



A New Perspective on Composite Higgses

G.Cacciapaglia

GDR Terascale, Saclay 31/03/2015

> G.C., F.Sannino A.Arbey, G.C., H.Cai, A.Deandrea, S.le Corre, F.Sannino

The Higgs at last!









- Couplings known at 15-20%
 level
- Plenty of space for new physics!

at least! The Higgs at last!

The discovery of the Higgs boson has brought the <u>Naturalness problem</u> to reality!





 $\dotsh \qquad \delta m_H^2 \sim \frac{g^2}{16\pi^2} M_{\rm NPh}^2$

Either we live with fine tuning...

... or there is New Physics!

Can the Higgs derive from a confining dynamics?

A composite Higgs in pictures



Technicolor Higgs

Large global symmetry dynamically broken.

N Goldstone bosons

Mass set by the dynamics!

The global symmetry broken by quantum effects.

Some Goldstone bosons acquire mass

Mass smaller than the scale of dynamics



A dynamical model:

G = SU(2) with 4 Weyl doublets Q_i

Batra, Csako 0710.0333 Ryttov, Sannino 0809.0713

 $< Q_i Q_j >$ condensate forms and breaks $SU(4) \rightarrow Sp(4) \sim SO(5)$ (proven on the lattice)

Lewis, Pica, Sannino 1109.3513 + Hietanen 1404.2794

The minimal case: $SU(4) \rightarrow Sp(4) \sim SO(5)$

Katz, Nelson, Walker hep-ph/0504252 Gripaios, Pomarol, Riva, Serra, 0902.1483 Galloway, Evans, Luty, Tacchi 1001.1361

• Sp(4) has rank = 2, and it contains an SU(2) \times SU(2) subgroup

The condensate transforms as:

$$\langle \psi^{\imath}\psi^{\jmath}\rangle = \mathbf{6}_{\mathrm{SU}(4)} \to \mathbf{5}_{\mathrm{Sp}(4)} \oplus \mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{Sp}(4)}$$

Goldstone bosons

 $5_{\mathrm{Sp}(4)} \to (2,2) \oplus (1,1)$

of SU(2)xSU(2): Higgs doublet + singlet

Massive scalar

 $1_{\mathrm{Sp}(4)} \to (1,1)$

like the σ meson in QCD

How is EW symmetry broken? (This is an issue of alignment!)



Introducing a potential for θ

 $V(\theta) = {y'_t}^2 C_t \cos^2 \theta - 4C_m \cos \theta + \text{const.}$

$$|\cos \theta|_{\min} = \frac{2C_m}{{y'_t}^2 C_t}$$
 if ${y'_t}^2 C_t > 2|C_m|$





$$m_{\eta}^{2} = \frac{y_{t}^{\prime 2}C_{t}}{4}f^{2} \qquad \qquad m_{h} = 125 \text{ GeV for } C_{t} \sim 2$$

$$m_{h}^{2} = \frac{y_{t}^{\prime 2}C_{t}}{4}f^{2}\sin^{2}\theta = m_{\eta}^{2}\sin^{2}\theta = \frac{C_{t}m_{t}^{2}}{4}$$

$$m_{h}^{2} = \frac{v_{t}^{\prime 2}C_{t}}{4}f^{2}\sin^{2}\theta = m_{\eta}^{2}\sin^{2}\theta = \frac{C_{t}m_{t}^{2}}{4}$$

The Higgs mass fine-tuning



Both Order f!

 $\left. \delta m_h^2 \right|_{\rm m} = \frac{2C_m f^2}{8} \cos \theta$

The Higgs mass fine-tuning



 $m_h \to 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \theta \to 0$

Predictions from the Lattice:



FIG. 6: The vector meson and axial vector meson masses in physical units. The chiral extrapolations have been performed using a linear fit to the points where $m_q < 0.12$.

Predictions from the Lattice:

No light top partners are needed to cancel the top loop!

For sin $\theta = 0.2$ (typical value):

 $m_a = 16.5 \pm 3.5 \text{ TeV}$

 $m_{\rho} = 12.5 \pm 2.5 \text{ TeV}$

vector resonances ρ and a

Lattice results!

scalar singlet $m_\eta = 625~{
m GeV}$ Higgs $m_H = 125~{
m GeV}$ Not a prediction!

EWPTs and Higgs couplings

Arbey, Cacciapaglia, Cai, Deandrea, le Corre, Sannino 1502.04718



• A light-ish σ can help relieve the fine-tuning problem.

LHC smoking gun?

Arbey, Cacciapaglia, Cai, Deandrea, le Corre, Sannino 1502.04718

$$\begin{split} \langle \psi^{i}\psi^{j}\rangle &= \mathbf{6}_{\mathrm{SU}(4)} \rightarrow \mathbf{5}_{\mathrm{Sp}(4)} \oplus \mathbf{1}_{\mathrm{Sp}(4)} \\ 5_{\mathrm{Sp}(4)} \rightarrow (2,2) \oplus (1,1) \\ \mathbf{h} \qquad \mathbf{\eta} \end{split} \\ 1_{\mathrm{Sp}(4)} \rightarrow (1,1) \\ \mathbf{\sigma} \end{split}$$

LHC smoking gun?

Arbey, Cacciapaglia, Cai, Deandrea, le Corre, Sannino 1502.04718



BR $(\eta \rightarrow tt) \sim 100\%$

- Very challenging (maybe VBF tag?)
- Compatible with null results of Run I

Conclusions



Credit: darkroom.baltimoresun.com

We still do not know what is hiding behind the Higgs boson!

- I presented a very simple model of composite Higgs (pNGB)
- which is still viable experimentally
- and we have Lattice calculations of the spectrum.
- No light top partners are needed!
- The smoking guns are additional light scalars (pNGB) maybe one <u>DM candidate</u>

Back up

S and T

Loop of techni-quarks: spin1-loop contribution

$$\Delta S = \frac{1}{6\pi} \left[(1 - k_{h_1}^2) \ln \frac{\Lambda}{m_{h_1}} - k_{h_2}^2 \ln \frac{\Lambda}{m_{h_2}} + N_D \sin^2 \theta \right], \qquad (2.36)$$

$$\Delta T = -\frac{3}{8\pi \cos^2 \theta_W} \left[(1 - k_{h_1}^2) \ln \frac{\Lambda}{m_{h_1}} - k_{h_2}^2 \ln \frac{\Lambda}{m_{h_2}} \right] , \qquad (2.37)$$

where

$$k_{h_1} = \cos(\theta - \alpha) + (\tilde{\kappa}_G - 1)\sin\theta\sin\alpha, \quad k_{h_2} = \sin(\theta - \alpha) + (\tilde{\kappa}_G - 1)\sin\theta\cos\alpha, \quad (2.38)$$

EW fit: technicolor



EWP bounds

Couplings of the σ in SM units