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## Why calculate form factors for exclusive $B \rightarrow V$ ?

 and why is an update required?- Exclusive decays, to which the LHC is more sensitive (e.g. $B \rightarrow K^{*}$ ), require form factors: non-perturbative quantities
- Need accurate FFs to detect NP via $B \rightarrow K^{*}$ or $B_{s} \rightarrow \phi$ or measure $\left|V_{u b}\right|$ via $B \rightarrow \rho, B_{s} \rightarrow K^{*}$
- $\operatorname{LCSR}^{1}$ at low $q^{2}$, Lattice ${ }^{2}$ at high $q^{2}$
- Best coverage in $q^{2}$ : fit to LCSR and Lattice using e.g. series expansion, coefficients satisfy dispersive bounds. ${ }^{3}$


## Critical point: correlated errors between FFs not yet available...
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## Form Factor Definitions

The standard basis
$V\left(q^{2}\right), A_{0-3}\left(q^{2}\right), T_{1-3}\left(q^{2}\right)$ conventionally defined: $\left(\right.$ note $\left.A_{0}(0)=A_{3}(0), T_{1}(0)=T_{2}(0)\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle V(k, \varepsilon)| \bar{q} \gamma_{\mu} b|\bar{B}(p)\rangle= & i \epsilon_{\mu \nu \rho \sigma} \varepsilon^{* \nu}(k) p^{\rho} k^{\sigma} \frac{2 V\left(q^{2}\right)}{m_{B}+m_{V}}, \\
\langle V(k, \varepsilon)| \bar{q} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{5} b|\bar{B}(p)\rangle= & -\varepsilon_{\mu}^{*}(k)\left(m_{B}+m_{V}\right) A_{1}\left(q^{2}\right)+(p+k)_{\mu}\left(\varepsilon^{*}(k) \cdot q\right) \frac{A_{2}\left(q^{2}\right)}{m_{B}+m_{V}} \\
& +q_{\mu}\left(\varepsilon^{*}(k) \cdot q\right) \frac{2 m_{V}}{q^{2}}\left(A_{3}\left(q^{2}\right)-A_{0}\left(q^{2}\right)\right), \\
\langle V(k, \varepsilon)| \bar{q} \sigma_{\mu \nu} q^{\nu} b|\bar{B}(p)\rangle= & i \epsilon_{\mu \nu \rho \sigma} \varepsilon^{* \nu} p^{\rho} k^{\sigma} 2 T_{1}\left(q^{2}\right), \\
\langle V(k, \varepsilon)| \bar{q} \sigma_{\mu \nu} q^{\nu} \gamma_{5} b|\bar{B}(p)\rangle= & T_{2}\left(q^{2}\right)\left(\varepsilon_{\mu}^{*}(k)\left(m_{B}^{2}-m_{V}^{2}\right)-\left(\varepsilon^{*}(k) \cdot q\right)(p+k)_{\mu}\right) \\
& +T_{3}\left(q^{2}\right)\left(\varepsilon^{*}(k) \cdot q\right)\left(q_{\mu}-\frac{q^{2}}{m_{B}^{2}-m_{V}^{2}}(2 p-q)_{\mu}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

Due to EOM for quarks, $A_{3}\left(q^{2}\right)=\frac{m_{B}+m_{V}}{2 m_{V}} A_{1}\left(q^{2}\right)-\frac{m_{B}-m_{V}}{2 m_{V}} A_{2}\left(q^{2}\right)$,
$\Rightarrow$ Only 7 independent FFs

## Definition of Helicity Amplitudes

## Vector current

$$
\mathcal{B}_{V, \sigma}\left(q^{2}\right)=\sqrt{\frac{q^{2}}{\lambda}} \sum_{\varepsilon(k)} \varepsilon_{\sigma}^{* \mu}(q)\langle V(k, \varepsilon(k))| \bar{q} \gamma_{\mu}\left(1-\gamma^{5}\right) b|\bar{B}(p)\rangle
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{B}_{V, 0}\left(q^{2}\right)=\frac{\left(m_{B}+m_{V}\right)^{2}\left(m_{B}^{2}-m_{V}^{2}-q^{2}\right) A_{1}\left(q^{2}\right)-\lambda A_{2}\left(q^{2}\right)}{2 m_{V} \sqrt{\lambda}\left(m_{B}+m_{V}\right)}, \\
& \mathcal{B}_{V, t}\left(q^{2}\right)=A_{0}\left(q^{2}\right), \\
& \mathcal{B}_{V, 1}\left(q^{2}\right) \equiv-\frac{\mathcal{B}_{V,-}-\mathcal{B}_{V,+}}{\sqrt{2}}=\frac{\sqrt{2 q^{2}}}{m_{B}+m_{V}} V\left(q^{2}\right), \\
& \mathcal{B}_{V, 2}\left(q^{2}\right) \equiv-\frac{\mathcal{B}_{V,-}+\mathcal{B}_{V,+}}{\sqrt{2}}=\frac{\sqrt{2 q^{2}}\left(m_{B}+m_{V}\right)}{\sqrt{\lambda}} A_{1}\left(q^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Definition of Helicity Amplitudes

