### **Hunting for ultra-high energy photons**

Daniel Kuempel RWTH Aachen University Germany

### **Seminar Annecy**  October 24 2014

**GEMEINSCHAFT** 

Allianz für Astroteilchenphysik





**bmb+f** Förderschwerpunkt

Astroteilchenphysik

Großgeräte der physikalischen<br>Grundlagenforschung

### *Cosmic Rays* influence our life!

- ‣ About 20% of natural radioactivity
- ‣ Increased exposure on aircraft
- ▶ Can induce massive blackouts
- ‣ Vivid discussion on impact on cloud formation
- Induce lightning?
- Impact on climate? ‣ ...











# **Photons from outer space**

▶ Radio astronomy (wavelength above 1mm): Produced by synchrotron radiation and thermal emission **Feature:** Many objects in radio wavelength (e.g. interstellar gas, pulsars, 21cm line, …)

‣ **Infrared astronomy** (wavelength 0.75 - 300 micrometer): Heavily absorbed by atmosphere **Feature:** Detect objects (e.g. planets, nebula) too cold for optical astronomy

Crab nebula



Tarantula nebula





Star-forming region R136

## **Photons from outer space**

### ‣ **Ultraviolet astronomy** (wavelength 10 - 320 nm):

Also absorbed by atmosphere **Feature:** Study thermal radiation and spectral emission lines. Detect objects such as supernovae remnants or active galactic nuclei.



Spiral galaxy Messier 81

‣ **X-ray astronomy** (wavelength 8 pm - 8 nm): Observation at high altitudes or space. Typical production by synchrotron emission of electrons in magnetic fields. **Feature:** Detection of X-ray sources such as pulsars, X-ray binaries or clusters of galaxies

‣ **Gamma-ray astronomy** (wavelength 10 pm and below): Direct detection by satellites or indirect via secondary particles in atmosphere. **Feature:** Detection of new sources and phenomena such as neutron stars, gamma-ray bursts







Moon (cosmic ray interacting on surface)

# **Photons from outer space**



Moon (cosmic ray interacting on surface)

### **Photon energies**



Х.

### **Photon energies**



#### Seminar Annecy October 24 2014

## **Motivation**

- Photons, as the gauge bosons of the EM force, at such enormous energy are unique messengers and probes of extreme and, possibly, new physics
- UHE photons are a *smoking gun* for non-acceleration models
- UHE photons are important when trying to constrain interaction parameters such as the proton-air-cross-section at energies far beyond LHC energies
- UHE photons point back to the location of their production. Arrival directions may correlate to possible sources
- UHE photons play a role in fundamental physics: E.g. they help to constrain Lorentz invariance violation (LIV)

 $\gamma_{\mathrm{UHE}} + \gamma_{\mathrm{b}} \not\! \times e^+ + e^- \quad$  (more photons expected in LIV)

*• and more...*

[Daniel Kuempel](mailto:kuempel@physik.rwth-aachen.de)

Seminar Annecy October 24 2014 6 and the set of the Seminar Annecy October 24 2014

### Ultra-high energy cosmic rays  $E > 10^{17}$  eV

daniel Kuempel Seminar Annecy October 24 2014 annexy October 24 2014 704 2014 704 2014 704 2014 704 2014 704 2<br>[Daniel Kuempel](mailto:kuempel@physik.rwth-aachen.de) Seminar Annecy October 24 2014 704 2014 704 2014 704 2014 704 2014 704 2014 704 2014 704 2014 7

### **Pressing questions:**

1. Where do they come from? **2.** What are they made of? **3. How are they accelerated?** 4. What can they tell us about **fundamental and particle physics? 5.** Is there a maximal energy?

