



### Tau reconstruction for reduced ECAL size

Trong Hieu TRAN Laboratoire Leprince-Ringuet, Ecole polytechnique, CNRS/IN2P3

#### <u>Outline:</u>

- Tau decay modes
- Analysis procedures
- Comparison between ILD models (baseline vs reduced radius)

High granularity calorimeter for ILD workshop LLR, Feb 2015

# Tau decay modes

Tau jet reconstruction: a crucial key for an estimation of detector performance. Tau jet is compact.

Topologically: 3 decay modes (1,3,5-prong)

1-prong: single charged pion and any number of  $\pi^0$ 3-prong:  $\pi^+\pi^-\pi^+$ 

Final state	Branching fraction
$e^-\bar{\nu}_e\nu_\tau$	$17.85 \pm 0.05\%$
$\mu^- \bar{\nu}_\mu \nu_\tau$	$17.36 \pm 0.05\%$
$\pi^- \nu_{\tau}$	$10.91 \pm 0.07\%$
$\rho^- \nu_\tau \ (\rho^- \to \pi^- \pi^0)$	$25.52 \pm 0.10\%$
$a_1^- \nu_\tau \ (a_1^- \to \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0)$	$9.27 \pm 0.12\%$
$a_1^- \nu_\tau \ (a_1^- \to \pi^- \pi^+ \pi^-)$	$8.99 \pm 0.06\%$
24 other modes	10.10%
$ \frac{\pi \nu_{\tau}}{\rho^{-}\nu_{\tau} \ (\rho^{-} \to \pi^{-}\pi^{0})} $ $ \frac{a_{1}^{-}\nu_{\tau} \ (a_{1}^{-} \to \pi^{-}\pi^{0}\pi^{0})}{a_{1}^{-}\nu_{\tau} \ (a_{1}^{-} \to \pi^{-}\pi^{+}\pi^{-})} $ $ \frac{24 \text{ other modes}}{\rho^{-}\nu_{\tau}} $	$\begin{array}{c} 10.91 \pm 0.07\% \\ 25.52 \pm 0.10\% \\ 9.27 \pm 0.12\% \\ 8.99 \pm 0.06\% \\ 10.10\% \end{array}$

Branching fraction of main decays



#### This analysis: consider only 1-prong decay

$\pi^- \nu_{\tau}$
$\rho^- \nu_\tau \ (\rho^- \to \pi^- \pi^0)$
$a_1^- \nu_\tau \ (a_1^- \to \pi^- \pi^0 \pi^0)$
· · · ·

0 photon 2 photons 4 photons

# Samples

DBD generators  $e^+ e^- \rightarrow Z \rightarrow T^- T^+$ 

**τ energy ~ 125 GeV** 



 $e^{-(8)}$   $\tau^{+(2)}$ Z $e^{+(4)}$  2  $\tau^{-(1)}$ 





# Simulation & reconstruction

Softwares Ilcsoft v01-17-06, Mokka-08-04 Garlic v3.0.2

- Baseline ILD design (DBD): SiW ECAL,
   R<sub>ECAL</sub> inner = 1843 mm
- Alternative setup:  $R_{FCAI}^{inner} = 1450 \text{ mm}$

 ■ Reduced TPC radius → ECAL, HCAL, Yoke, ... radii are reduced

- Keep same aspect ratio: Radius/Length ( → for a reduced radius, the length is reduced as well)
- Other configurations unchanged (cell size, thicknesses)



Garlic (v3.0.2) is used for photon reconstruction

however its cuts are not used but some simple cuts based on track-cluster distance & cluster energy

#### Strategy:

- preselection based on MC info: choose only 1-prong decays
- □ |cos(theta)| <sup>tau</sup> < 0.7</p>
- photon in tau direction within 0.5 rad (to be optimised)
- sample with only one track in tau direction

# Example (1)



# Example (2)



## Photon selection: photonE vs distance to track



#### Trong Hieu TRAN

## Photon selection: fake EM clusters

Fake clusters created from interaction of with detector

"Asymmetry" of energy very close to 1



Example of photon invariant mass vs asymmetry



Angle photon-photon

Choose to merge closest clusters with asymmetry close to 1.

# Number of reconstructed photons



Decay mode known from MC info. Look at samples with different number of reconstructed photons. If everything is fine:  $\pi v$ : 0 photon,  $\rho v$ : 2 photons,  $a_1v$ : 4 photons.

# **Invariant mass** $\pi^+\gamma(s)$ : key for final state distinction



**Comparison:**  $R_{FCAI} = 1843 \text{ vs } R_{FCAI} = 1450 \text{ mm}$ 



Reconstructed tau jet invariant mass for known decay modes. Slight difference between radii 1843 and 1450 mm. (Same cuts are used.)





## **Reconstruction efficiency**



Slight difference in term of efficiency for two ECAL models. This is due to tighter angle between photons for reduced radius **BUT also: cuts are determined for R=1843 for the moment!** 

## Summary

- Tau decay mode reconstruction ( $E_{tau} \sim 125 \text{ GeV}$  which is equivalent to taus in ZH,  $H \rightarrow T$ 
  - rt at 500 GeV cms) being investigated using Garlic v3.0.2 (ilcsoft v01-17-06).
- Nice mass peaks observed
- High reconstruction efficiency even with a reduced detector size
- Comparison between ILD with ECAL of radii 1843 and 1450 mm shows slight difference! (up to 5% of in term of efficiency)
- Next steps:
  - ◆ to look at ZH, H → T<sup>+</sup>T<sup>-</sup> events (500 GeV)
  - optimise cuts (1843mm || 1450mm)



Rec efficiency vs cosTheta



Slight dependence on  $|\cos\theta|$ 

# Effect of distance-energy cut



#### tau@LLR.HGC4ILD.WS

## More comparison: 1843 vs 1450



# Effect of merging

