# From the epigenome to the functional and structural nuclear organisation

#### **Benjamin Audit**

Equipe SIgnaux, SYstèmes et PHysiquE (SISYPHE) Laboratoire de Physique de l'ENS-Lyon

#### ENS de Lyon

Françoise Argoul Alain Arneodo Antoine Baker Pierre Borgnat **Rasha Boulos** Guénola Drillon Pablo Jensen Hanna Julienne Nicolas Tremblay Cédric Vaillant Lamia Zaghloul

#### CGM, Gif-sur-Yvette

Yves d'Aubenton-Carafa Chun-Long Chen Claude Thermes

#### <u>CEA, Saclay</u>

Arach Goldar

<u>ENS, Paris</u> Guillaume Guilbaud Olivier Hyrien Malik Kahli Nataliya Petryk Aurélien Rappailles



## Heterochromatin and euchromatin



**Figure 4 Example TEM micrographs. (a)** Histologically normal rectal cell nuclei from control patients and those harboring a pre-cancerous adenoma elsewhere in the colon, representing field CRC. Scale bars correspond to 500 nm. **(b)** Histologically normal colonic cell nuclei from control rats and those treated with azoxymethane for 10 weeks (premalignant time point), representing early CRC. Scale bars correspond to 250 nm.

#### Cherkezyan, BMC Cancer (2014)

#### Heterochromatin: dense, transcriptionally silent

Euchromatin: decondensed, transcriptionally active

## Organisation of eukaryotic nucleus

Cremer, Nat Rev Genet 2, 2001 – Cook, Science 284, 1999 - Pombo, EMBO J 18, 1999

#### **Chromosome territories**



Chicken fibroblast nucleus where the 7 macro-chromosome are revealed by FISH

#### **Regionalisation of nuclear functions**





#### HeLa Cell



RNA (5-bromo-UTP / FITC)



Chromatin conformation capture for the human genome Hi-C data from Lieberman-Aiden, Science 326 (2009)



**Co-localisation frequency matrix** 





## A dichotomous view of chromatin organization and topology at Mb resolution







#### A dichotomous view of chromatin organization and topology at Mb resolution



Maximum Dinimum



Ryba, Genome Research (2010)

## Human chromatin is characterised by 4 epigenetic states at scale 100 kbp

Julienne, PLoS Computational Biology (2013)



Principal Component Analysis using 13 epigenetic marks

80% of the variance is explained by the first 3 principal components



Number of clusters

10

## **Characterisation of chromatin states in K562**

Julienne, PLoS Computational Biology (2013)

1 - Transcriptionally active chromatin

- 3 Silent unmarked chromatin
- 2 Domain of gene repression by Polycomb
- 4 HP1 heterochromatin







Gene expression





## 4 chromatin states in all differentiated human cell types





Julienne, PLoS Computational Biology (2013, 2015)

## Chromatin states are replicated at different times

Julienne, PLoS Computational Biology (2013, 2015)



first principal component

-2

2

4

0

-8 -6 -4

10 4

## Embryonic stem cell specific chromatin organization

Julienne, PLoS Computational Biology (2015)





Highly dynamic heterochromatic state in embryonic stem cell

#### Genome-wide segmentation of the human genome into 4 chromatin states

Julienne, PLoS Computational Biology (2013)



#### Chromatin states 1+2 and 3+4 colocalize



## **Distribution of chromatin states in K562 replication U-domains**

Julienne, PLoS Computational Biology (in press)



# 46% of the genome is covered by U-domains



#### Chromatin conformation capture for the human genome Hi-C data from Lieberman-Aiden, Science 326 (2009)



chromosome

#### Packing of chromatin is consistent with the behavior of a fractal globule



Lieberman-Aiden, Science 326 (2009) Mirny, Chromosome Research (2011)

 $F(s) = k.s^{\alpha}$ 

Non equilibrium fractal globule: a = -1

Equilibrium globule:

$$a = -d_f/d_w$$

d<sub>f</sub>: geometrical fractal dimension d<sub>f</sub>=3: space-filling state d<sub>w</sub>: dynamical fractal dimension d<sub>w</sub>=2: normal diffusion

a = -3/2

#### **Structural organization of chromatin domains**

**Boulos, FEBS Letters (2015)** 



## **Structural organization of chromatin domains in K562**

**Boulos, FEBS Letters (2015)** 



- 1+2 vs 1+2 interactions
- 1+2 vs 3+4 interactions
- 3+4 vs 3+4 interactions
- $\checkmark$  Exponent **a** = -1 is specific to 3+4 domains
- $\checkmark$  1+2 domains compatible with  $\alpha = -3/2$
- Segregation between 1+2 and 3+4 domains