**Birth supernovae pulsar black hole AGN**

**…**

### *General picture UHECR*

**Additional acceleration**

**shock acceleration (Fermi)** 

#### **charged particles**

#### **Propagation**

**spallation radioactive decay magnetic fields interactions**

#### **Galactic deflection magnetic field interactions**

[Daniel Kuempel](mailto:kuempel@physik.rwth-aachen.de) **<sup>8</sup>** Seminar Annecy October 24 2014

**neutral particles**

**Death cosmic ray air shower**

## **Extra-galactic energy density**

▶ Cosmic rays can interact with background photons:



[Daniel Kuempel](mailto:kuempel@physik.rwth-aachen.de)

Seminar Annecy October 24 2014

## **Interactions**

Energy [e\

Frequency [Hz]

### ▶ Pion production

Pion production for a head-on collision of a nucleon *N*:

$$
N+\gamma\to N+\pi
$$

with the threshold energy

$$
E_{\text{thres}} = \frac{m_{\pi}(m_N + m_{\pi}/2)}{2\epsilon} \approx 6.8 \cdot 10^{19} \left(\frac{\epsilon}{10^{-3} \text{ eV}}\right)^{-1} \text{eV}
$$

where  $\epsilon \sim 10^{-3} \; \text{eV}$  represents a typical target photon such as a CMB photon. Both the electromagnetic and the strong interaction play a role. **Example**: Pion production by protons via delta resonance:

> $p + \gamma \rightarrow \Delta^+ \rightarrow$  $\int n + \pi^+$  with branching ratio 1/3  $p+\pi_{0} \hspace{2em} \text{with branching ratio} \; 2/3$ **EM interaction strong interaction**  $\longrightarrow \mu^+ + \nu_\mu$  main production channel of **interaction neutrinos by hadronic cosmic rays**  $\gamma \rightarrow \gamma + \gamma$  main channel of high energy<br> **photons by hadronic cosmic ra photons by hadronic cosmic rays**

After the discovery of the CMB (1965) people realized:

### Universe gets opaque for cosmic rays at ultra-high energies: GZK-effect

first realized by Greisen, Zatsepin and Kuzmin in 1966

K. Greisen, PRL 16 748 (1966), G.T. Zatsepin and V.A. Kuzmin Sov. Phys. JETP Lett. 4 78 (1966)

[Daniel Kuempel](mailto:kuempel@physik.rwth-aachen.de)

Seminar Annecy October 24 2014

## **Interactions**

#### **Pair production**

Pair production by a nucleus with mass number *A* and charge *Z* on a photon:

**induces electromagnetic cascades via inverse Compton scattering**

with the threshold energy

$$
E_{\text{thres}} = \frac{m_e (m + m_e)}{\epsilon} \approx 4.8 \cdot 10^{17} A \left(\frac{\epsilon}{10^{-3} eV}\right)^{-1} eV
$$

 $^{A}_{Z} + \gamma \rightarrow ^{A}_{Z} + e^{+} + e^{-}$ 

where  $\epsilon \sim 10^{-3}$  eV represents a typical target photon such as a CMB photon.

## **Interactions**

#### **EXECUTE:** Pair production

Pair production by a nucleus with mass number *A* and charge *Z* on a photon:

**induces electromagnetic cascades via inverse Compton scattering**

with the threshold energy

$$
E_{\text{thres}} = \frac{m_e (m + m_e)}{\epsilon} \approx 4.8 \cdot 10^{17} A \left(\frac{\epsilon}{10^{-3} eV}\right)^{-1} eV
$$

 $^{A}_{Z} + \gamma \rightarrow ^{A}_{Z} + e^{+} + e^{-}$ 

where  $\epsilon \sim 10^{-3}$  eV represents a typical target photon such as a CMB photon.

#### **▶ Photodisintegration of nuclei**

Gamma ray is absorbed by nuclei and causes it to enter excited state before splitting in two parts.



