

# KamLAND status of geo-neutrino detection

#### Hiroko Watanabe Research Center for Neutrino Science (Tohoku Univ.) for the KamLAND Collaboration

AAP 2014, Dec. 15, 2014, at APC-Paris

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Recent Results
  - (1) Recent Conditions
  - (2) Analysis Results; Geo-neutrino
- 3. Future Prospects
- 4. Summary

## 1. Introduction

- 2. Recent Results
  - (1) Recent Conditions
  - (2) Analysis Results; Geo-neutrino
- 3. Future Prospects
- 4. Summary

#### KamLAND Collaboration

A. Gando,<sup>1</sup> Y. Gando,<sup>1</sup> H. Hanakago,<sup>1</sup> H. Ikeda,<sup>1</sup> K. Inoue,<sup>1,2</sup> K. Ishidoshiro,<sup>1</sup> H. Ishikawa,<sup>1</sup> M. Koga,<sup>1,2</sup> R. Matsuda,<sup>1</sup> S. Matsuda,<sup>1</sup> T. Mitsui,<sup>1</sup> D. Motoki,<sup>1</sup> K. Nakamura,<sup>1,2</sup> A. Obata,<sup>1</sup> A. Oki,<sup>1</sup> Y. Oki,<sup>1</sup> M. Otani,<sup>1</sup> I. Shimizu,<sup>1</sup> J. Shirai,<sup>1</sup> A. Suzuki,<sup>1</sup> Y. Takemoto,<sup>1</sup> K. Tamae,<sup>1</sup> K. Ueshima,<sup>1</sup> H. Watanabe,<sup>1</sup> B.D. Xu,<sup>1</sup> S. Yamada,<sup>1</sup> Y. Yamauchi,<sup>1</sup> H. Yoshida,<sup>1</sup> A. Kozlov,<sup>2</sup> S. Yoshida,<sup>3</sup> A. Piepke,<sup>2,4</sup> T.I. Banks,<sup>5</sup> B.K. Fujikawa,<sup>2,5</sup> K. Han,<sup>5</sup> T. O'Donnell,<sup>5</sup> B.E. Berger,<sup>6</sup> J.G. Learned,<sup>7</sup> S. Matsuno,<sup>7</sup> M. Sakai,<sup>7</sup> Y. Efremenko,<sup>2,8</sup> H.J. Karwowski,<sup>9</sup> D.M. Markoff,<sup>9</sup> W. Tornow,<sup>9</sup> J.A. Detwiler,<sup>10</sup> S. Enomoto,<sup>2,10</sup> and M.P. Decowski<sup>2,5,11</sup>

1 Research Center for Neutrino Science, Tohoku University 2 Kavli Institute for the Physics and Mathematics of the Universe (WPI), University of Tokyo

3 Graduate School of Science, Osaka University

4 Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Alabama 5 Physics Department, University of California, Berkeley, and Lawrence

Berkeley National Laboratory

6 Department of Physics, Colorado State University, Fort Collins
7 Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Hawaii at Manoa
8 Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Tennessee
9 Triangle Universities Nuclear Laboratory and Physics Departments at Duke
University and the University of North Carolina
10 Department of Physics, University of Wisconsin
11 Nikhef, Science Park 105, 1098 XG Amsterdam



### Overview of KamLAND Neutrino Physics



#### KamLAND



#### KamLAND-Zen



#### Anti-neutrino Studies





- 1. Introduction
- 2. Recent Results
  - (1) Recent Conditions
  - (2) Analysis Results; Geo-neutrino
- 3. Future Prospects
- 4. Summary

#### Recent Condition : reactor operation in Japan 6/23

time variation of neutrino flux



159km

Hamaoka

214km

Shika <sup>88km</sup>

KamLAND

Wakasa

146~192km

- Following the Fukushima nuclear accident in March 2011, the entire Japanese nuclear reactor industry has been subjected to protected shutdown.

- Reactor neutrino flux, which is outside the control of the experiment, was significantly reduced.

- This situation allows for a "reactor on-off" study of backgrounds for KamLAND neutrino oscillation and geoneutrino analysis.