## **Structural organization of chromatin domains in IMR90**

**Boulos, FEBS Letters (2015)** 



- 1+2 vs 1+2 interactions
- 1+2 vs 3+4 interactions
- 3+4 vs 3+4 interactions
- $\checkmark$  Exponent **a** = -1 is specific to 3+4 domains
- $\checkmark$  1+2 domains compatible with  $\alpha = -3/2$

Segregation between 1+2 and 3+4 domains

## Structural organization of chromatin domains in IMR90



3+4 domains associated to lamina at nuclear envelop  $\rightarrow d_f=2$ : plane-filling state

2D equilibrium globule: a = -d<sub>f</sub>/d<sub>w</sub> geometrical fractal dimension: d<sub>f</sub>=2 plane-filling state dynamical fractal dimension: d<sub>w</sub>=2: normal diffusion → a = -1

Measurement in mouse embryonic fibroblast:

 $d_f = 2.2, d_w = 2.6$  (subdiffusion)  $\rightarrow \alpha = -0.85$ 

Bancaud, EMBO Journal (2009); Nucleic Acids Research (2012)

## Structural organization and the DNA replication program in IMR90



Segmentation of the genome in replication timing deciles

**Boulos, FEBS Letters (2015)** 

Early (D1) vs early (D1) interactions

Mid-early (D4) vs mid-early (D4) interactions

Mid-late (D7) vs mid-late (D7) interactions

Late (D10) vs late (D10) interactions

Timing as a measure of the radial positioning within the nucleus

Consistently with the change of spatial distribution of replication foci during S-phase from central to peripheral positioning

## Structural organization of chromatin domains in ES cells

**Boulos, FEBS Letters (2015)** 



- 1+2 vs 1+2 interactions
- 1+2 vs 3+4 interactions
- 3+4 vs 3+4 interactions
- ✓ All domains compatible with a = -3/2
- Weak segregation between 1+2 and 3+4 domains

## Structural organization and the DNA replication program in ES cells



Segmentation of the genome in replication timing deciles

**Boulos, FEBS Letters (2015)** 

Early (D1) vs early (D1) interactions

Mid-early (D4) vs mid-early (D4) interactions

Mid-late (D7) vs mid-late (D7) interactions

Late (D10) vs late (D10) interactions

All timing domains in 3D space

Spatial organization of chromatin domains related to cell fate decision ?

## Dynamics of replication foci during S-phase in differentiated cells



Quantitative Live Imaging of Endogenous DNA Replication in Mammalian Cells Burgess, PLoS One (2012)

## Dynamics of replication foci during S-phase in differentiated cells



Quantitative Live Imaging of Endogenous DNA Replication in Mammalian Cells Burgess, PLoS One (2012)

## **Epigenetically controlled functional and structural organization** of the human genome



A cascade model for replication origin activation through 4 chromatin states along U-domains

Hyrien, Journal of Molecular Biology (2013)

## **Replication domains and chromatin conformation domains**

Replication timing U-domains appear as large scale structural units

Replication domain boundaries share a near one-to-one correlation with topologically associating domains (TAD) boundaries

Pope, Nature (2012)







## **Replication domain borders are giant hubs in the chromatin conformation** graph in K562

#### **Boulos, Physical Review Letters (2013)**

1.5

#### Co-localisation matrix as the adjacency matrix of the chromatin interaction graph







## **Delineating Structural Domains in Hi-C Data**



**Dynamical programming** Filippova, Algorithms for Molecular Biology (2014) Lévy-Leduc, Bioinformatics (ECCB 2014)



Most methods suppose that structural domains are chromosome intervals and/or do not allow for nested structures

## **Delineating Structural Domains in Hi-C Data**



Genome assembly using chromosomal contact data

Burton, Genes Genomes Genetics (2014) Marbouty, Elife (2014) Marie-Nelly, Nature Communications (2014)



**Boulos, FEBS letters (2015)** 

## **Detect multi-scale communities in the chromatin interaction graph**

Tremblay, IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing (2014)



Tremblay, IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing (2014)



#### A partition in 3 communities at small scales

Tremblay, IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing (2014)