Changes in energy  $\Delta E$  , and atomic number  $\Delta A$  , are related by  $\Delta E/E = \Delta A/A$ Thus, effective energy loss rate is given by:

$$
\frac{1}{E} \left. \frac{\mathrm{d}E}{\mathrm{d}t} \right|_{\mathrm{eff}} = \frac{1}{A} \frac{\mathrm{d}A}{\mathrm{d}t} = \sum_{i} \frac{i}{A} l_{A,i}(E) \qquad \text{rate for emission of } i
$$

[Daniel Kuempel](mailto:kuempel@physik.rwth-aachen.de)

Seminar Annecy October 24 2014

## **Interaction rate**

Interaction rate can be calculated as



## **Attenuation length for protons**

 $10<sup>9</sup>$ 

**D. Allard, Astropart. Phys. 39-40 (2012) 33-43**



# Secondary photons



# Detection

## **Detection via secondary particles**



*Primary cosmic rays*

## **Detection of EAS**

*Two main measurement techniques:*

#### Fluorescence telescope





#### Water-Cherenkov detector



*Primary cosmic rays*

## **Detection of EAS**

*Two main measurement techniques:*

#### Fluorescence telescope





#### Water-Cherenkov detector







- •About **500 collaborators** from **18 countries**
- •**~ 3000 km2** area
- •**1660** water-Cherenkov tanks
- •**27** fluorescence telescopes

#### *Additional R&D antennas*







### *Hybrid technique*

#### **17** Advantage:

- ‣ More accurate energy and directional information
- ‣ Lower energy threshold
- ‣ Small dependence on interaction models

#### Disadvantage:

▶ Only 10-15% duty cycle





### *Hybrid technique*

#### **17** Advantage:

- ‣ More accurate energy and directional information
- ‣ Lower energy threshold
- ‣ Small dependence on interaction models

#### Disadvantage:

▶ Only 10-15% duty cycle



## **Geometry reconstruction**

### *Two step process:* **1. Determination of the shower**



## **Geometry reconstruction**







# **Energy reconstruction**

*Energy determination from profile fit*



**Photon induced air showers:**  *Two main characteristics:* 1. Delayed shower development (larger  $X_{max}$ ) 2.Lack of muons due to smaller photo-nuclear cross-section



Figure 2.5: Average depth of shower maximum *X*max as a function of primary energy

**E**0 modified from  $\mathcal{A}$  , Experimental results from August [35], BLANCA [37], BLANCA [37], BLANCA [37], CACTI [37], BLANCA [37]

**Photon induced air showers:**  *Two main characteristics:* 1. Delayed shower development (larger  $X_{max}$ ) 2.Lack of muons due to smaller photo-nuclear cross-section



Figure 2.5: Average depth of shower maximum *X*max as a function of primary energy

**E**0 modified from  $\mathcal{A}$  , Experimental results from August [35], BLANCA [37], BLANCA [37], BLANCA [37], CACTI [37], BLANCA [37]

## Diffuse photon search



to the smaller photonuclear cross-section. The longitudinal shower development and thus

is the delayed shower development resulting in a deeper *X*max and the lack of muons due

### f diffuse searches **Status of diffuse searches**



 $\triangleright$  Top-down models severely constrair quired. Using a semi-Bayesian extension and the Feldman-Bayesian extension  $\mathcal{F}_{\text{max}}$ ‣**Top-down** models **severely constrained**

Remember: Limits are diffuse, i.e. not using pointing infor  $\bm{i}$  on uHe neutrinos. The search period corresponds to  $\bm{i}$ **The models of the Models rule of the Secondary .**<br>The models in the models in the models respectively and the models in the models of the models in the models in Remember: Limits are diffuse, i.e. not using pointing information

### f diffuse searches **Status of diffuse searches**



 $\triangleright$  Top-down models severely constrair quired. Using a semi-Bayesian extension and the Feldman-Bayesian extension  $\mathcal{F}_{\text{max}}$ ‣**Top-down** models **severely constrained**

Remember: Limits are diffuse, i.e. not using pointing infor  $\bm{i}$  on uHe neutrinos. The search period corresponds to  $\bm{i}$ **The models of the Models rule of the Secondary .**<br>The models in the models in the models respectively and the models in the models of the models in the models in Remember: Limits are diffuse, i.e. not using pointing information

## **Photon sensitivity**



### ‣Optimistic **GZK-predictions in reach**

**Pierre Auger Coll. ApJ 789 (2014) 160**

### *Idea directional information Measure extensive air showers*

- Arrival direction
- Shower characteristics

**Pierre Auger Coll. ApJ 789 (2014) 160**

#### *Idea directional information Measure extensive air showers Any point sources visible?*

- Arrival direction
- Shower characteristics

**Pierre Auger Coll. ApJ 789 (2014) 160**

*Measure extensive air showers Any point sources visible? Idea directional information*

