

Recent developments in formal high energy physics

Guillaume Bossard

CPhT, Ecole Polytechnique

RPP, January 2015

Quantum field theory

- Feynman integrals and Goncharov polylogarithms
- Effective action in Gaiotto theories
- Truncated conformal space approach

Feynman integrands

- ★ On-shell recursion relations [Britto, Cachazo, Feng, Witten]
- ★ Generalised unitarity [Bern, Dixon, Dunbar, Kosower]
- ★ Duality color/kinematics [Bern, Carrasco, Johansson]
 - ↳ $\mathcal{N} = 4$ superYang–Mills : 5-loop and 6-loop planar
 - ↳ $\mathcal{N} = 8$ supergravity : 4-loop

Feynman integrals as polylogarithms

Example of the 1-loop massless box diagram

$$\frac{d^4 \ell (p_1 + p_2)^2 (p_1 + p_3)^2}{\ell^2 (\ell + p_1)^2 (\ell + p_1 + p_2)^2 (\ell - p_4)^2}$$
$$= d \ln \left(\frac{\ell^2}{(\ell - \ell^*)^2} \right) d \ln \left(\frac{(\ell + p_1)^2}{(\ell - \ell^*)^2} \right) d \ln \left(\frac{(\ell + p_1 + p_2)^2}{(\ell - \ell^*)^2} \right) d \ln \left(\frac{(\ell - p_4)^2}{(\ell - \ell^*)^2} \right)$$

More generally : Goncharov polylogarithms

[Goncharov, Spradlin, Vergu, Volovich]

$$G_{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n}(z) = \int_0^z \frac{dt}{t - a_1} G_{a_2, \dots, a_n}(t) = \int_0^z \frac{dt_1}{t_1 - a_1} \int_0^{t_1} \frac{dt_2}{t_2 - a_2} \dots \int_0^{t_{n-1}} \frac{dt_n}{t_n - a_n}$$

For example $\text{Li}_k(t) = -G_{0^{k-1}, 1}(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(k)} \int_0^\infty dx \frac{x^{k-1}}{e^x t^{-1} - 1}$.

Symbol permits to determine the leading transcendental part, modulo identities like the Abel identity

$$\text{Li}_2\left(\frac{x}{1-y}\right) + \text{Li}_2\left(\frac{y}{1-x}\right) - \text{Li}_2\left(\frac{xy}{(1-x)(1-y)}\right) = \text{Li}_2(x) + \text{Li}_2(y) + \ln(1-x)\ln(1-y)$$

Feynman integrals as polylogarithms

Example : two-loop six point MHV amplitude

[Goncharov, Spradlin, Vergu, Volovich]

$$A_6^{\text{MHV}} = A_6^{\text{BDS}}(\epsilon, s_{ij}) \exp\left(\sum_{i=1}^3 (\text{Li}_4(x_i^+, x_i^-) - \frac{1}{2} \text{Li}_4(1 - 1/u_i)) - \frac{1}{8} \left(\sum_{i=1}^3 \text{Li}_2(1 - 1/u_i)\right)^2 + \frac{1}{24} J^4 + \frac{\pi^2}{12} J^2 + \frac{\pi^4}{72}\right)$$

up to 4-loop [Dixon, Drummond, Duhr, von Hippel, Pennington]

Differential equations for master integrals [Henn, Smirnov]

$$\partial_x \vec{f}(x; \epsilon) = \epsilon \sum_k \frac{A_k}{x - x_k} \vec{f}(x; \epsilon)$$

In the most general case: also elliptic integrals, elliptic polylogarithms.