#### A partition in 2 communities at large scales

Tremblay, IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing (2014) Boulos, GRETSI (2015)



Obtaining an objective multi-scale segmentation of the human genome into structural communities

#### Multi-scale structural communities in IMR90 and H1 ES Boulos, GRETSI (2015)



Proportion of interval communities in groups of 100 communities



> 99% of structural communities are chromosomal intervals in both cell lines

## Multi-scale structural communities in IMR90 and H1 ES

**Boulos, GRETSI (2015)** 





## Multi-scale structural communities in IMR90 and H1 ES

**Boulos, GRETSI (2015)** 





# Structural communities form a hierarchy of chromosome intervals

#### Structural community borders have 'insulator' like properties Boulos, PhD thesis (2015)





## **Structural communities vs TAD**

#### **Boulos, PhD thesis (2015)**

Proportion of TADs that have a structural community counter part (80% mutual overlap)









Large and small scale structural communities TADS

## **Conservation of structural communities between cell lines**

Boulos, PhD thesis (2015)



Proportion of structural communities in one cell line that have a counter part in an other cell line (80% mutual overlap)

## **Structural communities vs replication U-domains**

Boulos, PhD thesis (2015)



Large and small scale structural communities Replication U-domains in IMR90 and H1 ES Proportion of U-domains that have a structural community counter part (80% mutual overlap)



H1 ES IMR90 GM06990 K562

Proportion of U-domain borders that have a structural community border counter part (±100kp)



# Structural community borders are encoded in the DNA sequence via a local enrichment in nucleosome excluding energy barriers Boulos, PhD thesis (2015)



Vaillant, Physical Review Letters (2007) Chevereau, Physical Review Letters (2009)



Ubiquitous U-domain borders
GM specific U-domain borders

Drillon, Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter (2015)



$0.6 \le L < 1 Mb$	3 ≤ L < 5 Mb
$1 \le L < 2 Mb$	5 ≤ L < 10 Mb
2 ≤ L < 3 Mb	10 ≤ L < 100 Mb

## Structural communities vs chromatin state domains



**Euchromatin and heterochromatin domains** Large and small scale structural communities

Proportion of chromatin domains that have a structural community counter part (80% mutual overlap)



Proportion of chromatin domain borders that have a structural community border counter part (±100kp)



## Conclusion

## Towards a multivariate view of genome organisation in the nucleus

Are they / what are the link between chromatin states, replication, transcription and structural domains?

What are the implications for development, cancer progression ?



## Characterizing replication stress in cancer using replication timing and fork polarity profiles

In some leukemias, replication timing changes associated to translocations precede and possibly predispose chromosomes to the translocation

**Rvba, Genome Research (2012)** 

RUNX1 is involved in normal hematopoiesis and is one of the most frequently disrupted genes in leukemia



220 R1

# From the epigenome to the functional and structural nuclear organisation

#### **Benjamin Audit**

Equipe SIgnaux, SYstèmes et PHysiquE (SISYPHE) Laboratoire de Physique de l'ENS-Lyon

#### ENS de Lyon

Françoise Argoul Alain Arneodo Antoine Baker Pierre Borgnat **Rasha Boulos** Guénola Drillon Pablo Jensen Hanna Julienne Nicolas Tremblay Cédric Vaillant Lamia Zaghloul

#### CGM, Gif-sur-Yvette

Yves d'Aubenton-Carafa Chun-Long Chen Claude Thermes

#### <u>CEA, Saclay</u>

Arach Goldar

<u>ENS, Paris</u> Guillaume Guilbaud Olivier Hyrien Malik Kahli Nataliya Petryk Aurélien Rappailles



## **Towards graph spectral analysis**



Fourier modes are eigen-functions of the Laplacian operator

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}t^2}\cos(\omega t) = -\omega^2\cos(\omega t)$$

Discretized Laplacian operator L

2

-1

L = 0 - 1

0

0

-1

2

0



0 -1 2

$$L\chi_i = \lambda_i\chi_i$$

L is related to the adjacency matrix A and degree matrix *D* of the linear graph: L = D - A



**Towards graph spectral analysis** 

Graph Fourier modes are the eigen-functions of the graph Laplacian operator L = D - A

## Some Fourier modes



Graph Fourier modes convey information on the graph topology Used in graph spectral clustering

## Structural communities during HeLaS3 cell cycle

Naumova, Science (2013)