## Tensor current

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{B}_{T, \sigma}\left(q^{2}\right)=\sqrt{\frac{1}{\lambda}} \sum_{\varepsilon(k)} \varepsilon_{\sigma}^{* \mu}(q)\langle V(k, \varepsilon(k))| \bar{q} \sigma_{\mu \alpha} q^{\alpha}\left(1+\gamma^{5}\right) b|\bar{B}(p)\rangle \\
& \mathcal{B}_{T, 0}\left(q^{2}\right)=\frac{\sqrt{q^{2}}\left(m_{B}^{2}+3 m_{V}^{2}-q^{2}\right)}{2 m_{V} \sqrt{\lambda}} T_{2}\left(q^{2}\right)-\frac{\sqrt{q^{2} \lambda}}{2 m_{V}\left(m_{B}^{2}-m_{V}^{2}\right)} T_{3}\left(q^{2}\right) \\
& \mathcal{B}_{T, 1}\left(q^{2}\right)=-\frac{\mathcal{B}_{V,-}-\mathcal{B}_{V,+}}{\sqrt{2}}=\sqrt{2} T_{1}\left(q^{2}\right), \\
& \mathcal{B}_{T, 2}\left(q^{2}\right)=-\frac{\mathcal{B}_{V,-}+\mathcal{B}_{V,+}}{\sqrt{2}}=\frac{\sqrt{2}\left(m_{B}^{2}-m_{V}^{2}\right)}{\sqrt{\lambda}} T_{2}\left(q^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Calculating the FFs at low $q^{2}$ ?

Non-perturbative techniques

- Appropriate method for low $q^{2}$ is LCSR
- However, using full form factors from LCSR correlations in errors between form factors not available.
- Many people resorting to using soft form factors with corrections in order to include correlations ${ }^{4}$
- This can be improved if correlated FFs available: Our Aim
- Can fit LCSR and Lattice: Results valid in both low and high $q^{2}$ regimes


## Burning question: how does one calculate FFs in LCSR? ${ }^{5}$
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## What is LCSR?

taking the example of $f_{+}$for $B \rightarrow \pi$

## On one hand....

## In physical region, correlator dominated by $B$ pole:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Pi_{\mu}=i m_{b} \int d^{D} x e^{-i p_{B} \cdot \times}\left\langle\left(\langle\pi(p)| T\left\{\bar{u}(0) \gamma_{\mu} b(0)\right)\right.\right. \\
&=\left(p_{B}+p\right)_{\mu} \Pi_{+}\left(p_{B}^{2}, q^{2}\right)+\left(p_{B}-p\right)_{\mu} \Pi_{-}\left(p_{B}^{2}, \lambda^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

into

$$
\Pi_{+}\left(p_{B}^{2}, q^{2}\right)=f_{B} m_{B}^{2} \frac{f_{+}\left(q^{2}\right)}{m_{B}^{2}-p_{B}^{2}}+\int_{s>m_{B}^{2}} d s \frac{\rho_{\mathrm{had}}}{s-p_{B}^{2}},
$$

( $\rho_{\text {had }}$ is spectral density of the higher-mass hadronic states)

## What is LCSR?

on the other hand..

