# Collecting and analysing data at high pile-up with ATLAS and CMS.

## Detector designs, reconstruction performance, and analysis strategies



N. Styles, for the ATLAS and CMS Collaborations, Rencontres De Moriond, 18/03/15





#### Introduction

- High-Luminosity LHC (HL-LHC), planned to begin operation in 2025
  - Comprehensive program of accelerator upgrades
  - "Phase 2" LHC Upgrade
  - Peak instantaneous luminosity 5 7 x10<sup>34</sup> cm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup>
  - Integrated luminosity 250-300 fb<sup>-1</sup> 14 TeV pp collisions per year, aiming at total dataset of 3 ab<sup>-1</sup>
  - Implies events with pile-up 140-200
- > Will provide extremely rich physics potential
  - To make best use of this, experiments will need to find ways to cope with challenging environment
  - Upgrades to detectors, new reconstruction techniques, revised analysis strategies

See talk from Mike Lamont



#### **ATLAS Detector Phase 1 upgrades**

ATLAS will already undergo a series of ' Phase 1' upgrades prior to HL-LHC operation – to be completed by 2020 – which will remain in place for Phase 2



### ATLAS Phase 2 Inner Tracker Upgrade - ITK



- For Phase 2 upgrade, ATLAS plans full replacement of Inner Tracker
  - All silicon tracker (pixels and microstrips)
  - Significantly increase granularity
  - Minimise material budget within tracking acceptance
  - Sufficient hits on track to maintain high efficiency and combat combinatorics at high pile-up
- ITK "Letter of Intent" layout has been developed
  - Used as baseline for majority of performance studies



#### ATLAS – Upgrade to other systems

#### > New Trigger Architecture

- 2-Level Hardware trigger design
- Level 0: 1 MHz, 6µs latency, uses Calo + Muons
- Level 1: 300-400 kHz, 24µs latency
- L1Track: Use tracking information earlier in trigger processing – move part of HLT track reconstruction to L1
- Region-of-Interest (Rol) based approach

#### > Calorimeters

- Tile and Liquid Argon calorimeters require full electronics replacements
- Needed to cope with increased radiation levels and trigger rates
- Forward calorimeter may be fully replaced if significant degredation of current system, or higher granularity mandated by physics requirements







## ATLAS– Layout Concepts with high-η extensions

- Potential for extending tracking coverage to |η|<4 under serious consideration
  - Tracking performance under investigation – limitations from field strength in forward region
  - Extension of pixel system proposed with "rings" in place of traditional endcap disks – offers more flexibility for placement of modules and services





Could be combined with modifications to other systems to maximise impact

z (m)

- Additional muon chambers
- Increase granularity in forward calorimeter

#### See also talk from Alex Tuna



#### **CMS Detector Phase 1 Upgrades**

- > CMS also plans Phase 1 Upgrades that will remain in place for Phase 2
  - Pixel system will be replaced for Phase 1, but not remain in place





N. Styles | Moriond EW | 18/03/2015 | Slide 7

#### **CMS Phase 2 Inner Tracker Upgrade**



CMS baseline design for full tracker replacement in Phase 2

- As ATLAS, emphasis on minimising material and increasing granularity, with ample hit coverage over tracking acceptance
- CMS baseline includes tracking coverage up to |η|<4</p>
- CMS Tracker replacement designed to allow self-seeded L1 Track Trigger different approach to allowing tracking information at earlier stage of trigger



### **CMS Self-Seeded Track Trigger**







L1 Tracking performance using stub input

- Use lever-arm between sensor sides to trigger on high-p<sub>1</sub> tracks
  - Different granularities used in different regions as necessary
- > 2 Hardware implementations under consideration
  - Associative memory & commerical FPGAs
  - L1 tracking performance under study
  - Requires ~10 µs latency

~ 44 cm<sup>2</sup> active area For r > 20 cm



### **CMS Upgrades to other systems**

- Forward calorimetry will need replacement due to radiation-induced signal loss – 2 concepts under consideration
  - Compact Pb/LYSO Shashlik Forward EM Calorimeter with Scintillator-based HCAL
  - Silicon/lead/copper EM and silicon/brass HCAL, with scintillator/brass backing calorimeter



Improvements to Muon system



- Electronics upgrades to comply with Trigger upgrade
- IRPCs and GEMs in forward (1.6<|η|<2.4) region enhanced redundancy and cope with higher rates
- Very-Forward extension to higher η with GEMs baseline 2.0<|η|<3.0 (dependent on calorimetry)</li>



