



New physics with MADGRAPH5_AMC@NLO

Marco Zaro LPTHE - UPMC Paris VI

GDR Terascale, IPhT Saclay

April 1st, 2015





NLO computations and matching with parton showers

- Why NLO (+PS)?
 - Reliable predictions of rates and shapes
 - Reliable estimate of uncertainties (scale & PDF)
 - Better theoretical accuracy, less need of fine tuning
 - Realistic description of the final state
 - Better understanding of data
 - Steep increase in complexity (in particular for higher multiplicities)





NLO computations and matching with parton showers

- Why NLO (+PS)?
 - Reliable predictions of rates and shapes
 - Reliable estimate of uncertainties (scale & PDF)
 - Better theoretical accuracy, less need of fine tuning
 - Realistic description of the final state
 - Better understanding of data
 - Steep increase in complexity (in particular for higher multiplicities)

Ask a computer to do the hard job Automation!















NLO: how to?



- Warning! Real emission ME is divergent!
 - Divergences cancel with those from virtuals (in D=4-2eps)
 - Need to cancel them before numerical integration (in D=4)







- Add local counterterms in the singular regions and subtract its integrated finite part (poles will cancels against the virtuals)
- The *n* and *n*+1 body integral now are finite in 4 dimension
 - Can be integrated numerically







- Add local counterterms in the singular regions and subtract its integrated finite part (poles will cancels against the virtuals)
- The *n* and *n*+1 body integral now are finite in 4 dimension
 - Can be integrated numerically

How to do this in an efficient way?





The FKS subtraction

Frixione, Kunszt, Signer, arXiv:hep-ph/9512328

- Soft/collinear singularities arise in many PS regions
- Find parton pairs *i*, *j* that can give collinear singularities
- Split the phase space into regions with one collinear sing
 - Soft singularities are split into the collinear ones

$$|M|^{2} = \sum_{ij} S_{ij} |M|^{2} = \sum_{ij} |M|^{2}_{ij} \qquad \sum S_{ij} = 1$$
$$S_{ij} \to 1 \text{ if } k_{i} \cdot k_{j} \to 0 \qquad S_{ij} \to 0 \text{ if } k_{m\neq i} \cdot k_{n\neq j} \to 0$$

- Integrate them independently
 - Parallelize integration
 - Choose ad-hoc phase space parameterization
- Advantages:
 - # of contributions ~ n^2
 - Exploit symmetries: 3 contributions for X Y > ng





Loop ME evaluation: MadLoop

Hirschi et al. arXiv:1103.062

- Load the NLO UFO model
- Generate Feynman diagrams to evaluate the loop ME
- Add R_2/UV renormalisation counter terms
- Interface to CutTools or to TIR programs arXiv:hep-ph/0609007 & arXiv:0711.3596
- Improved with the OpenLoops method Cascioli, Maierhofer, Pozzorini arXiv:111.5206
- Check PS point stability (and switch to QP if needed)
- And much more (can be used as standalone or external OLP via the BLHA, handle loop-induced processes, ...)



Degrande arXiv:1406.3030

- Start with your favourite Lagrangian
- Export tree-level Feynman rules with FeynRules
- Identify loop diagrams giving rise to UV divergences/R₂ counterterms and compute them with FeynArts
- Extract UV/R₂ counterterms
- NLO UFO model!

Automated for renormalizable models!





BSM at NLO: recent physics results

• SUSY

Degrande, Fuks, Hirschi, Proudom, Shao, arXiv:1412.5589

- Charged Higgs production in the 2HDM
- Top FCNC

Degrande, Maltoni, Ubiali, Wiesemann, MZ, in prep.

Degrande, Maltoni, Wang, Zhang, arXiv:1412.5594

Buarque Franzosi, Zhang, arXiv:1503.08841

• Higgs Characterization in an EFT

• Top chromomagnetic dipole

Demartin, Maltoni, Mawatari, MZ, in prep Demartin, Maltoni, Mawatari, Page, MZ, arXiv: 1407.5089 Maltoni, Mawatari, MZ, arXiv: 1311.1829 Artoisenet, DeAquino, Demartin, Frederix, Frixione,Maltoni, Mandal,Mathews, Mawatari, Ravindran, Seth,Torrielli, MZ, arXiv:1306.6464





BSM at NLO: recent physics results

• SUSY

Degrande, Fuks, Hirschi, Proudom, Shao, arXiv:1412.5589

- Charged Higgs production in the 2HDM
- Top FCNC

Degrande, Maltoni, Ubiali, Wiesemann, MZ, in prep.