- Arrival direction
- Shower characteristics



*Try to reduce background by selecting only photon-like events*

### **Observables**

1. **Depth of shower maximum X<sub>max</sub>** (FD related)

- 1. Depth of shower maximum  $X_{max}$  (FD related) **aximum X**<sub>max</sub> (FD related)
- 2. Fit of Greisen function (FD related)



- 1. Depth of shower maximum  $X_{max}$  (FD related)
- 2. Fit of Greisen function (FD related)

$$
N_{\text{ch}}(X, E) = \frac{0.31}{\sqrt{\ln(E/E_c)}} e^{\frac{X}{X_r}} \left( \frac{3X}{X + 2X_r \ln(E/E_c)} \right)^{-\frac{3X}{2X_r}}
$$
 longitudinal shower development  
based on electromagnetic  
cascade equations"  
radiation length

3. Energy ratio of Greisen energy and standard energy (FD related)

*"parametrization of the* 

*cascade equations"*

- 1. **Depth of shower maximum X<sub>max</sub>** (FD related) here is the station of the station and which do not necessarily require and which do not necessary *s* = **aximum X**<sub>max</sub> (FD related)
	- 2. Fit of Greisen function (FD related)

$$
N_{\rm ch}(X,E) = \frac{0.31}{\sqrt{\ln(E/E_c)}} e^{\frac{X}{X_r}} \left(\frac{3X}{X + 2X_r \ln(E/E_c)}\right)^{-\frac{3X}{2X_r}} \quad \text{longitudinal s.} \quad \text{based on} \quad \text{cascac}
$$
\n
$$
\text{critical energy} \quad \text{radiation length}
$$

*.* (3.11) *"parametrization of the longitudinal shower development based on electromagnetic cascade equations"*

- 3. **Energy ratio of Greisen energy and standard energy** (FD related) The *S<sup>b</sup>* parameter is sensitive to di↵erent lateral distribution functions, due to the 3. Energy ratio of Greisen energy and standard energy (FD
- 4. **Sb parameter** (SD related) presence/absence of the flatter muon component (Ros *et al.* 2011), and has already been  $\mathbf{A}$   $\mathbf{A}$

distance station -  
\nshower axis  
\n
$$
S_b = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left[ S_i \cdot \left( \frac{r_i}{1000 \text{ m}} \right)^b \right]
$$
\n
$$
Signal in station i
$$
\nSignal in station i

- 1. **Depth of shower maximum X<sub>max</sub>** (FD related) here is the station of the station and which do not necessarily require and which do not necessary *x* maximum  $X_{max}$  (FD related)
	- 2. Fit of Greisen function (FD related)

$$
N_{\rm ch}(X,E) = \frac{0.31}{\sqrt{\ln(E/E_c)}} e^{\frac{X}{X_r}} \left(\frac{3X}{X + 2X_r \ln(E/E_c)}\right)^{-\frac{3X}{2X_r}} \quad \text{longitudinal s. based oncascac critical energy
$$
 radiation length

3. **Energy ratio of Greisen energy and standard energy** (FD related) The *S<sup>b</sup>* parameter is sensitive to di↵erent lateral distribution functions, due to the 3. Energy ratio of Greisen energy and standard energy (FD r

4. **Sb parameter** (SD related) presence/absence of the flatter muon component (Ros *et al.* 2011), and has already been  $\mathbf{A}$   $\mathbf{A}$  $\frac{1}{2}$  fixed distribution from the shower axis increases for smaller production here  $\frac{1}{2}$ 

used in previous studies (Settimo 2011). It is defined as  $S_b = \sum$ *N i*=1  $\sqrt{ }$  $S_i \cdot$  $\int$   $r_i$ 1000 m ⌘*b (presence/absence)* Signal in station in the station of  $\sum_{i=1}^N \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 1 & i \end{array} \right]$  of  $\sum_{i=1}^N \left[ \begin{array}{cc} 1 & i \end{array} \right]$  binding the decay of secondary pions and kaons and  $S_b = \sum_l$ *"sensitive to different lateral distribution functions (presence/absence of muon component)"*  $N$   $\Gamma$  consequently, a large-shower axis is sensitive to different lateral is  $\sim v$   $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}$  (1000 m) *distance station shower axis b=3 for photon separation*