### **Performance of Upgraded Detectors - Vertexing**

- CMS studied vertexing performance of new detector together with algorithmic improvements
  - Shows improvements with respect to 'aged' Phase I detector
  - Improvement of vertex finding efficiency from 80 % (aged detector, old algorithm) → 96 % (new detector, new algorithm)
- > ATLAS studied effect of different beam profiles
  - σ =5cm gaussian, and 'long, flat' beamspot from -15cm to +15cm in z
  - Currently using "nonoptimised" vertexing



'Long, flat' beamspot requires crab cavities



#### **Performance of Upgraded Detectors - B-tagging**

- > Upgraded detectors allow b-tagging performance at phase 2 very similar to that at phase 1 despite significantly increased pile-up
  - Performance helped further if correct primary vertex identification can be improved
  - If correct primary vertex identified, performance independent of beam profile

10

10<sup>3</sup>

10<sup>2</sup>

10

0.5

0.55

Light-jet rejection



14 TeV. PU = 50/140

tt, jet p\_ > 30 GeV, |n| < 1.4

**CMS Simulation Preliminary** 

### **Pile-up Mitigation Techniques**

- Mitigation of pile-up effects and rejection of pile-up objects will be crucial to achieving optimum physics reach
  - Timing information has proved promising as a way to mitigate pile-up effects in reconstruction – dedicated timing layer could provide both charged particle and photon timing
  - Applying cuts on variables related to charged fraction helps reduce number of pile-up jets, as does requiring track-jet matching criteria



### **Higgs Measurement Potential at HL-LHC**

#### > HL-LHC will function as a "Higgs Factory"

 Will greatly increase precision of coupling and signal strength measurements – particularly if theory uncertainties also improve significantly



## **Higgs Pair Production**

Measurement of Higgs Pair Production necessary for determining Higgs Self-Coupling

- Very small cross section means HL-LHC is great opportunity for this
- Destructive interference between diagrams with/without self-coupling contribution
- $HH \rightarrow bb\gamma\gamma$  one of the most promising channels
- Eventual measurement will utilise combination of results across channels and experiments – CMS and ATLAS discussing analyses to understand differences and explore potential improvements



#### **HL-LHC BSM Potential**



#### Summary

- High Luminosity upgrade of the LHC offers huge potential to further explore the High Energy Physics landscape
  - 3 ab<sup>-1</sup> dataset at 14 TeV allows large gains in precision, discovery potential, and makes a number of important, low cross-section measurements possible
  - CMS Upgrade Studies: https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/CMSPublic/PhysicsResultsFP
  - ATLAS Upgrade Studies: https://twiki.cern.ch/twiki/bin/view/AtlasPublic/UpgradePhysicsStudies

> Challenges presented by high pile-up will necessitate extensive detector upgrades

- Highly promising ATLAS & CMS baselines being further developed and improved
- Techniques under development for reconstruction and analysis of data from new detectors under new conditions
  - Performance & physics reach projections may improve further with future developments and optimisations



## **Back-up Slides**



#### **Beamspot Profiles**





## **Higgs Sytematics**

Scenario	Status 2014	Deduced size of uncertainty to increase total uncertainty by $\leq 10\%$ for 300 fb <sup>-1</sup>    by $\leq 10\%$ for 3000 fb <sup>-1</sup>							
Theory uncertainty (%)	[10-12]	κ <sub>gZ</sub>	$\lambda_{gZ}$	$\lambda_{\gamma Z}$	κ <sub>gZ</sub>	$\lambda_{\gamma Z}$	$\lambda_{gZ}$	$\lambda_{\tau Z}$	$\lambda_{tg}$
$gg \rightarrow H$									
PDF	8	2	1 C		1.3	1 <b>-</b> 2	( <del></del> .		-
incl. QCD scale (MHOU)	7	2	-		1.1	-	1.75	-	-
$p_T$ shape and $0j \rightarrow 1j$ mig.	10-20	-	3.5-7	-	-	1.5–3	-	-	-
$1j \rightarrow 2j$ mig.	13-28	1.2	- 20	6.5-14	1.2	3.3–7	120	823	2
$1j \rightarrow VBF 2j mig.$	18-58	-	-	-	-	-	6-19	-	-
VBF 2j $\rightarrow$ VBF 3j mig.	12-38	-		-	-		-	6–19	-
VBF									
PDF	3.3	-	-	<b>7</b>	-		2.8	-	-
tīH									
PDF	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3
incl. QCD scale (MHOU)	8	-		_	-	-	-	-	2

#### ATL-PHYS-PUB-2014-016