Degrande, Maltoni, Wang, Zhang, arXiv:1412.5594

- Top chromomagnetic dipole
- Higgs Characterization in an EFT

Buarque Franzosi, Zhang, arXiv:1503.08841

Demartin, Maltoni, Mawatari, MZ, in prep Demartin, Maltoni, Mawatari, Page, MZ, arXiv: 1407.5089 Maltoni, Mawatari, MZ, arXiv: 1311.1829 Artoisenet, DeAquino, Demartin, Frederix, Frixione,Maltoni, Mandal,Mathews, Mawatari, Ravindran, Seth, Torrielli, MZ, arXiv:1306.6464



SUSY:



automated NLO for colored scalar production

- Study stop and sgluon pair production at the LHC
- Use simplified models:

• Stop

$$\mathcal{L}_{3} = D_{\mu}\sigma_{3}^{\dagger}D^{\mu}\sigma_{3} - m_{3}^{2}\sigma_{3}^{\dagger}\sigma_{3} + \frac{i}{2}\bar{\chi}\partial\!\!\!/\chi - \frac{1}{2}m_{\chi}\bar{\chi}\chi + \left[\sigma_{3}\bar{t}(\tilde{g}_{L}P_{L} + \tilde{g}_{R}P_{R})\chi + \text{h.c.}\right] \text{ Majorana singlet}$$

Sgluon

$$\mathcal{L}_8 = \frac{1}{2} D_\mu \sigma_8 D^\mu \sigma_8 - \frac{1}{2} m_8^2 \sigma_8 \sigma_8 + \frac{\hat{g}_g}{\Lambda} \sigma_8 G_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu} + \sum_{q=u,d} \left[\sigma_8 \bar{q} \left(\hat{g}_q^L P_L + \hat{g}_q^R P_R \right) q + \text{h.c.} \right]$$



SUSY:



automated NLO for colored scalar production

- Results:
 - Total rates (validated against Prospino and MadGolem)

