Low energy phenomenology and search for leptoquarks at LHC

Svjetlana Fajfer Physics Department, University of Ljubljana and Institute J. Stefan, Ljubljana, Slovenia







Outline

- Motivation
- \succ Colored scalar leptoquarks (3,2,7/6) and (3,2,1/6);
- Low-energy constraints;
- Colored scalars at LHC;
- Leptoquarks and GUT;
- Summary.

Based on I.Doršner, S.F., N.Košnik, I. Nisandžić, JHEP 1311 (2013) 084 S.F. J.F. Kamenik and NisandžićPhys.Rev. D85 (2012) 094025 I.Doršner, S.F., N.Košnik, Phys.Rev. D86 (2012) 015013; I.Doršner, S.F and A. Greljo, 1406.xxxx;

Motivation

- LQ's are present in GUT theories;
- Scalar LQ might modify mass matrices;
- ➤ LQ intensive searches at LHC.

LHC assumption: one LQ decays into one quark and one lepton of the same generations:

$$B \to D^{(*)} \tau \nu_{\tau}$$
$$B \to K^* l^+ l^-$$
$$Z \to b\bar{b}$$
$$(g-2)_{\mu}$$

ATLAS Exotics Searches* - 95% CL Exclusion

Status: April 2014



*Only a selection of the available mass limits on new states or phenomena is shown.

ATLAS Preliminary

 $\int \mathcal{L} dt = (1.0 - 20.3) \text{ fb}^{-1}$ $\sqrt{s} = 7, 8 \text{ TeV}$



Color triplet candidates

$(SU(3), SU(2))_Y$	spin	LQ couplings	3B	\boldsymbol{L}	
$(3,2)_{1/6}$	0	$\overline{Q}\nu_R, \overline{d}_R L$	+1	-1	\checkmark
$(3,2)_{7/6}$	0	$\overline{Q}\ell_R, \overline{u}_R L$	+1	-1	\checkmark
$(3,1)_{-1/3}$	0	$\overline{Q}i\tau^2 L^C, \overline{d}_R \nu^C_R, \overline{u}_R \ell^C_R$			
$(3,3)_{-1/3}$	0	$\overline{Q}\tau^{i}i\tau^{2}L^{C}$			destabilize proton
$(3,1)_{2/3}$	1	$\overline{u}_R \gamma_\mu \nu_R, \overline{Q} \gamma^\mu L$	+1	-1	ID, SF, NK 1204 0674
$(3,3)_{2/3}$	1	$\overline{Q}\tau^i\gamma^\mu L$	+1	-1	1204.0074
$(3,2)_{1/6}$	1	$\overline{u}_R \gamma_\mu i \tau^2 L^C, \overline{Q} \gamma_\mu \nu^C_R$	+1	-1	we do not
$(\bar{3},2)_{5/6}$	1	$\overline{Q}\gamma^{\mu}\ell^{C}_{R},\overline{d_{R}}i\tau^{2}\gamma_{\mu}L^{C}$	+1	-1	states

 $Q=I_3 + Y$

 $(3,2)_{7/6}$ and $(3,2)_{1/6}$ proper candidates among scalar LQ

Experiment – Theory in $B \rightarrow D(D^*) \tau v_{\tau}$

In ratios there is no dependence on CKM matrix elements:

$$\mathcal{R}_{\tau/\ell}^{*} \equiv \frac{\mathcal{B}(B \to D^{*} \tau \nu)}{\mathcal{B}(B \to D^{*} \ell \nu)} = 0.332 \pm 0.030$$

$$\mathcal{R}_{\tau/\ell} \equiv \frac{\mathcal{B}(B \to D \tau \nu)}{\mathcal{B}(B \to D \ell \nu)} = 0.440 \pm 0.072$$

$$\operatorname{BaBar: 1205.5442}_{\text{Belle: 0706.4429}}$$

$$\operatorname{BaBar: 1205.5442}_{\text{Belle: 0706.4429}}$$

$$\operatorname{Combined 3.4\sigma}_{\text{larger than SM}}$$

$$\operatorname{Standard Model}$$

$$\mathcal{R}_{\tau/\ell}^{*,SM} = 0.252(3)$$

$$\mathcal{R}_{\tau/\ell}^{SM} = 0.296(16)$$

$$\mathcal{R}_{\tau/\ell}^{SM} = 0.296(16)$$

Standard Model or New Physics?

Can observed effects be explained within SM?

New form-factors show up in $\,B
ightarrow D^{(*)} au
u_{ au}$

How well do we know all form-factors?

Lattice improvements?

Lepton flavor universality violation in B semileptonic decays?