## Data-set & Systematic Uncertain



#### - Systematic Uncertainties

#### before/after purification

	Detector-related (%)		Reactor-related (%)	
$\overline{\Delta m_{21}^2}$	Energy scale	1.8 / 1.8	$\overline{\nu}_e$ -spectra	0.6 / 0.6
Rate	Fiducial volume	1.8 / 2.5	$\overline{\nu}_e$ -spectra	1.4 / 1.4
	Energy scale	1.1 / 1.3	Reactor power	2.1 / 2.1
	$L_{cut}(E_{\rm p})$ eff.	0.7 / 0.8	Fuel composition	1.0 / 1.0
	Cross section	0.2 / 0.2	Long-lived nuclei	0.3 / 0.4
	Total	2.3/3.0	Total	2.7 / 2.8

#### E<sub>p</sub> (MeV) PRD 88, 033001 (2013)

#### **2013 data-set** : 2991 days 4.90×10<sup>32</sup> proton-year

- 1.4 times of 2011 data-set
- Includes ~1year low-reactor operation period
- Data collected after KamLAND-
- Zen construction is also included.
- <u>Spectral update</u>

235U, 239Pu, 241Pu: P. Huber 238U: Th. Mueller et al.

 Cross section per fission was normalized by Bugey-4 result.

(same method as Double Chooz result)

\* Bugey-4 : short baseline (14m), performed most precise measurement of the neutrino inverse beta decay cross section.

\* Analysis is insensitive to "Reactor Neutrino Anomaly"

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Recent Results
  - (1) Recent Conditions
  - (2) Analysis Results; Geo-neutrino
- 3. Future Prospects

4. Summary

Geo-neutrino: see also Borexino (Romain Roncin) GeoSciences (Steve Dye)

#### Terrestrial Heat - Heat Balance

#### Surface heat flow 46 ± 3 TW



# Almost half of radiogenic heat contributes to the surface heat flow.

#### Ferrestrial Heat - Heat Balance



Geo-neutrino can directly test radiogenic heat production.

#### Geo-neutrino

Geo-neutrinos are a unique, direct window into the interior of the Earth!



#### Geo-neutrino Flux at Kamioka



## Analysis - Event rate (0.9-2.6 MeV)

after KamLAND-Zen start, low reactor phase

12/23



- Backgrounds :
  - LS purification  $\rightarrow$  non-neutrino backgrounds reduction
  - Earthquake  $\rightarrow$  reactor neutrino reduction
- Constant contribution of geo-neutrino Time information is useful to extract the geo-neutrino signal

#### Analysis - Correlation (0.9-2.6 MeV)

13/23

- Expected Rate vs Observed Rate (0.9-2.6 MeV)



### Analysis : Energy Spectrum (0.9-2.6 MeV)



## Analysis : Event Profile (0.9-2.6 MeV)



#### Analysis : Rate+Shape+Time Analysis (1)



#### Analysis : Rate+Shape+Time Analysis (2)



Himits on Th/U ratio Th/U < 19 (90% C.L.)

17/23

✦Th/U mass ratio (Th/U = 3.9)

Number of geo-neutrino  $N_{geo} = 116^{+28}_{-27} \text{ events}$  $F_{geo} = 3.4^{+0.8}_{-0.8} \times 10^{6}/\text{cm}^{2}/\text{sec}$ 

almost same as model prediction

0signal is rejected at 99.9998% C.L.

#### Analysis : Comparison with Models



#### [BSE composition models]

Geodynamical 30TW

based on balancing mantle viscosity and heat dissipation

Geochemical 20TW based on mantle samples compared with chondrites

#### **Cosmochemical 10TW**

based on isotope constraints and chondritic models

- KamLAND geo-neutrino flux translates to a total radiogenic heat production : 11.2 <sup>+7.9</sup>-5.1 TW

- The geodynamical prediction with the homogeneous hypothesis is disfavored at 89% C.L.

- All BSE compositional models are still consistent within  $\sim 2 \sigma$ .

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Recent Results
  - (1) Recent Conditions
  - (2) Analysis Results; Geo-neutrino
- 3. Future Prospects
- 4. Summary

#### Geo-neutrino uncertainties



## KamLAND2(-Zen) : better energy resolution 20/23

#### upgrade to KamLAND



#### Whightoner too mean colligh Q.E. PMT

\* High Q.E. PMT \* Winstone Cone



geo-neutrino measurement

\* improvement of U/Th ratio fiducial volume enlargement

## Directional measurement (1)



## Directional measurement (2)



- 1. Introduction
- 2. Recent Results
  - (1) Recent Conditions
  - (2) Analysis Results; Geo-neutrino
- 3. Future Prospects
- 4. Summary

► The KamLAND experiment measures anti-neutrino from various sources over a wide energy range

#### Geo-neutrino

- Observed flux is fully consistent with Earth models
- Results for low reactor background: Geo-neutrino observation is very sensitive
- Now we enter the era of conducting critical tests of Earth models