# **HCal Simulation Studies**

#### Steven Green

University of Cambridge

sg568@hep.phy.cam.ac.uk

February 3, 2015

三日 のへで

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

# Overview

### Introduction

### 2 Absorber Material

### 3 Tile Size

### Thickness

### Sumber of Layers

### 6 Sampling Fraction

### Timing Cut Analysis

### 8 Conclusions

三日 のへで

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

# Introduction

Looking to optimise the physics performance of the **HCal** in the context of a future linear collider. These studies are focused on ILD.



Figure : 500 GeV Di-Jet.

Figure of merit: jet energy resolution. Jets from decay of off-shell mass Z bosons to light quarks  $\rightarrow$  typically forms two mono energetic back to back jets. HCal parameters to be optimised:

Absorber Material Default for ILD: Steel

### Tile Size

Default for ILD:  $30 \times 30 \text{mm}^2$  square cells. HCal cell size variation will primarily impact **pattern recognition** in Particle Flow calorimetry.

### Total Thickness

Default for ILD: 5.911  $\lambda_I$ . HCal thickness variation will primarily impact **leakage** of energy out of the detector.

#### Number of Layers

Default for ILD: 48 layers.

### Sampling Fraction

Default for ILD: Scintillator to Absorber thickness ratio 0.15.

Steven Green (University of Cambridge)

High Granularity Calorimeters Workshop

February 3, 2015 3 / 17

# Calibration

Reliable calibration procedure is **essential**. The calibration procedure breaks down into two distinct phases:

### Digitisation

Setting of the digitisation constants. These convert the ADC current into an energy deposition measurement in each calorimeter cell.



### PandoraPFA Calibration

- ADC to MIPs, which are used as an energy measure within PandoraPFA.
- Energy rescaling factors used to differentiate hadronic and electromagnetic energy deposition measurements within the calorimeters.

# Absorber Material Study

#### Study

- $\bullet\,$  Change HCal Absorber Material : Default  $\rightarrow\,$  Steel.
- Otherwise default ILD detector.

#### Absorber materials considered:

Steel, Tungsten

### Added Complication

- Physical (w.r.t. CLIC) timing cuts were applied in the HCal:
  - Steel HCal : 10ns timing cut
  - Tungsten HCal : 100ns timing cut.

### • Choice of physics list:

- QGSP\_BERT: Default list, quick.
- QGSP\_BERT\_HP: Similar to QGSP\_BERT but with the addition of the high precision neutron package (deals with transportation of neutrons below 20MeV down to thermal energies), more realistic but slower.
- For completion both physics lists were used in the analysis.

ELE NOR

<ロ> (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

# Absorber Material Study



Figure : Jet energy resolution vs jet energy. Results shown are for detector models using steel (iron) and tungsten (WMod) HCal absorber materials. For each absorber material results are shown using both the QGSP\_BERT and the QGSP\_BERT\_HP physics lists.

materials

 Similar performance using both steel and tungsten HCal absorber materials.

Significantly different performance between

physics lists for tungsten HCal absorber

< □ > < <sup>[]</sup> >

the QGSP\_BERT and the QGSP\_BERT\_HP

# Tile Size Study

### Study

- Change HCal Tile Size : Default  $\rightarrow$  30  $\times$  30mm<sup>2</sup> square cells.
- HCal Absorber Material : Steel
- Physics List : QGSP\_BERT
- Changes should impact pattern recognition in the particle flow paradigm.

#### Tile sizes considered:

 $10\times10mm^2,~20\times20mm^2,~30\times30mm^2,~40\times40mm^2,~50\times50mm^2,~100\times100mm^2$ 

### Added Complication

HCal timing cuts impact results. For completion results have been produced using a 10ns and semi-infinite  $(10^6 ns)$  timing cut in the HCal.

ELE NOR

<ロ> (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Tile Size

# Tile Size Study



timing cut was applied in the HCal.

Figure : Jet energy resolution vs HCal tile size. A 10 ns Figure : Jet energy resolution vs HCal tile size. A  $10^{6}$ ns timing cut was applied in the HCal.

Image: A match the second s

ъ

#### Study

- Change HCal Total Thickness : Default ightarrow 5.911 $\lambda_I$  (Scintillator and Absorber Material).
- HCal Absorber Material : Steel
- HCal Tile Size :  $30 \times 30 \text{mm}^2$
- Physics List : QGSP\_BERT
- Sampling Fraction : 0.15 (3 mm Scintillator, 20 mm Absorber).
- Changes should impact leakage of energy from the detector (more prominent at higher energies).

### HCal Thicknesses Considered:

 $4.729\lambda_I$ ,  $5.320\lambda_I$ ,  $5.911\lambda_I$ ,  $6.502\lambda_I$ ,  $7.093\lambda_I$ . (80%, 90%, 100%, 110%, 120% of default)

### Added Complication

HCal timing cuts impact results. For completion results have been produced using a 10ns and semi-infinite  $(10^6 ns)$  timing cut in the HCal.

ELE NOR

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

#### Thickness

# Thickness Study



Figure : Jet energy resolution vs number of nuclear interaction lengths in the HCal. A 10 ns timing cut was applied in the HCal.

Figure : Jet energy resolution vs number of nuclear interaction lengths in the HCal. A  $10^6$  ns timing cut was applied in the HCal.