S.F. J.F. Kamenik, I. Nišandžić, J. Zupan, 1206.1872

Many proposals of NP:

P. Ko et al.,1212.4607;
A.Celis et al, 1210.8443;
D. Becirevic et al. 1206.4977;
A. Crivelin et al., 1206.2634;
P. Biancofiore et al.,1302.1042,

P. Ko et al.,1212.4607;

A.Celis et al, 1210.8443;

D. Becirevic et al. 1206.4977;

A. Crivelin et al., 1206.2634;

P. Biancofiore et al.,1302.1042,

. . .

One more proposal of NP:

Leptoquark contribution in $\,b o c l ar
u_l$



Scalar and vector leptoquark that trigger b-> c l u, l. Dorsner, S.F., N. Kosnik, 1306.6493

Color triplet bosons (scalars or vectors) with renormalizable couplings to the SM fermions

Charge
$$\begin{cases} |Q| = 2/3 \\ |Q| = 1/3 \end{cases}$$

Interactions of
$$\Delta = (3,2,7/6)$$
 state

$$\Delta = \begin{bmatrix} \Delta^{(2/3)} \\ \Delta = \begin{bmatrix} \Delta^{(5/3)} \\ \Delta^{(5/3)} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\tilde{\Delta} = i\tau_2 \Delta^*$$

Fields are in the weak base. We use a basis in which all rotations are assigned to neutrinos and up-like quarks. Transition to a mass base:

$$\mathcal{L}^{(2/3)} = (\bar{\ell}_R Y d_L) \,\Delta^{(2/3)*} + (\bar{u}_R [Z V_{\text{PMNS}}] \nu_L) \,\Delta^{(2/3)} + \text{H.c.}$$
$$\mathcal{L}^{(5/3)} = (\bar{\ell}_R [Y V_{\text{CKM}}^{\dagger}] u_L) \,\Delta^{(5/3)*} - (\bar{u}_R Z \ell_L) \,\Delta^{(5/3)} + \text{H.c.}$$

Requirements:

- to explain deviation of SM prediction in $\ b\to c \tau \nu_\tau$ no contributions in $\ b\to c l \nu_l, \ l=e, \ \mu$

We impose: b couples to T only and c quark to neutrinos

$$\Delta^{(2/3)}$$
 couplings

 $\mathcal{L}^{(2/3)} = (\bar{\ell}_B Y d_L) \Delta^{(2/3)*} + (\bar{u}_B [ZV_{\text{PMNS}}]\nu_L) \Delta^{(2/3)} + \text{H.c.}$ $Y = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & u_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad ZV_{\text{PMNS}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ z_{21} & z_{22} & z_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ $\Delta^{(5/3)}$ couplings $\mathcal{L}^{(5/3)} = (\bar{\ell}_R [YV_{CKM}^{\dagger}] u_L) \Delta^{(5/3)*} - (\bar{u}_R Z \ell_L) \Delta^{(5/3)} + \text{H.c.}$ $YV_{\rm CKM}^{\dagger} = y_{33} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ V^* & V^* & V^* \end{pmatrix}, \qquad Z = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \tilde{z}_{21} & \tilde{z}_{22} & \tilde{z}_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

Effective hamiltonian for $b\to c\tau\nu_\tau$ transition induced by LQ transition

$$\mathcal{H}^{(2/3)} = \frac{y_{33} z_{2i}}{2m_{\Delta}^2} \left[(\bar{\tau}_R \nu_{iL})(\bar{c}_R b_L) + \frac{1}{4} (\bar{\tau}_R \sigma^{\mu\nu} \nu_{iL})(\bar{c}_R \sigma_{\mu\nu} b_L) \right]$$

(Fierz's transformation are used)

SM + NP operators

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{4G_F}{\sqrt{2}} V_{cb} \Big[(\bar{\tau}_L \gamma^\mu \nu_L) (\bar{c}_L \gamma_\mu b_L) + g_S (\bar{\tau}_R \nu_L) (\bar{c}_R b_L) + g_T (\bar{\tau}_R \sigma^{\mu\nu} \nu_L) (\bar{c}_R \sigma_{\mu\nu} b_L) \Big]$$

$$g_S(m_{\Delta}) = 4g_T(m_{\Delta}) \equiv \frac{1}{4} \frac{y_{33} z_{23}}{2m_{\Delta}^2} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{G_F V_{cb}}$$

this relation holds on the mass scale of Δ





 $g_T(m_b) \simeq 0.14 g_S(m_b)$

The model is constrained by:

- $ullet Z o b \overline{b}$ (au in the loop)
- $\cdot (g-2)_{\mu}$ (c –quark in the loop)
- **T** electric dipole moment

. $au o \mu \gamma$





Can this model be used to induce $b \rightarrow s l^+ l^-$?