	$8 { m TeV}$		13 TeV	
$m_3 [\text{Gev}]$	$\sigma^{ m LO}~[m pb]$	$\sigma^{ m NLO}~[m pb]$	$\sigma^{\rm LO}$ [pb]	$\sigma^{ m NLO}~[m pb]$
100	$389.3^{+34.2\%}_{-23.9\%}$	$554.8^{+14.9\%}_{-13.5\%}{}^{+1.6\%}_{-1.6\%}$	$1066^{+29.1\%}_{-21.4\%}$	$1497^{+14.1\%}_{-12.1\%}{}^{+1.2\%}_{-1.2\%}$
250	$4.118^{+40.4\%}_{-27.2\%}$	$5.503^{+13.1\%}_{-13.7\%}{}^{+3.7\%}_{-3.7\%}$	$15.53^{+35.2\%}_{-24.8\%}$	$21.56^{+12.1\%}_{-12.3\%}{}^{+2.4\%}_{-2.4\%}$
500	$(6.594 \times 10^{-2})^{+45.5\%}_{-29.1\%}$	$(7.764 \times 10^{-2})^{+12.1\%}_{-14.1\%}{}^{+6.7\%}_{-6.7\%}$	$0.3890^{+39.6\%}_{-26.4\%}$	$0.5062^{+11.2\%}_{-12.8\%}{}^{+4.4\%}_{-4.4\%}$
750	$(3.504 \times 10^{-3})^{+48.8\%}_{-30.5\%}$	$(3.699 \times 10^{-3})^{+12.3\%}_{-14.6\%}{}^{+10.2\%}_{-10.2\%}$	$(3.306 \times 10^{-2})^{+41.8\%}_{-27.5\%}$	$(4.001 \times 10^{-2})^{+10.8\%}_{-12.9\%}{}^{+6.1\%}_{-6.1\%}$
1000	$(2.875 \times 10^{-4})^{+51.5\%}_{-31.5\%}$	$(2.775 \times 10^{-4})^{+13.1\%}_{-15.2\%}{}^{+13.1\%}_{-15.5\%}$	$(4.614 \times 10^{-3})^{+43.6\%}_{-28.3\%}$	$(5.219 \times 10^{-3})^{+10.9\%}_{-13.2\%} + 7.9\%$
$m \in [\mathbf{C} \circ \mathbf{V}]$		8 TeV	1	3 TeV
$m_8 \; [{ m GeV}]$	$\sigma^{ m LO}$ [pb]	8 TeV $\sigma^{\rm NLO} ~[{\rm pb}]$	$\begin{bmatrix} & 1 \\ \sigma^{\rm LO} \ [pb] \end{bmatrix}$	3 TeV $\sigma^{\text{NLO}} \text{ [pb]}$
$\frac{m_8 \; [\text{GeV}]}{100}$	$\sigma^{\rm LO} [\rm pb] \\ 3854^{+34.4\%}_{-24.1\%}$	8 TeV $\sigma^{\rm NLO} \text{ [pb]}$ $5573^{+14.9\%}_{-13.6\%}{}^{+1.6\%}_{-1.6\%}$	$\begin{array}{c c} & & 1 \\ & \sigma^{\rm LO} \ [\rm pb] \\ \\ & 10560^{+29.2\%}_{-21.5\%} \end{array}$	$\frac{3 \text{ TeV}}{\sigma^{\text{NLO}} \text{ [pb]}}$ $\frac{14700^{+13.6\% + 1.2\%}_{-11.9\% - 1.2\%}}{14700^{+13.6\% + 1.2\%}_{-1.2\%}}$
$\frac{m_8 [{\rm GeV}]}{100}$ $\frac{100}{250}$	$\sigma^{\rm LO} [\rm pb] \\ 3854^{+34.4\%}_{-24.1\%} \\ 38.89^{+41.3\%}_{-27.7\%} \\$	8 TeV $\sigma^{\rm NLO} \text{ [pb]}$ $5573^{+14.9\% + 1.6\%}_{-13.6\% - 1.6\%}$ $54.32^{+14.5\% + 3.9\%}_{-14.6\% - 3.9\%}$	$\begin{array}{c c} & & & 1 \\ & \sigma^{\rm LO} \ [\rm pb] \\ \\ 10560^{+29.2\%}_{-21.5\%} \\ 150.4^{+35.7\%}_{-25.1\%} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 3 \text{ TeV} \\ & \sigma^{\text{NLO}} \text{ [pb]} \\ \\ & 14700^{+13.6\% + 1.2\%}_{-11.9\% - 1.2\%} \\ & 214.5^{+12.9\% + 2.5\%}_{-12.9\% - 2.5\%} \end{array}$
$m_8 \; [GeV]$ 100 250 500	$\sigma^{\rm LO} [\rm pb]$ $3854^{+34.4\%}_{-24.1\%}$ $38.89^{+41.3\%}_{-27.7\%}$ $0.5878^{+47.6\%}_{-30.0\%}$	$\begin{array}{r} 8 \text{ TeV} \\ & \sigma^{\text{NLO}} \text{ [pb]} \\ \\ & 5573^{+14.9\% + 1.6\%}_{-13.6\% - 1.6\%} \\ & 54.32^{+14.5\% + 3.9\%}_{-14.6\% - 3.9\%} \\ & 0.7431^{+15.8\% + 7.6\%}_{-16.2\% - 7.6\%} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c c} & & & & 1 \\ & & \sigma^{\rm LO} \ [\rm pb] \\ & & 10560^{+29.2\%}_{-21.5\%} \\ & & 150.4^{+35.7\%}_{-25.1\%} \\ & & 3.619^{+40.8\%}_{-27.0\%} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 3 \text{ TeV} \\ & \sigma^{\text{NLO}} \text{ [pb]} \\ \\ & 14700^{+13.6\% + 1.2\%}_{-11.9\% - 1.2\%} \\ & 214.5^{+12.9\% + 2.5\%}_{-12.9\% - 2.5\%} \\ & 4.977^{+13.3\% + 4.7\%}_{-14.1\% - 4.7\%} \end{array}$
$m_8 [{ m GeV}]$ 100 250 500 750	$ \begin{array}{c} \sigma^{\rm LO} \ [\rm pb] \\ 3854^{+34.4\%}_{-24.1\%} \\ 38.89^{+41.3\%}_{-27.7\%} \\ 0.5878^{+47.6\%}_{-30.0\%} \\ (2.977 \times 10^{-2})^{+52.0\%}_{-31.9\%} \end{array} $	8 TeV $\sigma^{\text{NLO}} \text{ [pb]}$ 5573 ^{+14.9%+1.6%} 54.32 ^{+14.5%+3.9%} 54.32 ^{+14.5%+3.9%} 0.7431 ^{+15.8%+7.6%} (3.353 × 10 ⁻²) ^{+17.2%+12.1%} $-17.3\%^{-12.1\%}$	$\begin{array}{c c} & & & & & \\ & \sigma^{\rm LO} \; [\rm pb] \\ \\ & 10560^{+29.2\%}_{-21.5\%} \\ & 150.4^{+35.7\%}_{-25.1\%} \\ & 3.619^{+40.8\%}_{-27.0\%} \\ & 0.2951^{+43.6\%}_{-28.4\%} \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 3 \text{ TeV} \\ & \sigma^{\text{NLO}} \text{ [pb]} \\ \\ & 14700^{+13.6\% + 1.2\%}_{-11.9\% - 1.2\%} \\ & 214.5^{+12.9\% + 2.5\%}_{-12.9\% - 2.5\%} \\ & 4.977^{+13.3\% + 4.7\%}_{-14.1\% - 4.7\%} \\ & 0.3817^{+14.0\% + 6.9\%}_{-14.8\% - 6.9\%} \end{array}$







