

UNIVERSITE PARIS-SACLAY







Introduction

 ATLAS-CMS Higgs couplings measurement combination recently brought evidence of H->ττ decay with a 5.5σ significance (exp. 5.0σ): first direct observation of Yukawa couplings!

• Yet no independent discovery by either of the two experiments



Channel	Reference	References for individual publications		Signal strength $[\mu]$		Signal significance $[\sigma]$	
	individual pu			from results in this paper (Section 5.2)			
	ATLAS	CMS	ATLAS	CMS	ATLAS	CMS	_
$H \to \tau \tau$	[58]	[59]	$1.41^{+0.40}_{-0.35}$	$0.89^{+0.31}_{-0.28}$	4.4	3.4	obs
			$\binom{+0.37}{-0.33}$	$\binom{+0.31}{-0.29}$	(3.3)	(3.7)	exp

CMS-PAS-HIG-15-002



Introduction

 H->ττ decay challenging to reconstruct because of neutrinos in the final state

=> only charged leptons or hadronic decay products are visible in the detector





 Sensitivity to H->ττ can be enhanced by looking for associated production like VBF
 => look for two forward jets





Introduction

- Di-τ mass reconstruction based on a likelihood approach in ATLAS (MMC) and CMS (SVFitMass)
- Signal extraction in ATLAS based on Boosted Decision Tree (BDT) while CMS analysis uses directly di- τ mass estimation



 New analysis method for H->ττ, based on Matrix Element Method (MEM) will be presented here



0

Outline

1. Principles of the Matrix Element Method

2. Application to VBF H-> $\tau\tau$

3. Prospects for ttH H-> $\tau\tau$

0

- Goal of the MEM: provide a discriminating variable L(y) which optimally combines the available information from the objects reconstructed in the detector (which are associated to a set of observables y)
- Final discriminant L(y) can be directly fitted or used to define categories with different S/B, thus improving the sensitivity of the search
- Combines theoretical predictions at LO for a given model with information about the detector resolution (possible to take spin-correlation into account)
- Requires numerical integration over poorly determined or unmeasured parton quantities (jet energy, neutrinos momenta...)
- Already used in CMS:
 - H->ZZ->4I: MELA, no integration (arxiv:1312.5353)
 - Zbb: cross-check of standard analysis (arxiv:1402.1521)
 - ttH, H->bb: full MEM based analysis (arxiv:1502.02485)

In this presentation: VBF and ttH, H-> $\tau\tau$

- Observables y:
 - leptons
 - $au_{
 m h}$
 - jets
 - MET



q

- quarks
- neutrinos
- The final discriminant used is in principle

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{y}) = rac{w_S(\mathbf{y})}{w_S(\mathbf{y}) + w_B(\mathbf{y})}$$

with

$$w_i(\mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{\sigma_i} \sum_p \int d\mathbf{x} dx_a dx_b \frac{f(x_a, Q) f(x_b, Q)}{x_a x_b s} \delta^2(x_a P_a + x_b P_b - \sum p_k) |\mathcal{M}_i(\mathbf{x})|^2 W(\mathbf{y} || \mathbf{x})$$

T. Strebler – JRJC 2015 - 19/11/2015

- Main parts
 - Matrix Element computed at LO with MadGraph



- Transfer functions = probability of measuring **y** given a point **x** in the phase space of the final-state particles



Monte-Carlo integration

- Not possible to compute integral analytically

$$w_i(\mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{\sigma_i} \sum_p \int d\mathbf{x} dx_a dx_b \frac{f(x_a, Q) f(x_b, Q)}{x_a x_b s} \delta^2(x_a P_a + x_b P_b - \sum p_k) |\mathcal{M}_i(\mathbf{x})|^2 W(\mathbf{y} || \mathbf{x})$$

- MC integration very efficient to perform numerical integration in multidimensional space