The effective hamiltonian is:

$$\mathcal{H}_{LQ} = -\frac{G_F \alpha}{\sqrt{2}\pi} V_{tb} V_{ts}^* (C_9^{NP} O_9 + C_{10}^{NP} O_{10})$$
$$\frac{y_{22} y_{23}^*}{8m_\Delta^2}$$

Consequences:

 $(g-2)_{\mu}$ is not affected due to -1/3 charge of quarks and 2/3 charge of the LQ;

 $Z \to b \overline{b}$ muon and tau in the loop –negligible modification of the ${\rm g_L}$ coupling



(3,2,1/6) LQ

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{Y}} = -y_{ij}\bar{d}_R^i \tilde{R}_2^a \epsilon^{ab} L_L^{j,b} + \mathrm{h.c.},$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm Y} = -y_{ij}\bar{d}_R^i e_L^j \tilde{R}_2^{2/3} + (yV_{\rm PMNS})_{ij}\bar{d}_R^i \nu_L^j \tilde{R}_2^{-1/3} + \text{h.c.}$$

N. Košnik, 1206.2970; Košnik's for talk for $b \rightarrow s l^+ l^-$ R. Mohanta 1310.0713

$$\mathcal{H}_{LQ} = \frac{y_{22}y_{23}^*}{8M_{LQ}}\bar{s}\gamma^{\mu}(1+\gamma_5)b\mu\gamma_{\mu}(1-\gamma_5)\mu \qquad C_9^{\prime NP} = -C_{10}^{\prime NP}$$

(3,2,1/6) LQ can influence
$$\begin{bmatrix} Z \to b \overline{b} \\ (g-2)_{\mu} \end{bmatrix}$$

 $Z \to b\overline{b}$ $\delta g_L^b = 0.001 \pm 0.001$, $\delta g_R^b = (0.016 \pm 0.005) \cup (-0.17 \pm 0.005)$

(3,2,1/6) can accommodate this value



 $(g-2)_{\mu} \quad {\rm down \ quarks \ and \ 2/3 \ charged \ LQ \ give \ vanishing \ contribution!}$



ATLAS

	Scalar LQ 1 st gen	2 e	≥ 2 j	_	1.0	LQ mass	660 GeV
ΓØ	Scalar LQ 2 nd gen	2 μ	≥ 2 j	_	1.0	LQ mass	685 GeV
	Scalar LQ 3 rd gen	1 e, μ , 1 $ au$	1 b, 1 j	-	4.7	LQ mass	534 GeV





 $\sigma_{\text{pair}}(y_i, m_{\text{LQ}}) = a_0(m_{\text{LQ}}) + a_2(m_{\text{LQ}})|y_i|^2 + a_4(m_{\text{LQ}})|y_i|^4$

Sizable Yukawa couplings of LQ with SM fermions could influence pair production at LHC. For small Yukawas LQ production is the same as within QCD For simplicity we assume only diagonal couplings in the search for LQ at LHC!

I generation couplings: best constraints come from atomic parity violation

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm PV} = \frac{G_F}{\sqrt{2}} \sum_{q=u,d} (C_{1q} \bar{e} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_5 e \bar{q} \gamma_{\mu} q + C_{2q} \bar{e} \gamma^{\mu} e \bar{q} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_5 q)$$

$$C_{1d} = C_{1d}^{\text{SM}} + \delta C_{1d} \qquad \delta C_{1u(d)} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{G_F} \frac{|y_{u(d)e}|^2}{8m_{\text{LQ}}^2} \qquad \begin{bmatrix} |y_{de}| \le 0.34 \left(\frac{m_{\text{LQ}}}{1 \text{ TeV}}\right) \\ |y_{ue}| \le 0.36 \left(\frac{m_{\text{LQ}}}{1 \text{ TeV}}\right) \end{bmatrix}$$

Bounds on II generation LQ

$$BR(K_L \to \mu^{\pm} e^{\mp}) < 4.7 \times 10^{-12}$$

Experimental bound:

$$|y_{s\mu}y_{de}^*| < 2.1 \times 10^{-5} \left(\frac{m_{\rm LQ}}{1{
m TeV}}\right)^2$$

The LQ of the first generation fully constrained by APV, hence couplings of R_2 to a down quark and an electron is very small.

We assume in the further analysis that coupling of s and μ to R₂ is of the order 1.





If Yukawa couplings are large, one also needs to take into consideration a single leptoquark production and t-channel leptoquark pair production.