#### 5. **Shape parameter** (SD related) *i*–th SD station, *r<sup>i</sup>* the distance of this station to the shower axis, and *b* a variable exponent.  $\zeta$  Changenarian (CD with

$$
ShapeP(r, \theta) = \frac{S_{\text{early}}(r, \theta)}{S_{\text{late}}(r, \theta)}
$$

It has been found that, in the energy region of interest, the optimized *b* for photon–hadron *"For primary photons a larger spread of particles in*   $\frac{C_{\rm early}(T,\theta)}{S_{\rm late}(r,\theta)}$  *arrival time is expected, i.e. deep developing particles*" *particles"*

*.* (3.11)

*"parametrization of the* 

*longitudinal shower development* 

*based on electromagnetic* 

*cascade equations"*

[Daniel Kuempel](mailto:kuempel@physik.rwth-aachen.de)

Seminar Annecy October 24 2014 2014 Daniel Kuemper **As a result of both the stations, on average seminar Annecy October 24 2014** The early signal *S*early is defined as the integrated signal over time bins less than a scaled



## Combination via boosted decision trees



### **Fraction of events passing**  $\beta_{\text{cut}}$



### **Fraction of events passing**  $\beta_{\text{cut}}$



#### **Analysis strategy** in the dataset. This data set a data set a can be described as  $\alpha$  be described as  $\alpha$

- **•Blind search:** Sky maps are pixelized with 526200 target directions between declination -85 $^{\circ}$  and +20 $^{\circ}$ . target an ections between accilitation observed number of events (*ndata)* is equal to the expected of the exp **o Blind search:** Sky maps are pixelized with 526200 targ<br>Tarø
- . Top-hat counting with radius 1° (choice will be explained later)  $\bullet$  10p-nat counting with radius  $\bot$ <br>(choice will be explained later)
- **Consider each target direction individually** *•* **Consider each target direction individu** 
	- *For each direction:*

Optimized  $\beta_{\text{cut}}$  is determined by **minimizing upper**  $\frac{1}{2}$ **limit** using Zech's method assuming that the expected background is equal to the observed<br> **Expected** background is equal to the observed **number** (G. Zech, NIM A277, 608-610 (1989)):





Seminar Annecy October 24 2014 33 Daniel Kuempel **Collaboration 2012** Seminar Annecy October 24 2014

## **Background expectation**

- **Question:** What is the sky map of arrival directions from the Pierre Auger Observatory if all cosmic rays arrive isotropically at Earth?
- **Answer:** Calculate isotropic map using scrambling (or shuffling) method
- •**Idea:**
	- Split arrival time (UTC) and direction (in local coordinates) and combine randomly to obtain one (isotropic) sky map
	- Repeat step several times (5000 sky maps) and take the average



## **Optimized cut in**  $\beta$  **distribution**



- Typical  $\beta$  cut value: 0.22
- (solid black and the declination-dependent variation-dependent variation-dependent variations (shaded are in the declination of  $\alpha$ ) and in the declinations (see also are in  $\alpha$ ) and in the declinations (see also are in •**Photon efficiency:** 85%
	- •**Background efficiency:** 8%
- the Poisson expectation. To determine the optimized cut <sup>489</sup> , the sensitivity is maximized by **• Typical background expectation after cut:** 1,48 events

[Daniel Kuempel](mailto:kuempel@physik.rwth-aachen.de) **Europe into account the photon exists** Seminar A Dahiel Kuempel **berkuarta di seminar Andre**<br>
Seminar Andre

