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## NMSSMTools

NMSSMTools is a Fortran code for NMSSM calculations. It takes as input a set of parameters given at the SUSY breaking or GUT or GMSB scale.

## Computes

- Masses of the Higgs bosons and all sparticles.
- Couplings and decay widths of all Higgs bosons.
- Possible to connect with MicrOmegas for the computation of dark matter relic density as well as direct and indirect DM detection cross section.


## Several NMSSM-like models

- General NMSSM.
- $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$-invariant NMSSM.
- SUSY-breaking scenarios: minimal supergravity and gauge mediated SUSY breaking.
- CP-violating NMSSM: under construction.


## NMSSMTools

Precision

- Fully diagrammatic calculation of Higgs masses at one-loop level + 2-loop corrections of $\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha_{s}\left(h_{b}^{2}+h_{t}^{2}\right)\right)$ at zero external momentum.
- Also, MSSM-like 2-loop corrections at $\mathcal{O}\left(h_{b}^{2}+h_{t}^{2}\right)^{2}$.
- Computation of the whole SUSY spectrum and BR at 1-loop level.

Phenomenological constraints

- B-physics observables (arXiv:0710.3714).
- Muon anomalous magnetic moment (arXiv:0806.0733).
- Bounds on the Higgs sector by LEP (arXiv:hep-ex/0602042).
- Measured values on the SM-like Higgs couplings reported by the experimental collaborations at LHC (arXiv:1306.2941, arXiv:1409.1588).


## Supersymmetry and the Higgs mass

## The hierarchy problem of the SM

In the SM, the Higgs mass receives radiative corrections proportional to a cut-off scale $\Lambda^{2}$, which must be cancelled by a bare Higgs mass term. Due to this fact, the parameters must be set up to a precision of $\sim 32$ decimals or so to explain a light Higgs.

## The SUSY solution

Supersymmetry offers a solution to the hierarchy problem: The Higgs mass is bounded at tree level and its radiative corrections are logarithmic. In the minimal SUSY extension of the SM (MSSM), the Higgs mass at tree level reads:

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{h} \lesssim M_{Z} \cos 2 \beta \xrightarrow{\tan \beta \gg 1} \sim 91 \mathrm{GeV}, \quad \text { where } \tan \beta=\frac{v_{u}}{v_{d}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

## But $h \approx 125 \mathrm{GeV}$ !

Need large radiative corrections (i.e. large stops masses) to reach this value $\Rightarrow$ new fine-tuning problem !

## The NMSSM

The NMSSM consists in MSSM + singlet (super)field S. The CP-even Higgs sector is therefore enlarged and is composed by 3 states (instead of 2 as in the MSSM): $H$ (heavy), $h$ (identified with $h^{125}$ ) and $h_{s}$ (light or heavy...).

New contributions to the Higgs mass
Due to the existence of the singlet, two mechanisms:
(1) New tree level contribution to $m_{h}$;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}_{S, 11}^{2}=M_{Z}^{2} \cos ^{2} 2 \beta+\lambda^{2} v^{2} \sin ^{2} 2 \beta \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda \lesssim 0.8$ to avoid landau poles. This effect takes place mainly at small $\tan \beta$.
(2) If $m_{h_{s}}<125 \mathrm{GeV} \rightarrow$ singlet-doublet mixing can uplift $m_{h}$, up to 8 GeV beyond $M_{Z}$. This effect takes place mainly at large $\tan \beta$.


Both effects are maximised in different regions of parameter space. We define a parameter, $\Delta_{\text {NMSSM }}$ in the following way:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{\mathrm{NMSSM}}=m_{h}^{\mathrm{NMSSM}}-\max _{\tan \beta} m_{h}^{\mathrm{MSSM}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this way, this parameter allows as to:
(1) Track how much we gain for $m_{h}$ due to the existence of a singlet field $S$ (i.e. w.r.t. the MSSM), due to mixing effects or the extra $\lambda$ term.
(2) Assign a quantity to the "naturalness" of a point. The larger is $\Delta_{\text {NMSSM }}$, the less radiative corrections one needs to reach 125 GeV .

## Scanning the parameter space

Using NMSSMTools, we perform a scan in a vast region of parameter space.

## The scan

(1) We scan over $10^{-3} \leq \lambda \leq 0.8$ and $1 \leq \tan \beta \leq 40$, in order to cover all the regions where the two mass shifting effects can be enhanced.
(2) We require light stops, $500 \mathrm{GeV} \lesssim m_{\tilde{t}_{1,2}} \lesssim 1.1 \mathrm{TeV}$, and also a relatively small value for the stop mixing parameter, $-1 \mathrm{TeV} \leq A_{t} \leq 1 \mathrm{TeV}$, to avoid fine-tuned points.
(3) We require one of the higgses, $h$, to resemble the one found at CERN, and the mostly singlet like Higgs, $h_{s}$, to be lighter than $h$, i.e. $h_{s} \leq 125 \mathrm{GeV}$.