This study shows importance of the t-channel pair production and the single LQ production through the recast of an existing CMS search at LHC for the LQ coupling to s and μ .

Is our low-energy Yukawa ansatz compatible with the idea of GUT?

GUT models contain such a state in an extended SU(5), SO(10).

Georgi-Glashow (1974) proposed $SU(5) \longrightarrow SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$

Two problems:

Minimal SU(5) GUT fails!

 $M_{E} \approx M_{D}$ at GUT scale



Our assumption: $(3,2)_{7/6}$ in 45 of SU(5)

without 45: $M_F \approx M_D$ at GUT scale

with 45 : $M_E = \approx -3 M_D$ at GUT scale

Representation 45 with its vev modifies mass relation for down-like quarks and charged leptons

We assume that D_R , U_R , E_R are real!

 $M_D^{\text{diag}} D_R^T - E_R M_E^{\text{diag}} = 4U_R Z v_{45}$

this equation should be satisfied at GUT scale!

11 parameters and 9 equations only parameter ξ can not be fixed!

$$\tilde{z}_{21}$$
 : \tilde{z}_{22} : $\tilde{z}_{23} = 0.024$: 0.32 : 1

Proton decay amplitude depends on one parameter!



In some part of parameter space $p \to \pi^0 e^+$ is suppressed in comparison with $p \to K^+ \bar{\nu}, \ p \to K^0 e^+$

Summary

- (3,2,7/6) state introduced to explain R(D) and R(D*);
- scalar with charge 2/3 introduces scalar and tensor operator into effective Lagrangian;
- charge 5/3 state induces quark and lepton flavor changing processes;
- constraints from $Z \to \overline{b}b, \ , (g-2)_{\mu}, \ d_{\tau}, \ au \to \mu\gamma$;
- (3,2,7/6) and (3,2,1/6) can adjust b-> s data;
- Searches of LQ at LHC do depend on LQ couplings to quark and lepton, for large Yukawa couplings a single leptoquark production and t-channel leptoquark pair production are important;
- Model with (3,2,7/6) LQ state can be accommodated with SU(5) GUT by adding 45 scalar representation.

Predictions

$$B_c \rightarrow \tau \nu_{\tau}$$

$$\mathcal{B}(B_c \rightarrow \ell \nu) = \frac{m_{B_c}}{8\pi} \tau_{B_c} f_{B_c}^2 |G_F V_{cb} m_\ell|^2 \left(1 - \frac{m_\ell^2}{m_{B_c}^2}\right)^2 r^2$$

$$f_{B_c} = 0.427(6)(2) \text{ GeV HPQCD correction due to NP}$$

$$r = \left|1 + \frac{m_{B_c}^2}{m_{\tau}(m_b + m_c)} g_S\right|$$
SM:
$$\mathcal{B}(B_c \rightarrow \tau \nu) = 0.0194(18)$$
decrease
$$r^2 \simeq 0.36 \qquad g_S = -0.37$$

$$(2 - \epsilon \tau) = 0.427(2 - \epsilon \tau) = 0.427(2 - \epsilon \tau)$$

or increase of SM prediction $(r^2 \simeq 84)$ $g_s \simeq 1.8 \pm 0.4i$

 $t \to c \tau^+ \tau^- \, \text{\&} \, \bar{D}^0 \to \tau^- e^+$



scalar and tensor operators have anomalous dimension

contrary to V and A currents



 $m_b, m_c \ll v$

 $g_T(m_b) \simeq 0.14 \, g_S(m_b)$

 $m_{\Delta} = 500 \text{ GeV}$



Lepton electromagnetic current

$$-ie\,\bar{u}_{\ell}(p+q)\gamma^{\mu}u_{\ell}(p)$$

$$-ie\,\bar{u}_{\ell}(p+q)\left[F_{E}(q^{2})\gamma^{\mu}+\frac{F_{M}^{\ell}(q^{2})}{2m_{\ell}}i\sigma^{\mu\nu}q_{\nu}+F_{d}^{\ell}(q^{2})\,\sigma^{\mu\nu}q_{\nu}\gamma_{5}\right]u_{\ell}(p)$$

Muon anomalous magnetic moment

 $\Delta^{(5/3)}$ enters loop functions charm quark in the loop

$$\delta a_{\mu} \equiv F_{M}^{\mu}(q^{2}=0) = -\frac{N_{c}|\tilde{z}_{22}|^{2}m_{\mu}^{2}}{16\pi^{2}m_{\Delta}^{2}}\left[Q_{c}F_{q}(x) + Q_{\Delta}F_{\Delta}(x)\right]$$