800

E_T (GeV)

automated NLO for colored scalar production

Sgluon pair

- Results:
 - Distribution at NLO (+Pythia8)

Stop pair

N_{events} (for 100 fb⁻¹) $(m_{,m_{}}) = (500, 50) \text{ GeV}, \text{LO}$ √s = 13 TeV $\sqrt{s} = 13 \text{ TeV}$ $m_8 = 500 \text{ GeV}, LO$ $(m_{,m_{}}) = (500, 200) \text{ GeV}, \text{LO}$ $m_8 = 1000 \text{ GeV}, LO$ $(m_{,m_{}}) = (1000, 50) \text{ GeV}, \text{LO}$ **10**⁴ $m_{g} = 500 \text{ GeV}, \text{NLO}$ $(m_{,m_{}}) = (500, 50) \text{ GeV}, \text{NLO}$ m₈ = 1000 GeV, NLO $(m_{1},m_{2}) = (500, 200) \text{ GeV}, \text{NLO}$ 10³ $(m_{1},m_{2}) = (1000, 50) \text{ GeV}, \text{NLO}$ **10² M**₁₄ 0.5 800 100 200 300 400 500 600 500 1000 1500 2000 2500 3000 3500 **₽**_T (GeV) H_T (GeV) Marco Zaro, 01-04-2015 11



SUSY:



automated NLO for colored scalar production

- As easy as:
 - ./bin/mg5_aMC
 - > import model stop_ttmet_ufo
 - > generate p p > t1 t1~ [QCD]
 - > output
 - > launch
- Models available on the FeynRules website
 - https://feynrules.irmp.ucl.ac.be/wiki/NLOModels





Higgs Characterisation

- Aim: establish a framework to determine Higgs quantum numbers and couplings
- Build an EFT up to dim=6, keep operators compatible with SM gauge symmetries
- Study various Higgs production channels at NLO+PS accuracy