[Brown, Levin, Bloch, Vanhove, Remiddi, Tancredi, Adams, Bogner, Weinzierl]

The (2, 0) theory and Gaiotto theories

Effective theories for M5 branes in M-theory

D3-brane decoupling limit \leftrightarrow large N $\mathcal{N} = 4$ Yang–Mills

M5-brane decoupling limit \leftrightarrow (2, 0) theory in $d = 6$

No action principle, selfdual tensor degrees of freedom

$$3\partial_{[\mu}B_{\nu\sigma]} = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_{\mu\nu\sigma}{}^{\rho\kappa\lambda}\partial_{\rho}B_{\kappa\lambda}$$

A mother theory for superconformal field theories in four dimensions [Witten Gaiotto]

(2, 0) theory on $S^4 \times \Sigma$

$\hookrightarrow \mathcal{N} = 2$ superconformal YM on S^4 \hookrightarrow Toda QFT on Σ

\hookrightarrow Nekrasov–Pestun partition function

Truncated conformal space approach

Local operators satisfy to **operator product expansion** in exactly solved CFT's

$$\mathcal{O}_i(x)\mathcal{O}_j(0) = \sum_k \frac{f_{ijk}}{|x|^{\Delta_i+\Delta_j-\Delta_k}} (\mathcal{O}_k(0) + \dots)$$

with on a cylinder $\mathbb{R} \times S_R^{d-1}$

$$\langle i|H_0|j\rangle = R^{-1}\Delta_j\delta_{ij}.$$

For $H = H_0 + V$,

$$\langle i|V|j\rangle \propto R^{-1}R^{d-\Delta_V}f_{\mathcal{O}_i^\dagger\mathcal{V}\mathcal{O}_j}.$$

Solve **numerically** on a **truncated set** of operator, e.g. bound on the dimension of the operators. [Hogervorst, Rychkov, van Rees]

So far works for super-renormalizable theories.

Superstring and supergravity

- Amplitudes
 - ★ Subleading infrared behaviour
 - ★ 3-loop superstring amplitude
 - ★ Off-shell Green functions
- Black holes
 - ★ Entropy from localisation
 - ★ Mathur conjecture and superstrata

Subleading infrared behaviour

Weinberg soft theorem for gravitational amplitudes

$$\mathcal{M}_{n+1}(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n, q) = \left(\sum_{a=1}^n \frac{e_{\mu\nu}(q) p_a^\mu p_a^\nu}{q p_a} \right) \mathcal{M}_n(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) + \mathcal{O}(q^0)$$

ensuring that loop infrared divergences are compensated in any cross section including integration over soft momenta. One has moreover [Cachazo, Strominger]

$$\mathcal{M}_{n+1}(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n, q) = \left(\sum_{a=1}^n \frac{e_{\mu\nu}(q) (p_a^\mu p_a^\nu - i q_\sigma J_a^{\sigma\mu} p_a^\nu - \frac{1}{2} q_\sigma J_a^{\sigma\mu} q_\rho J_a^{\rho\nu})}{q p_a} \right) \mathcal{M}_n(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) + \mathcal{O}(q^2)$$

$\mathcal{O}(q^{-1})$ and $\mathcal{O}(q^0)$ terms are exact, and $\mathcal{O}(q)$ gets an exact 1-loop correction. [Broedel, De Leeuw, Plefka, Rosso]

Interpretation through Virasoro extended BMS (Bondi, Burg, Metzner, Sachs) symmetry [Barnich, Troessaert]

3-loop superstring amplitude

2-loop [D'Hoker, Phong]

3-loop [Mafra, Gomez]

Using Berkovits pure spinor formalism

$$\lambda^\alpha \Gamma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} \lambda^\beta = 0$$

Reproduce the $SL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ -duality invariant low energy effective action [Green, Vanhove]

$$S = \dots + \int d^{10}x \sqrt{-g} \nabla^6 R^4 \left(4\zeta_3^2 e^{-2\phi} + 8\zeta_2\zeta_3 + \frac{48}{5}\zeta_2^2 e^{2\phi} + \frac{8}{9}\zeta_6 e^{4\phi} \right)$$

up to a factor of 3.