- ∢ ≣ ▶

Image: A matrix and a matrix

# Number of Layers Study

### Study

- Change Number of Layers in HCal : Default  $\rightarrow$  48.
- HCal Absorber Material : Steel
- HCal Tile Size :  $30 \times 30 \text{mm}^2$
- HCal Total Thickness : 5.911  $\lambda_I$
- Physics List : QGSP\_BERT
- Sampling Fraction : 0.15 (3 mm Scintillator, 20 mm Absorber).

HCal Number of Layers Considered:

18, 24, 30, 36, 42, 48, 54, 60

#### Added Complication

HCal timing cuts impact results. For completion results have been produced using a 10ns and semi-infinite  $(10^6 ns)$  timing cut in the HCal.

ELE NOR

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

# Number of Layers Study



 $\label{eq:Figure: Jet energy resolution vs number of layers in the HCal. A 10 ns timing cut was applied in the HCal.$ 

Figure : Jet energy resolution vs number of layers in the HCal. A  $10^6$  ns timing cut was applied in the HCal.

Image: A math a math

ъ

#### Sampling Fraction

# Sampling Fraction Study

### Study

- $\bullet\,$  Change Sampling Fraction : Default  $\rightarrow$  0.15 (3mm Scintillator, 20mm Absorber)
- HCal Absorber Material : Steel
- HCal Tile Size :  $30 \times 30 \text{mm}^2$
- HCal Total Thickness : 5.911  $\lambda_I$
- Number of HCal Layers : 48
- Physics List : QGSP\_BERT

### HCal Sampling Fraction Considered:

- 0.05 (1mm Scintillator, 20mm Absorber)
- 0.10 (2mm Scintillator, 20mm Absorber)
- 0.15 (3mm Scintillator, 20mm Absorber)
- 0.20 (4mm Scintillator, 20mm Absorber)
- 0.25 (5mm Scintillator, 20mm Absorber)

#### Added Complication

HCal timing cuts impact results. For completion results have been produced using a 10ns and semi-infinite  $(10^6 ns)$  timing cut in the HCal.

Steven Green (University of Cambridge)

# Sampling Fraction Study



Figure : Jet energy resolution vs HCal sampling fraction. A 10 ns timing cut was applied in the HCal.

Figure : Jet energy resolution vs HCal sampling fraction. A  $10^6$  ns timing cut was applied in the HCal.

A D > A P > A B > A

-

# Timing Cut Analysis

Introductory steps into impact of changing the HCal timing cuts:

RMS<sub>90</sub>(E) / Mean<sub>90</sub>(E) [%] אונאיינייי % Jet Energy Resolution Jet Energy Resolution Intrinsic Energy Resolution Intrinsic Energy Resolution RMS<sub>90</sub>(E) / Mean<sub>90</sub>(E) Total Confusion Total Confusion Neutral Hadron Confusion Neutral Hadron Confusion Examine the Photon Confusion Photon Confusion Other Confusion Other Confusion decomposition of the jet energy resolution into intrinsic energy resolution and various confusion 10 20 30 50 100 10 20 30 100 terms changes HCal Cell Size [mm] HCal Cell Size [mm] with HCal timing cuts:

Figure : Jet energy resolution breakdown vs HCal tile size for 100 GeV jets. A 10 ns timing cut was applied in the HCal. Figure : Jet energy resolution breakdown vs HCal tile size for 100 GeV jets. A  $10^{6}~\rm ns$  timing cut was applied in the HCal.

• • • • • • • • • • •

- Extensive study of detector performance as a function of HCal absorber material, tile size, thickness, layer number and sampling fraction has been performed.
- Steel is comparable in performance to tungsten as a HCal absorber material.
- Smaller HCal tile sizes improve the jet energy resolution by reducing confusion in jet reconstruction.
- Thicker HCals reduce the impact of leakage of energy out of the back of the detector.
- Reducing the number of layers in the HCal degrades the jet energy resolution.

Key Future Work

• Fully understand the impact of timing cuts in the HCal.

ELE NOR

(日) (同) (三) (三)

# Thank You For Your Attention!

= 990

メロト メロト メヨト メ

# Timing Cut Analysis

- Second step was to find out what particles were causing the changes to the jet energy resolutions.
- Not a single or small group of particles causing the differences.
- Work is currently work is ongoing into examining the confusion terms in further detail.



Figure : Normalised distributions of the time of a Monte Carlo particle hit. Each distribution corresponds to a different MC particle.

# HCal Cell Size Study JER Breakdowns



Figure : Jet energy resolution breakdown vs HCal tile size for 45 GeV jets. A 10 ns timing cut was applied in the HCal.

Figure : Jet energy resolution breakdown vs HCal tile size for 45 GeV jets. A  $10^6$  ns timing cut was applied in the HCal.

# HCal Cell Size Study JER Breakdowns



Figure : Jet energy resolution breakdown vs HCal tile size for 500 GeV jets. A 10 ns timing cut was applied in the HCal.

Figure : Jet energy resolution breakdown vs HCal tile size for 500 GeV jets. A  $10^6$  ns timing cut was applied in the HCal.

# HCal Number of Layers - Comparison To Previous Study



Figure : Jet energy resolution vs number of layers in the HCal. A 10 ns timing cut was applied in the HCal. Sampling fraction constant at 0.15 (default, 3mm Scintillator, 20mm absorber). Figure : Jet energy resolution vs number of layers in the HCal. A 10 ns timing cut was applied in the HCal. Scintillator thickness fixed at 3mm. + Hadronic Energy Truncation

Steven Green (University of Cambridge)