Higgs Characterisation: the effective Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{0}^{V} = \left\{ c_{\alpha} \kappa_{\mathrm{SM}} \left[\frac{1}{2} g_{HZZ} Z_{\mu} Z^{\mu} + g_{HWW} W_{\mu}^{+} W^{-\mu} \right] \right\} \mathbf{M} \mathcal{L}_{0}^{t} = -\bar{\psi}_{t} \left\{ c_{\alpha} \kappa_{Htt} g_{Htt} + is_{\alpha} \kappa_{Att} g_{Att} \gamma_{5} \right\} \psi_{t} X_{0}$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} -\frac{1}{4} \left[c_{\alpha} \kappa_{H\gamma\gamma} g_{H\gamma\gamma} A_{\mu\nu} A^{\mu\nu} + s_{\alpha} \kappa_{A\gamma\gamma} g_{A\gamma\gamma} A_{\mu\nu} \widetilde{A}^{\mu\nu} \right] \\ -\frac{1}{2} \left[c_{\alpha} \kappa_{HZ\gamma} g_{HZ\gamma} Z_{\mu\nu} A^{\mu\nu} + s_{\alpha} \kappa_{AZ\gamma} g_{AZ\gamma} Z_{\mu\nu} \widetilde{A}^{\mu\nu} \right] \\ -\frac{1}{4} \left[c_{\alpha} \kappa_{Hgg} g_{Hgg} G_{\mu\nu}^{a} G^{a,\mu} + s_{\alpha} \kappa_{AZ\gamma} g_{Agg} G_{\mu\nu}^{a} \widetilde{G}^{a,\mu\nu} \right] \\ -\frac{1}{4} \left[c_{\alpha} \kappa_{HZZ} Z_{\mu\nu} Z^{\mu\nu} + s_{\alpha} \kappa_{AZZ} Z_{\mu\nu} \widetilde{Z}^{\mu\nu} \right] \\ -\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{4} \left[c_{\alpha} \kappa_{HWW} W_{\mu\nu}^{+} W^{-\mu\nu} + s_{\alpha} \kappa_{AZZ} Z_{\mu\nu} \widetilde{Z}^{\mu\nu} \right] \\ -\frac{1}{4} \left[c_{\alpha} \left[\kappa_{H0\gamma} A_{\nu} \partial_{\mu} A^{\mu\nu} + \kappa_{H0Z} Z_{\nu} \partial_{\mu} Z^{\mu\nu} \right] \\ + \left(\kappa_{H\partial W} W_{\nu}^{+} \partial_{\mu} W^{-\mu\nu} + h.c. \right) \right] \right\} X_{0}$$





Higgs Characterisation:



- SM case shows a softer behaviour (not for M_{jj})
- NLO and PS effects are important (in particular for jetrelated observables)





Higgs Characterisation:



- In SM case jets are more forward: HD scenarios feature a different signature
- Jet correlations ($\Delta \phi$) are sensitive to the HVV structure





Higgs Characterisation:



Higgs Characterisation: tH

- \bullet Sensitive to the sign of y_t
- To appear soon...

Conclusions

- The simulation of NLO processes within MADGRAPH5_AMC@NLO has become as easy as LO, both for SM and BSM
- "From-Lagrangian-to-events" chain automated in FeynRules for any renormalizable model
- Effective theories can be improved with NLO+PS effects
- FxFx/UNLOPS merging available also at NLO
- Lots of ongoing efforts for BSM pheno @NLO

Conclusions

- The simulation of NLO processes within MADGRAPH5_AMC@NLO has become as easy as LO, both for SM and BSM
- "From-Lagrangian-to-events" chain automated in FeynRules for any renormalizable model
- Effective theories can be improved with NLO+PS effects
- FxFx/UNLOPS merging available also at NLO
- Lots of ongoing efforts for BSM pheno @NLO

AAA discovery needed!

- Study the charged Higgs production in the 2HDM, for a heavy (m_H>200 GeV) Higgs boson pp→H⁻t + X
- Two possible schemes:
 - 5F (include b in proton, $m_b=0$): $gb \rightarrow H^- t$
 - Simpler process (lesser multiplicity)
 - No b mass effects
 - Worse description of b-related observables
 - Resum logs(m_b/Q)
 - 4F (keep mb $\neq 0$, no b in proton): $q\overline{q}/gg \rightarrow H^- t b$
 - b mass effects included in the matrix-element
 - Can be spoiled by large logs(mb/Q)
 - Better description of b-related observables

 Large discrepancies observed by ATLAS among the two schemes

 ^{0.3}
 ^{MC (HtbMGNL04FS400)}
 ^{MC (HtbMGNL04FS400)}

- Discrepancies reduced by
 - Using MSbar bottom Yukawa: resum logs(m_H/m_b)
 - $\mu_{F/R}$ choice: $H_T/6$ in 5F and $H_T/3$ in 4F
 - Choose a reduced shower scale (factor F in the plots)

Charged Higgs production **Charged Higgs** for the 2HDM (type II)

Marco Zaro, 01-04-2015

Charged Higgs production **Charged Higgs** production

Marco Zaro, 01-04-2015

Charged Higgs production **Construction** in the 2HDM (type II)

Marco Zaro, 01-04-2015