Off-shell Green functions

String amplitudes computed on-shell

$$M_{a_1, \dots, a_n}^n(k_1, \dots, k_n) = \sum_{h=0}^{\infty} e^{(2h-2)\phi} \int_{\mathcal{M}_{h,n}} \left\langle \prod_i V_{a_i}(k_i) \right\rangle_{\text{CFT}}$$

Superconformal invariance $\hookrightarrow k_i^2 = m_i^2$
In quantum field theory (BPHZ)

$$M_{a_1, \dots, a_n}^n(k_1, \dots, k_n) = \lim_{k_i^2 \rightarrow m_i^2} G_{a_1, \dots, a_n}^n(k_1, \dots, k_n) \prod_i (Z_i^{-\frac{1}{2}}(k_i^2 + m_i^2))$$

Off-shell computation [Ashoke Sen]

- ★ Renormalised mass and S-matrix do not depend on the local coordinates and unitarity is satisfied
- ★ Wave function renormalisation and unphysical states mass do

Applies as well for spontaneously generated scalar field potential.

Entropy from localisation

Supersymmetric black holes with $AdS_2 \times S^2$ horizon, have a classical entropy (in microcanonical ensemble)

$$d(q, p) = e^{S(q, p)} \sim e^{\pi \sqrt{\Delta(q, p)}} .$$

Matching microscopic counting [Maldacena, Moore, Strominger]

$$d(q, p) = \#D\text{-brane} = \{8, 12, 39, 56, 152, 208, 513, \dots\}$$

with Sen supergravity definition

$$d(q, p) = \left\langle \exp\left(-iq_I \int A^I\right) \right\rangle_{AdS_2}$$

Using localisation, perturbatively [Dabholkar, Gomes, Murthy]

$$d(q, p) = \{7.97, 12.20, 38.99, 55.72, 152.04, 208.46, 512.96, \dots\}$$

Entropy from localisation

Supersymmetric black holes with $AdS_2 \times S^2$ horizon, have a classical entropy (in microcanonical ensemble)

$$d(q, p) = e^{S(q, p)} \sim e^{\pi \sqrt{\Delta(q, p)}} .$$

Matching microscopic counting [Maldacena, Moore, Strominger]

$$d(q, p) = \#D\text{-brane} = \{8, 12, 39, 56, 152, 208, 513, \dots\}$$

with Sen supergravity definition

$$d(q, p) = \left\langle \exp\left(-iq_I \int A^I\right) \right\rangle_{AdS_2}$$

Using localisation, exact [Dabholkar, Gomes, Murthy]

$$d(q, p) = \{8, 12, 39, 56, 152, 208, 513, \dots\}$$

Mathur conjecture

Usual belief that quantum gravity effects are negligible at the horizon.

$$\Psi[g, \phi] \approx \delta[g - g_{\text{BH}}] \Psi[\phi]_{g_{\text{BH}}}$$

Mathur argument on information paradox

↳ $\mathcal{O}(1)$ corrections at the horizon

Mathur conjecture in string theory

$$\Psi[g, \phi] \approx \sum_{g_s} \delta[g - g_s] \Psi[\phi]_{g_s}$$

where g_s are smooth globally hyperbolic space-times in 10 dimensions, that asymptotically reproduce the $M_{\text{BH}} \times T^6$ geometry.

$$M_{\text{ADM}} = \int_{\Sigma} \left(\iota_{\partial_t} G_{I D-p} \wedge F_p^I + \iota_{\partial_t} F_p^I \wedge G_{I D-p} \right)$$

Superstrata

For supersymmetric black holes in five dimensions

$$S_{\text{BH}} = 2\pi \sqrt{Q_1 Q_2 Q_3}$$

one has solutions in six dimensions with fundamental S^3 cycles,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_{S_A^3} dB^I = p^I,$$

which shape is defined by functions of two angle variables [Bena, Shigemori, Warner] such that the number of solutions (after semi-classical quantization)

$$\#\text{superstrata} \sim \exp\left(2\pi \sqrt{\frac{1}{6} Q_1 Q_2 Q_3}\right)$$