## **Application to data**

from the Pierre Auger Observatory

#### •**Data**:

- Air showers recoded at the Pierre Auger Observatory by fluorescence and surface detector (hybrid data) between Jan. 2005 and Sep. 2011
- **Energy range**:  $17.3 < log(E/eV) < 18.5$
- Zenith range: 0° < theta < 60°
- Angular resolution:  $0.7^\circ \rightarrow$  use top-hat counting with radius 1°

(90% containment of possible point source)

• Apply additional quality cuts



### **Photon like events**



### **Photon like events**



### Results: Direct search for point sources



#### Upper limit for photon point sources <sup>513</sup> flux of point sources. The directional upper limit on the photon flux from a point source <sup>514</sup> is the limit on the number of photons from a given direction, divided by the directional for photon point sources

 $\bullet$  Calculate flux upper limit  $f^{\sf{\textrm{UL}}}$  using Zech's method again:



 $\bullet$  Expecure as a function of colostial coordinates:  $\bullet$  Exposure as a function of celestial coordinates: ● Exposure as a function of celestial coordinates: referes to the selected and rejected events respectively and *wi* is the

$$
\mathcal{E}(\alpha,\delta) = \frac{1}{c_E} \int_E \int_T \int_S E^{\zeta} \varepsilon(E,t,\theta,\phi,x,y) \,dS \,dt \,dE
$$

Exposure not constant with energy

 $\overline{a}$  photon exposure is derived as:  $\overline{a}$  photon exposure is derived as:  $\overline{a}$ *in energy and direction.* Detailed simulations that take into account the status of detector and



[Daniel Kuempel](mailto:kuempel@physik.rwth-aachen.de) to ensure a negligible (less than  $10$  ) trigger exposure for it. The exposure for th

### **Results: Upper limit for photon point sources**



#### $\frac{1}{2}$  Europegn flux upper limit: 0.06 eV /  $\text{cm}^2$  / s ( Average particle flux upper limit: 0.035 photons / km<sup>2</sup> / yr **/** yr<br><mark>:rgy spec</mark>  $i$ al index -2) Average energy flux upper limit: 0.06 eV / cm<sup>2</sup> / s (energy spectral index -2)

### **Exclude extrapolation of TeV sources Interpretation of results**



- f point source photo  $\mathsf{P}$  point bourvo prioto ‣ **Absense** of point source photons does **not mean** that **sources are extragalactic:**
	- 1 Teventi Teventi quantitatudin metaluku teratudin metaluku teratudin metaluku teratudinan.<br>1.0setembra (1.2±0.9statudinan metaluku teratudinan metaluku teratudinan metaluku teratudinan metaluku terat oduced in **transient** ► Maybe produced in **transient sources** (e.g. GRB or SN)<br>► Maybe **emitting in jets** not pointing to Farth
	- flux points from the extended emission and the fitted power law nitting in iate not pr checked, using an independent calibration of the radial calibration of the ration of the ration of the ration o ► Maybe produced in transient sources (c.g.<br>► Maybe **emitting in jets** not pointing to Earth<br>► Maybe EeV protons from sources with much
	- alternative analysis chain. The cross-checks included a spectral analysis using the *reflected region background method* (Berge et al. 2007), which requires observations to be centered outside of the emissive region and thus used only half of the available ‣ Maybe EeV protons from sources with much **lower optical depth** *(comp. to TeV sources)*

### **Summary**

‣ Search for ultra-high energy photons is an interesting field with high discovery potential ‣ No photons in EeV range observed so far ‣ First directional search and energy flux upper limits for photon point sources

‣ *It's just a matter of time until* A) EeV photons are detected. That would **open a new window of astronomy**  B) Existence of EeV photons is disproved That would **role up** the current understanding of **physical principles**



### **Summary**

‣ Search for ultra-high energy photons is an interesting field with high discovery potential ‣ No photons in EeV range observed so far ‣ First directional search and energy flux upper limits for photon point sources

‣ *It's just a matter of time until* A) EeV photons are detected. That would open a new window of B) Existence of  $\overline{P}$ 

**physical print** 



**Isaac Asimov**

Existence of Fall the most exciting phrase to<br>That would related the most exciting phrase to *hear in science, the one that heralds the most discoveries, is not "Eureka!" (I found it!) but "That's funny…".*