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## SuperKEKB

- Belle II @ SuperKEKB: e+e- collider ( $\mathrm{e}^{+}$@ 4 GeV (LER) \& e- @ 7 GeV (HER))
- High Luminosity ( $810^{35} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ )
$\rightarrow$ Nano-beam scheme, very small beam sizes ( 60 nm )
$\rightarrow$ High currents ( beams collide @ 0.25 GHz)



## Fast Luminosity Monitoring

- Fast luminosity monitoring is required in the presence of dynamical imperfections, for feedback and optimization.
- Precision $\delta L / \mathcal{L}=10^{-3}$ in 1 ms
- Lumi monitoring for each bunch crossing: 2500 bunches, collide each 4 ns
- Measurement: Radiative Bhabha process at zero photon scattering angle , Large cross-section $\sim 0.2$ barn
- Technologies: Sensors set immediately outside beam pipe

- $5 \times 5 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$ diamond sensors
(Radiation hardness, Fast charge collection )


LAL group
-Scintillator + Cherenkov detector


ZDLM group at KEK, S.Uehara San

## Sensor Location in LER

- Bhabha dynamics have been generated by GUINEA-PIG++


- Low energy $\mathrm{e}^{+}$+e- will be deflected mainly in bends downstream of the IP
- Exiting Bhabha rates are studied using SAD tracking code




## Sensor Location in LER

- To reach the aimed precisions, the following counting rates are required:

| Luminosity $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ | Aimed precision ( in 1 ms$)$ | Required fraction |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $10^{34}$ | $10^{-2}$ | $2.1 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| $810^{35}$ | $10^{-3}$ | $2.6 \times 10^{-3}$ |

- The best candidate position is chosen to be at 13.9 meters from the IP:
- 3 meters drift, adequate to place our sensors
- $4.7 \%$ of Bhabha positrons will exit the 6 mm thick copper beam-pipe




## Energy Deposition of e+/e- in a 100um Diamond

- Charged particles like $\mathrm{e}^{+}$and e - will deposit energy in the diamond sensor according to a "Landau" distribution


The mean energy losses of an electron in diamond (red curves ) and silicon (blue curves )


## Geometry of Vacuum Chamber

- Bhabha positrons escape the beam pipe at an average angle of 5

- The particle will cross 1.2 meters in the copper $\sim 80$ radiation lengths

Signal just from the lateral extent of the shower ( $\left.R_{M}=1.568 \mathrm{~cm}\right)$

- Modification of the beam pipe is suggested to increase the probability of having exiting showers $\rightarrow$ A window at 45 degrees is suggested



## A Summary Table of collected secondaries

|  | Luminosity $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1} \mathrm{~S}^{-1}\right)$ | Required Precision <br> in $1 \mathrm{~ms}(\mathrm{Nb}$ of <br> particles $)$ | Number of <br> particles <br> collected in 1 <br> ms |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No window | $10^{34}$ | $10^{-2}\left(>10^{4}\right.$ part) | $1.410^{2}$ |
| No window | $810^{35}$ | $10^{-3}\left(>10^{6}\right.$ part) | $1.310^{4}$ |
| Window | $10^{34}$ | $10^{-2}\left(>10^{4}\right.$ part) | $4.410^{3}$ |
| Window | $810^{35}$ | $10^{-3}\left(>10^{6}\right.$ part) | $3.510^{5}$ |
| Window+Radiator | $10^{34}$ | $10^{-2}\left(>10^{4}\right.$ part) | $1.510^{4}$ |
| Window+Radiator | $810^{35}$ | $10^{-3}\left(>10^{6}\right.$ part) | $1.210^{6}$ |

