Excessive Higgs pair production from sparticles in the NMSSM

U. Ellwanger, LPT Orsay, with A. M. Teixeira (1406.7221)

Higgs production from sparticle decay chains like

 $\chi_2^0 \to \chi_1^0 + H$

is a well-known possibility, where

 χ_1^0 is the "LSP" (lightest Supersymmetric particle, neutralino₁),

 χ_2^0 the "NLSP" (next-to-lightest Supersymmetric particle, neutralino₂).

Usually χ_1^0 leads to missing transverse energy

In the NMSSM (with additional singlet-like Higgs states and a singlet-like neutralinos), the neutralino₁ can be mostly "singlet-like" and

all sparticle decay cascades contain a Higgs boson
(possibly: *H* is an additional mostly singlet-like Higgs boson below 125 GeV)

- the missing energy in sparticle decay cascades is strongly reduced
- → lower bounds on squark/gluino masses from run 1 at the LHC are considerably reduced
- searches for Higgs pairs (+ jets) at 13/14 TeV are the relevant search channels for Supersymmetry

Consider the kinematics of $\chi_2^0 \rightarrow \chi_1^0 + H$ or, more generally, NLSP \rightarrow LSP + X:



where "X" decays into SM particles; typically: X = a Higgs boson

If $M_{NLSP} - (M_{LSP} + M_X) \ll M_{NLSP}$, the energy E_{LSP} transferred from the NLSP to the LSP is proportional to the ratio of masses: $\frac{E_{LSP}}{E_{NLSP}} \simeq \frac{M_{LSP}}{M_{NLSP}}$

 \rightarrow If the LSP is light and $M_X \sim M_{NLSP} - M_{LSP}$, little E_T^{miss} energy is transferred to the LSP; E_{NLSP} is carried away by the Higgs

 \rightarrow The E_T^{miss} signature disappears!

(If Higgs decays do not give rise to E_T^{miss})

Instead: Two Higgs bosons per sparticle pair production!

Is such a kinematic configuration possible in the MSSM?

A light (\sim few GeV) LSP has to be bino-like (higgsinos/winos have charged SU(2) partners)

 \rightarrow Squarks (with hypercharge!) etc. would prefer to decay directly into the LSP, without the NLSP in the decay cascade

 \rightarrow The effect would not be dominant

In the NMSSM, a light singlino-like LSP Ψ_S is natural: Its mass originates from a Yukawa coupling $2\kappa S \Psi_S \Psi_S$

 \rightarrow $M_{singlino} \sim 2\kappa v_s \sim$ a few GeV if κ is small, $\kappa \sim 10^{-5}...10^{-4}$

A light singlino has very small couplings to squarks, gluinos and all other Susy particles; these will avoid to decay into the singlino

 \rightarrow all decay cascades end "provisionally" in the NLSP, typically the bino; only subsequently the NLSP decays into the singlino-like LSP + Higgs

Which Higgs?

 H_{SM} : Has leptonic decays $H_{SM} \to WW^*/ZZ^* \to \dots$ which lead to some E_T^{miss}

Worst case with little E_T^{miss} : H_1 , a NMSSM specific light Higgs boson with $M_{H_1} < M_Z$ (Just occasionnaly: $H_1 \rightarrow \tau^+ \tau^- \rightarrow \dots +$ neutrinos)

If squarks decay directly into the bino (no Zs/Ws in the cascades, which decay possibly into neutrinos):

A benchmark point with

 $M_{NLSP\equiv bino} \sim$ 89 GeV, $M_{H_1} \sim$ 83 GeV, $M_{LSP\equiv singlino} \sim$ 5 GeV,

 $M_{squarks} \sim 860$ GeV, $M_{qluino} \sim 890$ GeV, $M_{stops,sbottoms} \sim 810 - 1060$,

passes all LHC constraints:

Spectrum of E_T^{miss} from squark/gluino production at 8 TeV:



— in the MSSM with a 89 GeV bino as LSP, would be ruled out! — in the NMSSM with the additional bino $\rightarrow H_1$ + singlino cascade

 \rightarrow Dramatic reduction of the number of events with large E_T^{miss} (Checked: no strong dependence on NLSP/H1/LSP masses)

The only LHC allowed scenario with all sparticle masses below $\sim 1~\text{TeV!}$

Strongest constraints come from searches for multijets incl. multi-b-jets (searches for RPV), not from standard SUSY searches incl. E_T^{miss}

Possible search strategy at the LHC at 13/14 TeV:

Properties of the final state:

Hard jets + two (boosted) Higgs states with large p_T

Instead of E_T^{miss} , look for remnants of two Higgs bosons: the SM-like H_{125} and/or an additional lighter NMSSM-specific Higgs boson

Have to distinguish

Case I: Simple squark→quark+bino cascade (BMpoint)

