SbQ SH-QCJ

Annual Meeting of the GDR PH-QCD (2014)



"Reloj blando en el momento de su primera explosión" (1954) Salvador Dalí

# SOFT PHYSICS AT THE LHC



Boris HIPPOLYTE (IPHC, Université de Strasbourg)



GDR PH-QCD Annual Meeting 2014 | École Polytechnique, Palaiseau | Monday December the 15th

### OUTLINE

5PG SH-OCD

- Evolution of the system in heavy-ion collisions
  - paradigm of binary scaling in pp vs. collective effects in AA
- Soft physics (global) observables:
  - size of the system(s)
  - flavour content and hadro-chemistry
  - → intermediate  $p_T$  and "in-medium" hadronisation
  - radial flow
  - hadronic phase
- Summary

#### **EVOLUTION OF THE SYSTEM FOR HEAVY-ION COLLISIONS**

Cd۲

3

PH-QC

• Initial pre-equilibrium state



.

#### **EVOLUTION OF THE SYSTEM FOR HEAVY-ION COLLISIONS**



GdZ

3

PH-QC

Rescattering then kinetic freeze-out.

# EVOLUTION OF THE SYSTEM FOR HEAVY-ION COLLISIONS

GdZ

3



#### FINAL STATE AND GLOBAL PROPERTIES OF THE MEDIUM

• the A-A system at the LHC is denser, larger and longer lived than at RHIC



GDR PH-QCD Annual Meeting 2014 | École Polytechnique, Palaiseau | Monday December the 15<sup>th</sup> | B. Hippolyte

۵d۲

PH-QC

#### FINAL STATE AND GLOBAL PROPERTIES OF THE MEDIUM

• comparison of pp and p-A collisions with multiplicity similar to peripheral A-A

"Freeze-out radii extracted from three-pion cumulants in pp, p–Pb and Pb–Pb collisions at the LHC" ALICE Collaboration, Phys. Lett. B739 (2014) 139 Multiplicity intervals:  $\langle N_{pions} \rangle$  and  $\langle N_{ch} \rangle$ 

|                                   | Pb–Pb data |                                 |                              | p–Pb data |                                 |                              | pp data            |                                 |                              |      |
|-----------------------------------|------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|------|
| N <sub>pions</sub> <sup>rec</sup> | (Cent)     | $\langle N_{\rm pions} \rangle$ | $\langle N_{\rm ch} \rangle$ | Fraction  | $\langle N_{\rm pions} \rangle$ | $\langle N_{\rm ch} \rangle$ | Fraction           | $\langle N_{\rm pions} \rangle$ | $\langle N_{\rm ch} \rangle$ |      |
| [3,5)                             | -          | -                               | -                            | 0.10      | -                               | -                            | 0.23               | 4.0                             | 4.6                          |      |
| [5,10)                            | -          | -                               | -                            | 0.20      | 8.5                             | 9.8                          | 0.31               | 7.7                             | 8.6                          |      |
| [10,15)                           | -          | -                               | -                            | 0.18      | 15                              | 17                           | 0.12               | 13                              | 15                           |      |
| [15,20)                           | -          | -                               | -                            | 0.14      | 20                              | 23                           | 0.05               | 18                              | 20                           |      |
| [20,30)                           | 17%        | 26                              | 36                           | 0.17      | 29                              | 33                           | 0.03               | 24                              | 27                           |      |
| [30,40)                           | 73%        | 37                              | 50                           | 0.07      | 40                              | 45                           | 0.003              | 34                              | 37                           | 3 sy |
| [40,50)                           | 70%        | 49                              | 64                           | 0.03      | 51                              | 57                           | $1 \times 10^{-4}$ | 44                              | 47                           |      |
| [50,70)                           | 66%        | 66                              | 84                           | 0.01      | 63                              | 71                           | -                  | -                               | -                            |      |
| [70, 100)                         | 60%        | 95                              | 118                          | -         | -                               | -                            | -                  | -                               | -                            |      |
| [100, 150)                        | 53%        | 142                             | 172                          | -         |                                 |                              |                    | _                               | -                            |      |
| [150, 200)                        | 48%        | 213                             | 253                          | -         | n                               | 1 -                          | 1.0  GeV           |                                 | -                            |      |
| [200, 260)                        | 43%        | 276                             | 326                          | -         | P                               |                              |                    |                                 | -                            |      |
| [260, 320)                        | 38%        | 343                             | 403                          | -         | $p_{\mathrm{T}}$                | > (                          | ).16 GeV           | I/c                             | -                            |      |
| [320,400)                         | 33%        | 426                             | 498                          | -         |                                 |                              |                    | '                               | -                            |      |
| [400, 500)                        | 28%        | 534                             | 622                          | -         | $ \eta $                        | < (                          | ).8                |                                 | -                            |      |
| [500,600)                         | 22%        | 654                             | 760                          | -         |                                 |                              |                    |                                 | -                            |      |
| [600,700)                         | 18%        | 777                             | 901                          | -         | -                               | -                            | -                  | -                               | -                            |      |
| [700,850)                         | 13%        | 931                             | 1076                         | -         | -                               | -                            | -                  | -                               | -                            |      |
| [850, 1050)                       | 7.4%       | 1225                            | 1413                         | -         | -                               | -                            | -                  | -                               | -                            |      |
| [1050, 2000)                      | 2.6%       | 1590                            | 1830                         | -         | -                               | -                            | -                  | -                               | -                            |      |