In Euclidean region ( $p_{B}^{2}-m_{B}^{2}$ is large and negative): light-cone expand about $x^{2}=0^{6}$

$$
\Pi_{+}\left(p_{B}^{2}, q^{2}\right)=\sum_{n} \int d u \mathcal{T}_{+}^{(n)}\left(u, p_{B}^{2}, q^{2}, \mu^{2}\right) \phi^{(n)}\left(u, \mu^{2}\right)=\int d s \frac{\rho_{\mathrm{LC}}}{s-p_{B}^{2}}
$$

- $\mathcal{T}_{+}^{(n)}\left(u, \mu^{2}\right)$ : perturbatively calculable hard kernels
- $\phi^{(n)}\left(u, \mu^{2}\right)$ : non-perturbative LCDAs, twist $n$
- $\langle\pi(p)| \bar{u}(0) \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{5} d(x)|0\rangle=-i f_{\pi} p_{\mu} \int_{0}^{1} d u e^{i \bar{u} p \cdot x} \phi\left(u, \mu^{2}\right)+\ldots$,
- $\phi\left(u, \mu^{2}\right)=6 u(1-u) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n}\left(\mu^{2}\right) C_{n}^{3 / 2}(2 u-1)$
${ }^{6}$ Factorisation theorem not proven to all orders, verified at given order by cancellation of IR and soft divergences


## What is LCSR?

....which leads to the sum rule

Above the continuum threshold $s_{0}$, a continuum of states contribute and approximation of quark-hadron duality is thought to be reasonable, such that

$$
\rho_{\mathrm{had}}=\rho_{\mathrm{LC}} \Theta\left(s-s_{0}\right)
$$

Subtracting from both sides, and Borel transforming ( $M^{2}=$ Borel parameter):

## Sum rule for $f_{+}\left(q^{2}\right)$

$$
f_{+}\left(q^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{f_{B} m_{B}^{2}} \int_{m_{b}^{2}}^{s_{0}} d s \rho_{\mathrm{LC}} e^{-\left(s-m_{B}^{2}\right) / M^{2}},
$$

## Parameters and uncertainties

Choosing $s_{0}$ and M2
We carefully choose the sum rules parameters using the following:

- SR depends little on, but is clear extremum as $f n$ of $s_{0}, M^{2}$;
- $S R$ for $m_{B}$, (differentiate SR by $M^{-2}$ ), fulfilled to $0.1 \%$;
- the continuum contribution is under control, i.e. integral of the spectral density between $s_{0}$ and $\infty$ should be $\sim 25-30 \%$ of the $B$ contribution, between $m_{b}^{2}$ and $s_{0}$;
Dominant uncertainties arise due to varying the following:
- the continuum threshold $s_{0}$ by $\pm 0.5 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$ and the Borel parameter $M_{2}$ by $\pm 1.2 \mathrm{GeV}^{2}$;
- the condensates $\langle\bar{q} q\rangle=(-0.24 \pm 0.01)^{3} \mathrm{GeV}^{3}, \frac{\langle\bar{q} \sigma g G q\rangle}{\langle\bar{q} q\rangle}=(0.8 \pm 0.2)$
- the twist- 3 parameter $\eta_{3}$ by $\pm 50 \%$;
- the factorisation scale in the range $\mu / 2$ to $2 \mu$.


## Results (Preliminary)

## Do the correlations affect observables? ${ }^{7}$


${ }^{7}$ Thanks to David Straub for preparing this at short notice

## Summary and Outlook

## Correlated errors for $B \rightarrow K^{*}$ form factors:

- Prevent community from resorting to soft form factors
- Include the factorizable $\mathcal{O}\left(1 / m_{B}\right)$ corrections


## Thanks for listening! ${ }^{8}$

[^2]
## Summary

 and Outlook
## Correlated errors for $B \rightarrow K^{*}$ form factors:

- Prevent community from resorting to soft form factors
- Include the factorizable $\mathcal{O}\left(1 / m_{B}\right)$ corrections

Updated LCSR calculation:

- Latest input parameters, $B \rightarrow V$ form factors
- Full correlated errors and fit with Lattice using various parameterizations


## Thanks for listening! ${ }^{8}$

[^3]
## Summary

 and Outlook
## Correlated errors for $B \rightarrow K^{*}$ form factors:

- Prevent community from resorting to soft form factors
- Include the factorizable $\mathcal{O}\left(1 / m_{B}\right)$ corrections

Updated LCSR calculation:

- Latest input parameters, $B \rightarrow V$ form factors
- Full correlated errors and fit with Lattice using various parameterizations


## Things for the future:

- Full paper to appear in the coming months....


## Thanks for listening! ${ }^{8}$
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## Sum rules for $m_{B}$

$$
m_{B}^{2}=\int_{m_{b}^{2}}^{s_{0}} d s s \rho^{\mathrm{tot}}(s) / \int_{m_{b}^{2}}^{s_{0}} d s \rho^{\mathrm{tot}}(s)
$$
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