## A novel technique for the measurement of the electron neutrino cross section

A. Longhin<sup>a</sup>, L. Ludovici<sup>b</sup>, F. Terranova<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> I.N.F.N., Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, Frascati (Rome), Italy
<sup>b</sup> I.N.F.N., Sezione di Roma, Rome, Italy
<sup>c</sup> Dep. of Physics, Univ. of Milano-Bicocca and INFN, Sezione di Milano-Bicocca, Milano, Italy

## Abstract

Absolute neutrino cross section measurements are presently limited by uncertainties on  $\nu$  fluxes. In this paper, we propose a technique that is based on the reconstruction of large angle positrons in the decay tunnel to identify three-body semileptonic  $K^+$  decays. This tagging facility operated in positron counting mode ("single tag mode") can be employed to determine the absolute  $\nu_e$  flux at the neutrino detector with  $\mathcal{O}(1\%)$  precision. Facilities operated in "event by event tag mode" i.e. tagged neutrino beams that exploit the time coincidence of the positron at source and the  $\nu_e$  interaction at the detector, are also discussed.

## 1 Introduction

A detailed knowledge of neutrino interaction cross sections plays a crucial role in the precision era of oscillation physics [1, 2]. In the last decade, a vigorous experimental programme has been pursued, employing both the near detectors of running longbaseline experiments [3, 4, 5] and dedicated experiments [6, 7, 8] with special targets and PID capabilities. The large statistics accumulated so far and the careful strategy implemented for systematic mitigation have improved our knowledge of total and differential cross sections for  $\nu_{\mu}$  and  $\bar{\nu}_{\mu}$  in the range of interest (0.3-5 GeV) for future long-baseline and sterile neutrino experiments [9]. All these experiments are, however, designed to work in  $\nu_e$  appearance mode and the direct measurement of  $\nu_e$ interactions still relies on scarce data [10, 11]. Calculations [12] are thus based on extrapolation from  $\nu_{\mu}$  results. Despite lepton universality of weak interactions, the ratio between  $\nu_{\mu}$  and  $\nu_{e}$  suffers from uncertainties due to nuclear effects that have to be constrained with data to reduce systematic errors in future long baseline  $\nu_e$  appearance experiments. To cope with this challenge, novel experimental approaches have been proposed with the aim of producing pure, intense and well controlled sources of electron neutrinos [13, 14, 15, 16, 17]. The technique proposed in the following has a similar aim: electron neutrinos are produced by the three body decay of  $K^+$  ( $K_{e3}$ , i.e.  $K^+ \to e^+ \nu_e \pi^0$ ) in standard neutrino beams. The positrons are identified in the decay tunnel by purely calorimetric techniques and the beam-line is optimized to enhance the  $\nu_e$  components from  $K_{e3}$  and suppress to a negligible level the  $\nu_e$  contamination from muon decays. This approach has several advantages. It provides a source of electron neutrinos that can be used to study  $\nu_e$  interactions in a direct manner, i.e. without relying on extrapolations from  $\nu_{\mu}$ . In addition, it delivers an observable (the positron rate) that can be directly linked to the rate of  $\nu_e$  at the far detector through the three body kinematics of  $K_{e3}$ . The positron rate in the decay tunnel thus determines the flux with a precision significantly better than what is currently achieved with conventional untagged  $\nu_{\mu}$  beams (~ 10%). Finally, this facility paves the way for the realization of tagged neutrino beams [18, 19, 20, 21, 22] in the configuration proposed in Ref. [23], where the positron is associated to the corresponding  $\nu_e$  interaction at the far detector on an event by event basis. In this mode, full kinematic reconstruction of the  $K_{e3}$  can be achieved measuring the photon pair from  $\pi^0$  decay, thus retrieving information on the energy of  $\nu_e$  for each tagged event.

The tagging concept and the rationale for the choice of the beam-line parameters, the tagging detector and the neutrino detector are introduced in Sec. ??. The beam-line up to the decay tunnel is detailed in Sec. ?? together with the expected secondary flux  $(\pi \text{ and } K)$  at CERN, Fermilab, JPARC and Protvino. The decay tunnel instrumented with positron taggers and the corresponding positron identification performance are summarized in Sec. ??. This section also summarizes the rates and integrated doses expected at the tagger units. Background, systematics and rates at the far detector are presented in Sec. ?? and Sec. ??. Finally, perspectives for the event by event tag mode upgrade are described in Sec. ??.

## References

- [1] J. A. Formaggio and G. P. Zeller, Rev. Mod. Phys. 84 (2012) 1307
- [2] L. Alvarez-Ruso, Y. Hayato and J. Nieves, New J. Phys. 16 (2014) 075015
- [3] R. Gran *et al.* [K2K Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D **74** (2006) 052002
- [4] P. Adamson et al. [MINOS Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D 81 (2010) 072002
- [5] J. Dobson [T2K Collaboration], Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. 237-238 (2013) 199.
- [6] Y. Nakajima et al. [SciBooNE Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D 83 (2011) 012005
- [7] B. G. Tice *et al.* [MINERvA Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **112** (2014) 231801
- [8] R. Acciarri et al. [ArgoNeuT Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D 89 (2014) 112003
- [9] For a review of latest results see F. Sanchez, Talk at XXVI International Conference on Neutrino Physics and Astrophysics, June 2-7, 2014, Boston, MA, US.
- [10] J. Blietschau *et al.* [Gargamelle Collaboration], Nucl. Phys. B **133** (1978) 205.
- [11] K. Abe *et al.* [T2K Collaboration], arXiv:1407.7389 [hep-ex].
- [12] M. Day and K. S. McFarland, Phys. Rev. D 86 (2012) 053003
- [13] C. Volpe, J. Phys. G **30** (2004) L1
- [14] G. C. McLaughlin, Phys. Rev. C 70 (2004) 045804
- [15] R. G. C. Oldeman, M. Meloni and B. Saitta, Eur. Phys. J. C 65 (2010) 81
- [16] P. Kyberd *et al.* [nuSTORM Collaboration], arXiv:1206.0294 [hep-ex]; D. Adey *et al.* [nuSTORM Collaboration], arXiv:1308.6822 [physics.acc-ph].
- [17] J. Spitz, Phys. Rev. D 89 (2014) 073007
- [18] L. N. Hand, "A study of 40-90 GeV neutrino interactions using a tagged neutrino beam," Proceedings of Second NAL Summer Study, Aspen, Colorado, 9 Jun - 3 Aug 1969, p.37.
- [19] B. Pontecorvo, Lett. Nuovo Cim. **25** (1979) 257.
- [20] P. Denisov et al., preprint IHEP 81-98, Serpukhov, 1981.

- [21] R.H. Bernstein et al., FERMILAB-Proposal-0788, 1989.
- [22] L. Ludovici and P. Zucchelli, [hep-ex/9701007].
- $\left[23\right]$  L. Ludovici and F. Terranova, Eur. Phys. J. C  $\mathbf{69}$  (2010) 331