## GEANT4 Simulation Results

- Geant4 simulations were performed, considering the material and the beam pipe geometry, to estimate the actual signals in the sensors
- Precision= $1 / \operatorname{sqrt}(\mathrm{N})$, where N is the number of incident particles in a given interval of time with signals in the diamond
- $N=4.7 \% \times \mathcal{L} \times \sigma \times\left(\mathrm{N}_{\text {diamond }} / \mathrm{N}_{\text {exiting }}\right) ; \mathcal{L}=$ Luminosity,$\sigma=$ cross-section, $\mathrm{N}_{\text {diamond }}=$ number of incident particles in the diamond per b.c , $\mathrm{N}_{\text {exiting }}=$ total number of exiting particles over 3 m
- Threshold represents the energy deposited in the diamond per incident particle, 0.5 MIP= 26 KeV
- For $L=10^{34} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, the window improves the precision by a factor of 10 ( $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ diamond )




## At Nominal Luminosity L= $810^{35} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2}$



- Presence of a window at 45 degrees improves the precision by a factor of 7 , thus a window is necessary to attain the specified precision
- Such a window may cause the impedance of the beam pipe thus introducing wakefields and thus beam instabilities ..


## A Thinner Beam Pipe??

- Simulations of a thinner copper beam pipe was done by Geant4 (500 $\mu \mathrm{m}$ diamond )


- A thinner beam pipe improves the precision by a factor of 2 BUT the aimed precision not reached yet
- Other materials (Be, Ti, AI ) could give better precisions ????!!! ==> To be simulated and defined


## Preliminary Results on Cherenkov And Scintillator Detectors

- $15 \mathrm{~mm} \times 15 \mathrm{~mm} \times 50 \mathrm{~mm}$
- Charged particles of path length $\lambda>15 \mathrm{~mm}$ are considered
- Cherenkov: Pure Quartz $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}$, density= $2.7 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$
- Scintillator: LGSO : $\mathrm{Lu}_{1.8} \mathrm{Gd}_{0.2} \mathrm{SiO}_{5}$, density= $7.3 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$

- Detectors are simulated in two manners

1) Independently ( events in each detector separately)
2) In coincidence ( Poisson distribution)

## Preliminary Results

Independently

| Luminosity $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ | Precision in 1 ms | Precision in 10 ms |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $10^{34}$ | $2 \times 10^{-2}$ | $6.5 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| $10^{35}$ | $6.5 \times 10^{-3}$ | $2.04 \times 10^{-3}$ |

In coincidence

| Luminosity $\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ | Precision in 1 ms | Precision in 10 ms |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $10^{34}$ | 0.2 | $6.3 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| $10^{35}$ | $2.2 \times 10^{-2}$ | $6.9 \times 10^{-3}$ |

$\rightarrow$ Precisions are 4 times better than the case of $5 \times 5 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$ diamond for independent detectors

- Precisions are 2.5 times worse than the case of $5 \times 5 \mathrm{~mm}^{2}$ diamond for coincidence case


## Study Of HER

- Unlike LER, the HER showed non-linear distributions in the x-E plane, mainly due to chromaticity corrections, in addition to very low Bhabha rates
- No candidate place for our sensor is yet considered
- Search for a candidate places was started again with an internship student ... but results are yet preliminary and no good candidates for the moment ....



## Preliminary Analysis of Backgrounds From Single Beam Losses

- How much is the drift at 13.9 m contaminated by single beam losses??
- Backgrounds considered are :

1) Nuclear Bremsstrahlung: Deceleration of a charged particle in the field of an atomic nucleus
2) Touschek effect: Coulomb scattering between particles in the same bunch, resulting in energy transfer from the transverse plane to the longitudinal plane due to relativistic effects, and thus the loss of the particles ..
3) Coulomb scattering: scattering of the bunch particles on the atomic electrons . ( Similar as Touschek)

## Table of comparison

- Developing collaboration with Ohnishi san on background simulation and analysis
- Preliminary analysis of the files was done in the 3 meters drift, at the diamond position

| Process | Bremsstrahlung | Touschek | Coulomb | Bhabha@phase2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| N (PPS) | $1.815 \times 10^{5}$ | $1.44 \times 10^{5}$ | $4.6 \times 10^{5}$ | $14.1 \times 10^{6}$ |

- $\mathrm{N}_{\text {total backgrounds }}=7.855 \times 10^{5}(\mathrm{PPS})<$ losses from Bhabha
- For $\mathrm{L}=10^{34} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1} @$ phase2, vacuum is much better and thus backgrounds from Bremsstrahlung and Coulomb are less ..
- Geant4 simulations should be considered as well to study the signal of the backgrounds in the sensors ..