PH-Q

#### FINAL STATE AND GLOBAL PROPERTIES OF THE MEDIUM

• comparison of pp and p-A collisions with multiplicity similar to peripheral A-A

"Freeze-out radii extracted from three-pion cumulants in pp, p–Pb and Pb–Pb collisions at the LHC" ALICE Collaboration, Phys. Lett. B739 (2014) 139 Run from 3-pion cumulant (OS) correlation

*R*<sub>inv</sub> from 3-pion cumulant (QS) correlation function Gaussian expansion (but also exponential and Edgeworth)



GDR PH-QCD Annual Meeting 2014 | École Polytechnique, Palaiseau | Monday December the 15th | B. Hippolyte

GdZ

PH-QC



#### FLAVOUR CONTENT AND HADRO-CHEMISTRY

- light flavours (u, d and s)
- energy dependence of hadron ratios for pp (baseline)



- *p*<sub>T</sub> integrated ratios measured in pp collisions
- show no significant energy dependence at the LHC



#### FLAVOUR CONTENT AND HADRO-CHEMISTRY

- light flavours (u, d and s)
- system dependence of hadron ratios for pp, p-Pb et Pb-Pb



- evolution as expected from statistical thermal model (GC)
- suppression from re-interaction in the hadronic phase ?



### FLAVOUR CONTENT AND HADRO-CHEMISTRY

- light flavours (u, d and s)
- extraction of global parameters using statistical thermal model



- Using THERMUS v3.0 (latest version with charm, beauty and hyper-nuclei)
- "THERMUS -- A Thermal Model Package for ROOT", S. Wheaton, J. Cleymans and M. Hauer, Comput. Phys. Commun. 180 (2009) 84-106

GDR PH-QCD Annual Meeting 2014 | École Polytechnique, Palaiseau | Monday December the 15<sup>th</sup> | B. Hippolyte



- "...requires the assumption of a thermalized parton phase... (which) may be appropriately called a quark-gluon plasma." Fries *et al.*, PRC 68, 044902 (2003)
- fully compatible with an explosive system and "sudden hadronisation" ?
- ➡ validate recombination with light quarks before invoking it for heavy flavours...

۲bJ

2H-Q(

observed for light flavour (pions and protons)

ALICE Collaboration, Phys. Lett. B736 (2014) 196



- $\rightarrow$  comparison of A-A  $p_T$  spectra with binary scaled pp ones
- → suppression in central A-A collisions at high  $p_T$
- → harder  $p_T$  spectra in pp collisions for pions

**C**d**Z** 

PH-QC3

• observed for strangeness ( $K^{0}_{s}$  and  $\Lambda$ )



- → evolution of A-A  $p_T$  spectra as a function of centrality
- ➡ ratio: increase from radial flow... decrease compared to recombination models





• observed for strangeness ( $K^{0}_{s}$  and  $\Lambda$ )



ALICE Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111 (2013) 22, 222301

- $\rightarrow$  evolution of A-A  $p_T$  spectra as a function of centrality
- ➡ ratio: increase from radial flow... decrease compared to recombination models



#### **IDENTIFIED PT SPECTRA AND HADRONIC RESCATTERING**

Comparison with hydro models: radial flow and kinetic freeze-out temperature Tkin





purely thermal

explosive

۵d۲

PH-QC

Large radial flow in top central events:  $<\beta_T> = 0.65 \pm 0.02$  (~10% higher w.r.t. RHIC) increases with centrality

 $T_{kin}$ = 95 MeV (same as RHIC within errors) decreases with centrality

model comparisons:

- VISH2+1 (viscous hydro)
- HKM (hydro+UrQMD)
- Kraków (viscous corr., lower the effective  $T_{ch}$ )
- EPOS (hydro+UrQMD)

*p*<sub>T</sub> (GeV/*c*) GDR PH-QCD Annual Meeting 2014 | École Polytechnique, Palaiseau | Monday December the 15<sup>th</sup> | B. Hippolyte

#### **IDENTIFIED PT SPECTRA AND HADRONIC RESCATTERING**

Comparison with hydro models: radial flow and kinetic freeze-out temperature Tkin





purely thermal

explosive

GdZ

PH-QC

Large radial flow in top central events:  $<\beta_T> = 0.65 \pm 0.02$  (~10% higher w.r.t. RHIC) increases with centrality

 $T_{kin}$ = 95 MeV (same as RHIC within errors) decreases with centrality

model comparisons:

- VISH2+1 (viscous hydro)
- HKM (hydro+UrQMD)
- Kraków (viscous corr., lower the effective  $T_{ch}$ )
- EPOS (hydro+UrQMD)

*p*<sub>T</sub> (GeV/*c*)
GDR PH-QCD Annual Meeting 2014 | École Polytechnique, Palaiseau | Monday December the 15<sup>th</sup> | B. Hippolyte

#### **IDENTIFIED PT SPECTRA AND HADRONIC RESCATTERING**

Comparison with hydro models: radial flow and kinetic freeze-out temperature Tkin





purely thermal

explosive

GdZ

PH-QC

Large radial flow in top central events:  $<\beta_T> = 0.65 \pm 0.02$  (~10% higher w.r.t. RHIC) increases with centrality

# $T_{kin}$ = 95 MeV (same as RHIC within errors) decreases with centrality

model comparisons:

- VISH2+1 (viscous hydro)
- HKM (hydro+UrQMD)
- Kraków (viscous corr., lower the effective T<sub>ch</sub>)
- EPOS (hydro+UrQMD)

#### ➡ the more peripheral the events are, the more challenging for the models !



#### **REFERENCE COLLIDING SYSTEM(S) AND COMPARISONS**



- the shapes of  $p_T$  spectra in A-A are compared to pp collisions
  - check consistency for ranges with overlapping PID capabilities



Excellent agreement between the different measurements !

#### **REFERENCE COLLIDING SYSTEM(S) AND COMPARISONS**

- the shapes of  $p_T$  spectra in A-A are compared to pp collisions
  - check consistency for ranges with overlapping PID capabilities
    - for instance CMS and ALICE for light flavoured hadrons at very low  $\ensuremath{p_{\text{T}}}$
  - minimum bias pp often used as one reference for Pb-Pb



## Caution: in pp, the p<sub>T</sub> spectra shape changes more as a function of multiplicity than as a function of colliding energy...

**C**d**Z** 

PH-QCJ

#### **REFERENCE COLLIDING SYSTEM(S) AND COMPARISONS**

**C**d**Z** 

15

PH-QC

- the shapes of  $p_T$  spectra in A-A are compared to pp collisions
  - check consistency for ranges with overlapping PID capabilities
    - for instance CMS and ALICE for light flavoured hadrons at very low  $\ensuremath{p_{\text{T}}}$
  - minimum bias pp often used as one reference for Pb-Pb



## Caution: in pp, the p<sub>T</sub> spectra shape changes more as a function of multiplicity than as a function of colliding energy...

#### **COOLING AND HADRONIC PHASE**

- Dense then dilute hadronic phase (3D+1 hydro + UrQMD results)
- Systematics on radial flow and kinetic freeze-out temperature Tkin
  - blast-wave parametrisation (as seen before...with known caveats...)



STAR Collaboration, Nucl. Phys. A757 (2005) 102 J.Speltz (for the STAR Collaboration), (poster QM'05)

GdZ

PH-Q



Caution: ~10 years ago, LQCD calculations  $T_c$  ~165 MeV

#### **COOLING AND HADRONIC PHASE**

- Dense then dilute hadronic phase (3D+1 hydro + UrQMD results)
- Systematics on radial flow and kinetic freeze-out temperature Tkin
  - blast-wave parametrisation (as seen before...with known caveats...)
  - from top RHIC... to LHC energies:



<u>Cd</u>

2H-QI

C.Andrei (for the ALICE Collaboration),



18

 $K^* \tau = 4.16 \text{ fm/c}$ 

 $\phi \tau = 46.3 \text{ fm/c}$ 

#### HADRONIC PHASE AND RESCATTERING AT THE LHC

- suppression for K\*
- thermal yields for φ ... and (hyper) nuclei



#### Explosive system with little (no ?) effect on resonance and (hyper) nuclei yields

#### SUMMARY



19

- System produced in pp, p-A and A-A at the LHC:
  - System created in A-A collisions at the LHC is denser, hotter and longer lived
  - ➡ Evolution with similarities... but also differences
  - More differential analyses needed for isolating unambiguously collective effects
  - Question: are pp (multiplicity), p-A good reference systems ?
- Flavour content and hadro-chemistry at the LHC:
  - →  $T_{ch}$  (parameter) corresponds to  $T_c$  (LQCD) and  $\gamma_s$  = 1 for A-A ... and p-A
  - → Strangeness enhancement still valid... but yields in p-Pb (and pp) are increasing
- Probing "in-medium" hadronisation at intermediate *p*<sup>⊤</sup>
  - ➡ Baryon/meson increase essentially from radial flow (recombination ?)
- Radial flow and kinetic freeze-out evolution for pp and p-A (vs energy)
  - Interpretation using Blast-wave parametrisation: cooling and flow build-up
- Hadronic phase and rescattering at the LHC
  - Explosive system with little (no ?) effect on resonance and (hyper) nuclei yields