V-N}^{SI} &= \frac{\lambda_{hVV}^{2}}{16\pi m_{h}^{4}} \frac{m_{N}^{4} f_{N}^{2}}{(M_{V} + m_{N})^{2}} , \\ \sigma_{f-N}^{SI} &= \frac{\lambda_{hff}^{2}}{4\pi \Lambda^{2} m_{h}^{4}} \frac{m_{N}^{4} M_{f}^{2} f_{N}^{2}}{(M_{f} + m_{N})^{2}} , \\ \sigma_{f-N}^{SI} &= \frac{\lambda_{hff}^{2}}{4\pi \Lambda^{2} m_{h}^{4}} \frac{m_{N}^{4} M_{f}^{2} f_{N}^{2}}{(M_{f} + m_{N})^{2}} , \\ \sigma_{K}^{SI} &= \frac{\lambda_{hff}^{2}}{4\pi \Lambda^{2} m_{h}^{4}} \frac{m_{N}^{4} M_{f}^{2} f_{N}^{2}}{(M_{f} + m_{N})^{2}} , \\ \sigma_{K}^{SI} &= \frac{\lambda_{hff}^{2}}{4\pi \Lambda^{2} m_{h}^{4}} \frac{m_{N}^{4} M_{f}^{2} f_{N}^{2}}{(M_{f} + m_{N})^{2}} , \\ \sigma_{K}^{SI} &= \frac{\lambda_{hff}^{2}}{4\pi \Lambda^{2} m_{h}^{4}} \frac{m_{N}^{4} M_{f}^{2} f_{N}^{2}}{(M_{f} + m_{N})^{2}} , \\ \sigma_{K}^{SI} &= \frac{\lambda_{hff}^{2}}{4\pi \Lambda^{2} m_{h}^{4}} \frac{m_{N}^{4} M_{f}^{2} f_{N}^{2}}{(M_{f} + m_{N})^{2}} , \\ \sigma_{K}^{SI} &= \frac{\lambda_{hff}^{2}}{4\pi \Lambda^{2} m_{h}^{4}} \frac{m_{N}^{4} M_{f}^{2} f_{N}^{2}}{(M_{f} + m_{N})^{2}} , \\ \sigma_{K}^{SI} &= \frac{\lambda_{hff}^{2}}{4\pi \Lambda^{2} m_{h}^{4}} \frac{m_{N}^{4} M_{f}^{2} f_{N}^{2}}{(M_{f} + m_{N})^{2}} , \\ \sigma_{K}^{SI} &= \frac{\lambda_{hff}^{2}}{4\pi \Lambda^{2} m_{h}^{4}} \frac{m_{N}^{4} M_{f}^{2} f_{N}^{2}}{(M_{f} + m_{N})^{2}} , \\ \sigma_{K}^{SI} &= \frac{\lambda_{hff}^{2}}{4\pi \Lambda^{2} m_{h}^{4}} \frac{m_{N}^{4} M_{f}^{2} f_{N}^{2}}{(M_{f} + m_{N})^{2}} , \\ \sigma_{K}^{SI} &= \frac{\lambda_{hff}^{2}}{4\pi \Lambda^{2} m_{h}^{4}} \frac{m_{N}^{4} M_{f}^{2} f_{N}^{2}}{(M_{f} + m_{N})^{2}} , \\ \sigma_{K}^{SI} &= \frac{\lambda_{hff}^{2}}{4\pi \Lambda^{2} m_{h}^{4}} \frac{m_{N}^{4} M_{f}^{2} f_{N}^{2}}{(M_{f} + m_{N})^{2}} , \\ \sigma_{K}^{SI} &= \frac{\lambda_{hff}^{2}}{4\pi \Lambda^{2} m_{h}^{4}} \frac{m_{N}^{4} M_{f}^{2} f_{N}^{2}}{(M_{f} + m_{N})^{2}} , \\ \sigma_{K}^{SI} &= \frac{\lambda_{hff}^{2}}{4\pi \Lambda^{2} m_{h}^{4}} \frac{m_{N}^{4} M_{f}^{2} f_{N}^{2}}{(M_{f} + m_{N})^{2}} , \\ \sigma_{K}^{SI} &= \frac{\lambda_{hff}^{2}}{4\pi \Lambda^{2} m_{h}^{4}} \frac{m_{N}^{4} M_{f}^{2} f_{N}^{2}}{(M_{f} + m_{N})^{2}} , \\ \sigma_{K}^{SI} &= \frac{\lambda_{hff}^{2}}{4\pi \Lambda^{2} m_{h}^{4}} \frac{m_{N}^{4} M_{f}^{2} f_{N}^{2}}{(M_{f} + m_{N})^{2}} , \\ \sigma_{K}^{SI} &= \frac{\lambda_{hff}^{2}}{4\pi \Lambda^{2} m_{h}^{4}} \frac{m_{N}^{4} M_{f}^{4} M_{f}^{4} M_{f}^{4}}{(M_{f} + m_{N})^{2}} ,$$

D. Delgove (Laboratoire de l'accélérateur linéaire)