Case II: Longer squark decay cascades

Cross section possibly much larger than SM Higgs pair production; up to $\sim~5.2$ pb for the BMpoint, depending on squark/gluino masses

Case I: Simple squark→quark+bino cascade (BMpoint)

— Require four hard jets, e.g. with $P_T \ge 400$, 200, 80, 80 GeV from $2 \times (\tilde{q} \rightarrow q + bino \rightarrow q + singlino + H_1 \text{ and/or } \tilde{g} \rightarrow q + \tilde{q} \rightarrow ...)$

— Ask for two *b*-jets and two τ_h ($M_{2\tau} < 120$ GeV); try to reconstruct the a priori unknown Higgs (H_1) mass from two *b*-jets

The results below are based on simulations with MadGraph5+1j, Pythia, Delphes

 P_T of the leading and next-to-leading Higgs bosons H_1 , $M_{H_1} = 83$ GeV:



(Blue: leading Higgs; red: Next-to-leading Higgs boson)

Analyse the final state twice:

First:

— since the H_1 decay products are boosted, look for two "slim" *b*-jets and two τ_h using anti- k_T jet-finding algorithm with small cone size R = 0.15 (simulation assumes calorimeter cells with $\Delta \varphi$, $\Delta \eta \simeq 0.1$)

Define a 2*b* pseudo-jet 2bPJ as the sum of both b-tagged jets (assumed: 70% b-tag efficiency)

Second:

— Apply the anti- k_T jet-finding algorithm again, with R = 0.5

 \rightarrow The two boosted *b*-jets tend to merge into a single fatter jet \hat{J} ;

Look for the jet \hat{J} with $p_T > 400$ GeV closest in ΔR to the previously found 2bPJ

Invariant mass of \hat{J} (event numbers after $100 f b^{-1}$ at 14 TeV):



 \rightarrow The signal is there!

Recall: $M_{H1} = 83 \text{ GeV}$

Of course: for heavier squarks/gluinos the H_1 production cross section (here: \sim 5.2 pb) would go down; for different M_{H_1} the height of the peak remains the same.

Dominant background from QCD: 2 jets $+ b\overline{b} + 2$ fake τ 's: the 2- τ fake rate at R = 0.15 is much larger than the $(1-\tau \text{ fake rate})^2$; "thin" jets from boosted partons use to come in pairs!

Case of a 130 GeV bino with branching fractions both into H_1 with $M_{H_1} = 83$ GeV, and H_{125} :



 \rightarrow "Twin peaks" are possible (Here: ~1 TeV squarks/gluinos, harder cuts to suppress background)

Case II: Longer squark decay cascades

 $\tilde{q} \rightarrow q + \tilde{g}, \ \tilde{g} \rightarrow t + \tilde{t}, \ \tilde{t} \rightarrow t + \text{bino, bino} \rightarrow H_{125} + \text{singlino:}$

Higgses are less boosted, $H \rightarrow b\overline{b}$ gives two separate jets visible with R = 0.4 jet algorithms (\rightarrow less fake 2τ backgrounds, similar from $t\overline{t}$ +jets) 2*b*-jet invariant mass M_{bb} should peak at M_{Higgs}

Examples (from "to appear"):

Benchmark point P7: $\tilde{q}_{1.5 TeV} \rightarrow q + \tilde{g}_{1.3 TeV} \rightarrow q + t + \tilde{t}_{750 GeV} \rightarrow q + t + \bar{t} + bino,$ bino $\rightarrow H_{125} + singlino$

Benchmark point P8: same with $t \leftrightarrow b$, $M_{\tilde{q}} = 1.4 \, TeV$, $M_{\tilde{g}} = 1.2 \, TeV$

Some E_T^{miss} from leptonic t/b-decays \rightarrow heavier squarks/gluinos to comply with constraints from run I (still below the MSSM-bounds) \rightarrow smaller cross sections $\sim 1-3$ fb⁻¹



 M_{bb} for the benchmark points P7 and P8

After cuts on four hard jets with $P_T \ge 400$, 300, 200, 100 GeV and requiring two hadronic τ -leptons with combined $P_T \ge 100$ GeV

 \rightarrow Visible after a few 100 fb⁻¹ luminosity

Conclusions:

In the NMSSM with a light singlino LSP, standard SUSY search strategies can fail due to "missing" E_T^{miss}

 \rightarrow The present scenario is consistent with constraints from run I with both squark and gluino masses of \sim 900 GeV

 \rightarrow Production cross sections up to \sim 5 pb at 13/14 TeV are possible (compared to \sim 30 fb for Standard Model Higgs pair production)

Dedicated search strategies for Higgs pairs plus many jets are required

 \rightarrow may lead to a discovery of both Supersymmetry and, possibly, of additional NMSSM specific Higgs bosons