Phenomenological constraints applied

- All LEP constraints and LHC results in Higgs physics (by default in NMSSMTools)


## Results: natural regions

For each point of the scan, its value of $\Delta_{\text {NMSSM }}$ has been computed.

(1) Low $\lambda /$ large $\tan \beta: \Delta_{\text {NMSSM }}$ from mixing.
(2) Large $\lambda /$ low $\tan \beta: \Delta_{\text {NMSSM }}$ from extra $\lambda$ term.

## Results: the diphoton channel

## Present and future searches for $h_{s}$ in the diphoton channel



Figure: In red, the small $\lambda$ island where the mixing effect is dominant for the uplift of $h$. In gray the large $\lambda$ region, with $\Delta_{\text {NMSSM }}>12$ practically everywhere, which is already being partially tested at the LHC. Left: current limits on $h_{s} \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$. Right: Expected values for the diphoton cross section at Run II (excluded points are removed).

- Large $\lambda$ region: Already being tested (RUN I)!
- Small $\lambda$ region: To be completely covered soon (RUN II)! Recall: Consistent with small excesses reported by LEP and CMS at 98 GeV .


## Results: Correlations between $h$ and $h_{s}$



Figure : Left: Correlation in the diphoton signal strengths of the light scalars. Right: diphoton signal strength for $h_{s}$ versus the coupling to vector bosons of the mostly SM boson.

- Projected $1 \sigma$ sensitivities on $\mu_{h^{125}}^{\gamma \gamma}$ for RUN II , $\Delta \mu_{h^{125}}^{\gamma \gamma}\left(300 \mathrm{fb}^{-1}\right) \sim 0.13$, $\Delta \mu_{h^{125}}^{\gamma \gamma}\left(3000 \mathrm{fb}^{-1}\right) \sim 0.09 \Rightarrow$ precise measurements of $\mu_{h}^{\gamma \gamma}$ could exclude the small $\lambda$ region.
- Any excess in $\mu_{h}^{\gamma \gamma}$ makes $h_{s}$ practically invisible in $\gamma \gamma$.
- Projected $1 \sigma$ sensitivities: $\Delta \kappa V(h)\left(300 \mathrm{fb}^{-1}\right) \sim 0.059, \Delta \kappa_{V}(h)\left(3000 \mathrm{fb}^{-1}\right) \sim 0.037$


## Conclusions

- Run II will be sensitive to the NMSSM natural regions featuring a lighter singlet-like state.
- Measurements of signal strengths and couplings of $h^{125}$ together with direct searches for the additional lighter singlet-like state $h_{s}$ in the diphoton channel can test substantial regions of the natural NMSSM parameter space.
- Large mixing effects as responsible for $m_{h}=125 \mathrm{GeV}$ will be covered IF
- $\kappa_{V}\left(h^{125}\right) \lesssim 0.93$ can be excluded, or
- $\mu_{h_{s}}^{\gamma \gamma} \gtrsim 0.85$ can be excluded .
$\Rightarrow$ complementarity!
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## Thanks for your attention!

## BACKUP

CP-even Higgs mass matrix

The mass matrix $\mathcal{M}_{S}^{\prime 2}$ in the basis $\left(h^{\prime}, H^{\prime}, S_{r}\right)$ reads:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{M}_{S, 11}^{\prime 2} & =M_{Z}^{2} \cos ^{2} 2 \beta+\lambda^{2} v^{2} \sin ^{2} 2 \beta+\sin ^{2} \beta \Delta_{\mathrm{rad}}  \tag{4}\\
\mathcal{M}_{S, 12}^{\prime 2} & =\frac{1}{2} \sin 2 \beta \cos 2 \beta\left(M_{Z}^{2}-\lambda^{2} M_{Z}^{2}\right)-\frac{\sin 2 \beta}{2} \Delta_{\mathrm{rad}}  \tag{5}\\
\mathcal{M}_{S, 13}^{\prime 2} & =\lambda v(2 \mu-\Lambda \sin 2 \beta)  \tag{6}\\
\mathcal{M}_{S, 22}^{\prime 2} & =M_{A}^{2}+\left(M_{Z}^{2}-\lambda^{2} v^{2}\right) \sin ^{2}(2 \beta)+\cos ^{2} \beta \Delta_{\mathrm{rad}}  \tag{7}\\
\mathcal{M}_{S, 23}^{\prime 2} & =\lambda v \Lambda \cos 2 \beta  \tag{8}\\
\mathcal{M}_{S, 33}^{\prime 2} & =\lambda^{2} v^{2} \sin 2 \beta\left(\frac{M_{A}^{2} \sin 2 \beta}{4 \mu^{2}}-\frac{\kappa}{2 \lambda}\right)+\frac{\kappa \mu A_{\kappa}}{\lambda}+\frac{4 \kappa^{2} \mu^{2}}{\lambda^{2}} \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have defined $\Lambda=A_{\lambda}+2 \kappa s$.

## Production and BRs of the singlet