The problem Monte Carlo integration addresses is the computation of a multidimensional definite integral

$$I = \int_{\Omega} f(\overline{\mathbf{x}}) \, d\overline{\mathbf{x}}$$

where Ω , a subset of \mathbf{R}^m , has volume

$$V = \int_{\Omega} d\overline{\mathbf{x}}$$

The naive Monte Carlo approach is to sample points uniformly on Ω :^[4] given *N* uniform samples,

$$\overline{\mathbf{x}}_1, \cdots, \overline{\mathbf{x}}_N \in \Omega$$

I can be approximated by

$$I \approx Q_N \equiv V \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N f(\overline{\mathbf{x}}_i) = V \langle f \rangle.$$



from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Monte_Carlo_integration

T. Strebler – JRJC 2015 - 19/11/2015

Dimensionality reduction

 $w_i(\mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{\sigma_i} \sum_p \int d\mathbf{x} dx_a dx_b \frac{f(x_a, Q) f(x_b, Q)}{x_a x_b s} \delta^2(x_a P_a + x_b P_b - \sum p_k) |\mathcal{M}_i(\mathbf{x})|^2 W(\mathbf{y} || \mathbf{x})$

- With brute-force computation, number of dimensions is pretty large: for VBF H->ττ, up to 8 particles in the final state => 8x3 dimensions
 => very large number of points to perform MC integration
- Function to integrate can be very peaked (signal ME around $m_{ auar{ au}}^2$ = (125 GeV)²)
- Possible solution: try to reduce number of dimensions with TF with perfect resolution (direction jets, lepton 3-momentum) + adapted change of variables
 - => involved computation to get to the result but possible to go from
 - 24 -> 4 dimensions for VBF H-> $\tau\tau$ (=jet energies + $d|\vec{\tau}_l|d\cos\theta_{\tau\bar{\tau}}$)



Advantages

- In principle, optimal combination of theoretical information (matrix element) with detector resolution (transfer function)
- Can treat complex final states with several relevant observables (jets, di-*τ* system, top quarks...), including polarization
- No training required => not sensitive to input samples (low statistics, inaccurate modelisation...)

• Drawback

 Demanding in terms of computing ressources for MC integration (even after dimensionality reduction)
 => implementation on GPU's currently under development

Application to VBF H-> $\tau\tau$

0



- Validation of the MEM with H->ττ first done for VBF production mode in Run 1 data since CMS analysis already existing and can be used as benchmark
- Standard analysis defines VBF categories based on kinematic of two forward jets and uses SVFitMass distribution for signal extraction
- Main background from Z->ττ (DY+2 jets) production: only one used for now in MEM (ongoing studies to include W+jets background)





Application to VBF Η->ττ

 Since kinematics of the process well-known, possible to do crosschecks to check the correlation between the MEM likelihood ratio and the relevant observables



 Performance of the MEM compared to SVFitMass alone and BDT using SVFitMass + Mjj + Δη

=> with current MEM settings, significant improvement with respect to default analysis



Application to VBF H-> $\tau\tau$

- Full CMS H->ττ analysis set-up reproduced including MEM (only in VBF categories)
- Evaluation of the final limits already performed in μτ channel (*L. Mastrolorenzo's PhD thesis*)
- If improvement confirmed in other channels, can use the MEM for Run 2 analysis and contribute to the discovery of H->ττ independently by CMS





0



- ATLAS-CMS combination confirmed observed excess in ttH production mode
- Interesting channel to look at for Run 2: direct measurement of top-Higgs coupling
- Among all the ttH channels looked for by CMS at Run 1, H->ττ has the less precise measurement: large margin for improvement with MEM T. Strebler – JRJC 2015 - 19/11/2015

- Presence of τ_h in final-state can be used to decouple from ttH multi-lepton analysis
- Multiple leptons in addition can still be used to reduce the background (possibility to require 2I OS / 2I SS / 3I)
- Preselections: \geq 2 leptons, \geq 1 τ_h , \geq 3 jets

- Although final-state more complex than VBF, possibility to rely on btagging to identify b-jets + sum over ambiguous permutations with MEM
- Categorization based on b-tagging requirements (2 CSV-medium tagged / 1 CSV-medium + 1 CSVloose)
- Further categorization based on compatibility of untagged jets with W mass
 if no W-tagged pair of jets, possibility to integrate over the
 - missing jet direction
- Performance on various backgrounds under study