## Diamond Sensors

- Diamond sensor technology already exists at LAL since 2012 for Beam-halo study at ATF2 ( prototype of ILC final focus)
- For SuperKEKB @ L= $8 \times 10^{35} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ : signal width $<1-2 \mathrm{~ns}$, since bunch spacing is 4 ns
- Charge amplifier : $\sigma=10 \mathrm{~ns}$ ( shaping time ) $\longrightarrow$ enough for phase $2\left(\mathrm{~L}=10^{34} \mathrm{~cm}^{-2} \mathrm{~S}^{-1}\right)$ ( average signal rate $<1$ Bhabha per b.c)


| Geometry | No |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| window |  |$\quad$ Window | Window + |
| :--- |
| Radiator | | No |
| :--- |
| window |$\quad$ Window | Window + |
| :--- |
| Radiator |

## Diamond sensor tests in the clean room at LAL

Landau reconstruction of the MIP(s) of the new $140 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ diamond (cividec):
> Beta source 90 Sr
, Charge amplifier ( signal width ~ 10 ns )
, Scintillator (Trigger)
, High voltage
, Oscilloscope


Experimental setup @ clean room @ LAL


Charge amplifier

- High voltage applied : V = -100 Volts
- In a diamond, 1 MIP creates $36 \mathrm{e}-/ \mathrm{h}$ pairs per $\mu \mathrm{m}$
- For $140 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ diamond, the charge produced per 1 MIP is :

Q~0.8 fC

- Gain of charqe amplifier is $\mathrm{G}=4 \mathrm{mV} / \mathrm{fC}==>1 \mathrm{MIP}=3.2 \mathrm{mV}$


Blue signal ( diamond ), Red signal (scintillator)


Screen shot from the oscilloscope: signal from scintillator, signal from diamond

## Preliminary Data Analysis



Histogram of maximum of signals in the diamond


Gaussian fit of the noise from the amplifier
$\rightarrow 1$ MIP creates a 5040 e-/h pairs $\sim 3.2 \mathrm{mV}$
$\rightarrow$ Noise from the amplifier is 1000 electrons $=0.16 \mathrm{fC}=0.64 \mathrm{mV}$
$\rightarrow$ For a $140 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ diamond, noise is not well separated from the signal ..
$\rightarrow$ For a $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ diamond, 1 MIP creates an $18000 \mathrm{e} / \mathrm{h}$ pairs $\sim 11.5 \mathrm{mV}$, thus signal and noise are well separated ...

## Readout ( Didier's Presentation)

Sampling @1017MSPS


## FPGA-based digital acquisition

- Synchronized to acc. RF Clock @ 10MHz
- Sampling every 1 ns
- Phase adjustment by the ADC board
- Peak value acquisition : determines Bhabha events nb
- 2015 : signal FWHM 10ns ( $140 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ diamond thickness)
- 2016 : signal FWHM ~2ns ( $100 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ diamond thickness)


## Outputs

- Train Integrated Luminosity over 1 ms
- Bunch Integrated Lumi over 1ms : 2500 values @ 254 MHz

Slow Control / Interface

- Sampling controlled by local Linux machine (LM) connected to FPGA board
- TIL and BIL directly computed by FPGA and read by LM
- EPICS protocol installed on LM and provides TIL + BIL to EPICS users in real time (1ms)
- DAQ also comes with 4 Analog outputs