Conclusion

- Matrix Element Method is a powerful tool to extract signal, which does not require training and is therefore not impacted by samples with low statistics
- MEM has been successfully implemented for H-> $\tau\tau$ analyses, shows very promising results and could lead to the 5 σ discovery of H-> $\tau\tau$
- Could also provide a more precise measurement of ttH H-> $\tau\tau$ and confirm (or not) the excess seen in other ttH channels

Back-up

0

Principles of the Matrix Element Method $w_i(\mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{\sigma_i} \sum_n \int d\mathbf{x} dx_a dx_b \frac{f(x_a, Q) f(x_b, Q)}{x_a x_b s} \delta^2(x_a P_a + x_b P_b - \sum p_k) |\mathcal{M}_i(\mathbf{x})|^2 W(\mathbf{y} || \mathbf{x})$ $\frac{1}{2}$ normalization coefficient (independent of **y**) σ_i sum over all the potential associations between the \sum_{p} reconstructed objects and the final-state particules + over the potential processes integration over the phase space of the final-state particles $\int d\mathbf{x} dx_a dx_b$ **x** and over the momentum fractions x_a , x_b of the incoming

 $f(x_a, Q)f(x_b, Q)$ PDFs of the incoming partons, evaluated with LHAPDF

partons

 δ -function enforcing the conservation of energy and $\delta^2(x_a P_a + x_b P_b - \sum p_k)$ longitudinal momentum between the incoming partons and the final-state particles

Principles of the Matrix Element Method $w_i(\mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{\sigma_i} \sum_n \int d\mathbf{x} dx_a dx_b \frac{f(x_a, Q) f(x_b, Q)}{x_a x_b s} \delta^2(x_a P_a + x_b P_b - \sum p_k) |\mathcal{M}_i(\mathbf{x})|^2 W(\mathbf{y} || \mathbf{x})$ $|\mathcal{M}_i(\mathbf{x})|^2$ matrix element (ME) squared of the process *i* at LO (for instance ud->udH,H-> $\tau\tau$) $W(\mathbf{y}||\mathbf{x})$ transfer function = probability of measuring **y** given a point **x** in the phase space of the final-state particles describes the decay of the unstable final-state particles of the hard-scattering (τ) + takes into account the resolution of the detector on the energy of the jets and on the MET

Monte-Carlo integration

 Because of mass-shell constraints for τ + narrow width of the Higgs, change of variables required for the integration

=> complex reconstruction of the di-τ system from those variables + measured observables

- Non-trivial kinematic constraints to take into account

T. Strebler – JRJC 2015 - 19/11/2015

- Higgs (Z) decay to $\tau\tau$
 - => 2 integrations over $d|\vec{\tau_l}|d\cos\theta_{\tau\bar{\tau}}$
 - + optional integration over $dm^2_{\tau \bar{\tau}}$
- Leptonic top decay

Enu determined from lepton momentum + M_W constraint

Eb determined from W momentum + M_t constraint

=> 2 integrations over neutrino direction

Hadronic top decay

Eqbar determined from q momentum + M_W constraint

Eb determined from W momentum + Mt constraint

=> 1 integration over Eq

Application to VBF H-> $\tau\tau$

0

Improvement in the VBF only categories

Improvement in the VBF only categories ~27%!!

L. Mastrolorenzo's PhD thesis

Prospects for ttH H->ττ

- Cat. 1: ≥ 2 leptons, ≥ 1 τ_h, ≥ 4 jets, W-tagged pair of light jets Cat. 2: ≥ 2 leptons, ≥ 1 τ_h, ≥ 4 jets, no W-tagged pair of light jets Cat. 3: ≥ 2 leptons, ≥ 1 τ_h, 3 jets
- Use of narrow-width approximation for top, W and Higgs keep the number of dimensions for integration as low as possible (VBF H->ττ 4 dim.)
- Performance on various backgrounds under study

In each category, 2 CSVM / 1 CSVM + 1 CSVL distinction

2 lep. Cat. 1	2 lep. Cat. 2	2 lep. Cat. 3	3 lep.
5 dim.	7 dim.	7 dim.	6 dim.