Controlled by EPICS users
Used for tests, debug and orbit feedback

## Conclusions \& Next Plans

- Fast Luminosity monitoring is very important for a feedback system and for optimization
- Optimal position of the sensor is to be at 13.9 meters from the IP in the LER .... For the HER, candidate places are not yet found ...
- Simulations in Geant4 considering different geometries ( normal beam pipe, window (radiator), thin beam pipe) were established ... Further simulations to be done to define the best material of the beam pipe ( by April 2015)
- Fast readout and electronics are always under development to be able to monitor bunch by bunch luminosity
- Primary tests and data analysis on the $140 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ diamond sensor were performed using a $\beta$ source in the clean room @LAL .... Further characterization tests will take place in the coming weeks
- Preliminary simulation analysis of backgrounds from single beam losses is done ... Further analysis will be considered and new simulation of the backgrounds will take place using SAD by the end of the coming month
- Installation of the whole set-up will take place at KEK with the start of single beam commissioning at the end of 2015


## THANK YOU !!!

## Backgrounds vs Bhabha

- Simulations of backgrounds ( Bremsstrahlung, Touschek and Coulomb ) were performed by Ohnishi san using SAD for phase 1 ( one beam run commissioning)
- Data files are as follows :
$\mathrm{S}_{\text {scatter }} \quad \mathrm{S}_{\text {loss }} \quad \mathrm{X}_{\text {pos }} \quad \mathrm{X}_{\text {ang }} \quad \mathrm{y}_{\text {pos }} \mathrm{Y}_{\text {ang }} \quad \mathrm{dp} / \mathrm{p}_{0}$ rate turn $\mathrm{L}_{\text {obs }}$ $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{c}}=\mathrm{L}_{\text {scatt }} / \mathrm{L}_{\text {circ }} \quad \mathrm{p}_{1} \quad \mathrm{p}_{2}$
- $I=1 A\left(n_{b}=1000\right)$
- In the given data files, we have:
, $N_{B}=14107$ macro p. , $N_{T}=20274$ macro p. , $N_{C}=14846$ macro p.


## Table of comparison

| Process | Bremsstrahlung | Touschek | Coulomb | Bhabha |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| N (PPS) | $1.815 \times 10^{5}$ | $1.44 \times 10^{5}$ | $4.6 \times 10^{5}$ | $14.1 \times 10^{6}$ |

- $\mathrm{N}_{\text {total backgrounds }}=7.855 \times 10^{5}$ (PPS)
- Rates are added in the drift ( between 10.7 m and 14 m )

Sum= $\left(\right.$ rate $\left.\times I_{c}\right) / L_{\text {obs }}$
$N($ PPS $)=$ Sum $\times 1000 \times\left(N_{m} / N_{T}\right), N_{m}=$ number of macro particles lost in the drift , $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{T}}=$ total number of simulated macro particles

- For Bhabhas, we have 4.7 \% lost in the 3 meters drift , for $\mathrm{L}=10^{34}$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-2} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$, we have 3 Bhabhas produced per bunch crossing ( 4 ns , 2500 bunches)


## Explanations \& precisions

- Total number of positrons that exit the beam-pipe over 3 m is $971 \longrightarrow$
$4.7 \%$ of the total cross-section
- Average number of positrons is $75.4 \xrightarrow{\text { Averaging }} 75.4 / 971=0.078$
- Number of signals per b.c is $v=3 \times 0.078 \times 4.7 \%=0.01$ ( each 4 ns )
- Number of signals in the sensor in 1 ms is $\mathrm{N}=2500$
- Precision is $1 /$ sqrt( N )

2 Detectors in coincidence implies to have at least one signal in both at the same time from the same bunch crossing

- Poisson distribution is given as $P(n, v)=\frac{v^{n} e^{-v}}{n!}$, probability to get $n$ signals in the sensors for the case of an average of $v$ signals
- $\mathrm{P}_{1}=\mathrm{P}_{2}=\mathrm{P}(0,0.01)=0.99 ; \mathrm{P}_{1}^{\prime}=\mathrm{P}_{2}^{\prime}=1-\mathrm{P}_{1}=0.01$ (is the probability to have at least one signal in one of the two counters)
> $P_{1}^{\prime} \times P_{2}^{\prime}=1-P(0, v), v=? ? \rightarrow$ Obtain precision from by calculating an effective number of signals corresponding to the coincidence


## Tests and Analysis on the diamond



Trigger on all the signals on the scintillator ( max of signal in the diamond)

